

# Inference for MS-DSGE Model

Francesco Bianchi

Johns Hopkins University

# Estimating a MS-DSGE model

General form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{D}(\theta) + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{s}_t + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{v}_t \\ \mathbf{s}_t &= \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^a, \theta, \mathbf{H}_p) + \mathbf{T}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^p, \theta, \mathbf{H}_p)\mathbf{s}_{t-1} + \mathbf{R}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^p, \theta, \mathbf{H}_p)\mathbf{Q}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^v) \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \\ \mathbf{Q}(\tilde{\zeta}_t^v) &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{R, \tilde{\zeta}_t^v}, \sigma_{g, \tilde{\zeta}_t^v}, \sigma_{a, \tilde{\zeta}_t^v}), \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \sim N(0, I) \\ \mathbf{U} &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{GDP}, \sigma_{Infl}, \sigma_{FFR}), \mathbf{v}_t \sim N(0, I) \\ \tilde{\zeta}_t^x &= 1 \dots m^x, \mathbf{H}_{x, i, j} = p(\tilde{\zeta}_t^x = i | \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1}^x = j) \text{ for } x = p, a, v. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_t$  is a vector of observation errors and  $\mathbf{Y}_t$  includes a set of observable variables.

In our examples:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} GDP_t \\ INFL_t \\ FFR_t \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4\pi \\ 4(\pi + r) \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Estimating a MS-DSGE model

Under fixed coefficient, a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm can be used to make draws from the posterior, where the **Kalman filter** is used to evaluate the likelihood  $\ell(\theta, M, \sigma_{\zeta} | \mathbf{Y}^T)$ .

In presence of regime changes:

- 1 The standard Kalman filter cannot be used: We need to infer the paths of the Markov chain  $(\zeta^T)$  and of the DSGE state vector  $(\mathbf{S}^T)$
- 2 Possible methods:
  - Trimming approximation: Keep track of a limited number of paths (Schorfheide, 2005, Bianchi, 2009)
  - Kim's approximation: Number of paths is kept finite approximating the distribution of  $S^T$  (Bianchi 2013)
  - Gibbs sampling algorithm

# Kim's approximation of the likelihood

Combine the MS states of the structural parameters and of the heteroskedastic shocks in a unique chain,  $\zeta_t$ .  $\zeta_t$  can assume  $m$  different values, with  $m = m^p * m^a * m^v$ , and evolves according to the transition matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_p \otimes \mathbf{H}_a \otimes \mathbf{H}_v$ . I will use the following notation:

$$\mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}(\zeta_t = j), \quad \mathbf{T}_j = \mathbf{T}(\zeta_t = j), \quad \mathbf{Q}_j = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_t = j), \quad \mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{R}(\zeta_t = j)$$

For a given set of parameters, and some assumptions about the initial DSGE state variables and MS latent variables, we can recursively run the following filter.

**Step 1:** Build forecasts for  $Y_t$  conditional on each possible regime path  $(\zeta_{t-1}, \zeta_t)$ :

$$\mathbf{s}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{c}_j + \mathbf{T}_j^i \mathbf{s}_{t-1|t-1}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{T}_j \mathbf{P}_{t-1|t-1}^i \mathbf{T}_j' + \mathbf{R}_j \mathbf{Q}_j^2 \mathbf{R}_j'$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{s}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{Z}' + \mathbf{U}^2$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{t|t}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{s}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} + \mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{Z}' \left( \mathbf{f}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{t|t}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} - \mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{Z}' \left( \mathbf{f}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{P}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)}$$

# Kim's approximation of the likelihood

**Step 2:** Compute the the likelihood density of observation  $Y_t$  is given by:

$$\ell(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m f(\mathbf{Y}_t | \zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j, \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}) * \Pr[\zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}]$$

$$f(\mathbf{y}_t | \zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j, \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}) = \frac{|\mathbf{f}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)}|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)'} \mathbf{f}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)} \mathbf{e}_{t|t-1}^{(i,j)}\right\}$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}^{t-1} \equiv \{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{t-1}\}$  and

$$\Pr[\zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}] = \mathbf{H}_{ji} \Pr[\zeta_{t-1} = i | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}]$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_{ji} = \Pr(\zeta_t = j | \zeta_{t-1} = i)$ .

**Step 3:** Update probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\tilde{\zeta}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1} | \mathbf{Y}^t) &= \frac{\ell(\mathbf{y}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1} | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})}{\ell(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})} \\ &= \frac{\ell(\mathbf{y}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1} | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}) \Pr(\tilde{\zeta}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1} | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})}{\ell(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})}\end{aligned}$$

$$\Pr(\tilde{\zeta}_t | \mathbf{Y}^t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \Pr(\tilde{\zeta}_t, \tilde{\zeta}_{t-1} = i | \mathbf{Y}^t)$$

# Kim's approximation of the likelihood

**Step 4:** Collapse the  $m \times m$  elements of  $\mathbf{s}_{t|t}^{(i,j)}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{t|t}^{(i,j)}$  into  $m$  elements which are represented by  $\mathbf{s}_{t|t}^j$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{t|t}^j$ :

$$\mathbf{s}_{t|t}^j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \Pr[\zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^t] \mathbf{s}_{t|t}^{(i,j)}}{\Pr[\zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^t]}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{t|t}^j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \Pr[\zeta_{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^t] \left( \mathbf{P}_{t|t}^{(i,j)} + \left( \mathbf{s}_{t|t}^j - \mathbf{s}_{t|t}^{(i,j)} \right) \left( \mathbf{s}_{t|t}^j - \mathbf{s}_{t|t}^{(i,j)} \right)' \right)}{\Pr[\zeta_t = j | \mathbf{Y}^t]}$$

**Step 5:** If  $t = T$ , stop. Otherwise, go back to step 1.

# Trimming approximation

- The idea is to keep track of a limited number of alternative paths for the Markov-switching states.
- Paths with low probability are trimmed or approximated using Kim's algorithm.
- Combine  $\tilde{\zeta}_t^p$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_t^a$ , and  $\tilde{\zeta}_t^v$  to obtain  $\tilde{\zeta}_t$ .  $\tilde{\zeta}_t$  can assume values from 1 to  $m$ , where  $m = m_p * m_a * m_v$ , and it evolves according to the transition matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_p \otimes \mathbf{H}_a \otimes \mathbf{H}_v$ .
- Suppose the algorithm has reached time  $t$ . From previous steps, we have a  $((t-1) \times l_{t-1})$  matrix  $L$  containing the  $l_{t-1}$  retained paths, a vector  $L_p$  collecting the probabilities assigned to the different paths, and a  $(n \times l_{t-1})$  matrix  $L_s$  and a  $(n \times n \times l_{t-1})$  matrix  $L_P$  containing respectively means and covariance matrices of the DSGE state vector corresponding to each of the  $l_{t-1}$  paths.

# Trimming approximation

The goal is to approximate the likelihood for time  $t$ ,  $\ell(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})$  for a given a set of parameters:

1.  $\forall i = 1 \dots l_{t-1}$ ,  $\forall j = 1 \dots L(t-1, i)$ , compute a one-step-ahead Kalman filter with  $\mathbf{s}_{t-1|t-1}^i = L_s(:, i)$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{t-1|t-1}^i = L_p(:, :, i)$ . This will return  $f(\mathbf{y}_t | \zeta^{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j, Y_{t-1})$ , i.e. the probability of observing  $\mathbf{y}_t$  given *history*  $i$  and  $\zeta_t = j$ . At the end of this step we will have a total of  $l_{t-1} * m$  possible histories that are stored in  $L'$ .  $\forall i$  and  $\forall j$  save  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_{t|t}^{(ij)}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{t|t}^{(ij)}$  and store them in  $L'_s$  and  $L'_p$ .
2. Compute the ex-ante probabilities for each of the  $l_{t-1} * m$  possible paths using the transition matrix  $\mathbf{H}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_{t|t-1}(j, i) &= p_{t-1|t-1}(i) * \mathbf{H}(j, L(t-1, i)) \\ p_{t-1|t-1}(i) &= L_p(i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $L(t-1, i)$  is the regime in place at time  $t-1$  based on the  $i$ th history.

3. Compute the likelihood density of observation  $\mathbf{y}_t$  as a weighted average of the conditional likelihoods:

$$f(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{l_t} p_{t|t-1}(j, i) f(\mathbf{y}_t | \zeta^{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j, \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})$$

4. Update the probabilities for the different paths:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{t|t}(i') &= \frac{p_{t|t-1}(j, i) f(\mathbf{y}_t | \zeta^{t-1} = i, \zeta_t = j, \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})}{f(\mathbf{y}_t | \mathbf{Y}^{t-1})} \\ i' &= 1 \dots l_{t-1} * m \end{aligned}$$

and store them in  $L'_p$ .

5. Reorder  $L'_p$  in decreasing order and rearrange  $L'_s$ ,  $L'_p$  and  $L'$  accordingly. Retain  $l_t$  of the possible paths where  $l_t = \min \{B, l\}$ , where  $B$  is an arbitrary integer and  $l > 0$  is such that

$$\sum_{i'=1}^l \tilde{p}_{t|t}(i') \geq tr$$

where  $tr > 0$  is an arbitrary threshold (for example:  $B = 100$ ,  $tr = 0.99$ ). Update the matrices  $L_p$ ,  $L_s$ , and  $L$ :

$$L_p = L'_p(:, :, 1 : l_t), \quad L_s = L'_s(:, 1 : l_t), \quad L = L'(:, 1 : l_t)$$

6. Rescale the probabilities of the retained paths and update  $L_p$ :

$$L_p(i) = p_{t|t}(i) = \frac{\tilde{p}_{t|t}(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{l_t} \tilde{p}_{t|t}(j)}, \quad i = 1 \dots l_t$$

Note: Kim's approximation can be applied to the trimmed paths.

# Gibbs sampling for a MS-DSGE: Heteroskedasticity

General form:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \mathbf{D}(\theta) + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{s}_t + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{v}_t \\ \mathbf{s}_t &= \mathbf{T}(\theta)\mathbf{s}_{t-1} + \mathbf{R}(\theta)\mathbf{Q}(\xi_t^v)\boldsymbol{\eta}_t \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\xi_t^v} &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{R,\xi_t^v}, \sigma_{g,\xi_t^v}, \sigma_{a,\xi_t^v}), \boldsymbol{\eta}_t \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}) \\ \mathbf{U} &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{GDP}, \sigma_{Infl}, \sigma_{FFR}), \mathbf{v}_t \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}) \\ \xi_t^v &= 1 \dots m^v, \mathbf{H}_{i,j}^v = p(\xi_t^v = i | \xi_{t-1}^v = j) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_t$  is a vector of observation errors and  $Y_t$  includes a set of observable variables.

In our examples:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} GDP_t \\ INFL_t \\ FFR_t \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4\pi \\ 4(\pi + r) \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Assume a Dirichlet distribution for the columns of  $\mathbf{H}^v$  and an inverse gamma prior for the elements of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{U}$ .

# Gibbs sampling for a MS-DSGE: Heteroskedasticity

While  $n < n_{sim}$ :

- 1 Given  $\mathbf{S}_{n-1}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{\zeta^v, n-1}$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_{v, n-1}$ , use Bayesian updating to get a filtered estimate of  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v, T}$  and then draw a sequence for  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v, T}$  (see Kim and Nelson and Hamilton)
- 2 Given  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v, T}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{v, n}$  can be drawn according to a Dirichlet distribution
- 3 Conditional on  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v, T}$ , evaluate the likelihood of the state space form model using the Kalman filter. Draw  $\theta_n$  by using a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. This step also returns filtered estimates for  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_n^T$
- 4 Use a backward procedure to draw  $\mathbf{S}_n^T$
- 5 Conditional on  $\mathbf{S}_n^T$ , model innovations are observable:
  - Conditional on  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v, T}$ , draw each of the elements of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\zeta^v, n}$  with an inverse gamma.
  - Draw each of the elements of  $\mathbf{U}$  with an inverse gamma.

# Gibbs sampling for a MS-DSGE: General case

General form:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \mathbf{D}(\theta) + \mathbf{Z}s_t + \mathbf{U}v_t \\ s_t &= \mathbf{C}(\zeta_t^a, \theta, \mathbf{H}^a) + \mathbf{T}(\zeta_t^p, \theta, \mathbf{H}^p) s_{t-1} + \mathbf{R}(\zeta_t^p, \theta, \mathbf{H}^p) \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_t^v) \varepsilon_t \\ \mathbf{Q}_{\zeta_t^v} &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{R, \zeta_t^v}, \sigma_{g, \zeta_t^v}, \sigma_{a, \zeta_t^v}), \varepsilon_t \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}) \\ \mathbf{U} &= \text{diag}(\sigma_{GDP}, \sigma_{Infl}, \sigma_{FFR}), v_t \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}) \\ \zeta_t^x &= 1 \dots m^{xx}, \mathbf{H}_{x, i, j} = p(\zeta_t^x = i | \zeta_{t-1}^x = j) \text{ for } x = p, a, v. \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_t$  is a vector of observation errors and  $Y_t$  includes a set of observable variables.  
In our examples:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} GDP_t \\ INFL_t \\ FFR_t \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{D}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4\pi \\ 4(\pi + r) \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Assume a Dirichlet distribution for the columns of  $\mathbf{H}_v$  and an inverse gamma prior for the elements of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{U}$ .

# Gibbs sampling for a MS-DSGE: General case

While  $n < n_{sim}$ :

- 1 Given  $\mathbf{S}_{n-1}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_{\zeta^{v,n-1}}$ , and  $\mathbf{H}_{v,n-1}$ , use Bayesian updating to get a filtered estimate of  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{v,T}$  and then draw a sequence for  $\zeta_n^{v,T}$  (see Kim and Nelson and Hamilton)
- 2 Given  $\zeta_n^{v,T}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{v,n}$  can be drawn according to a Dirichlet distribution
- 3 Conditional on  $\zeta_n^{v,T}$ , draw  $\mathbf{H}_{p,n}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{a,n}$ , and  $\theta_n$  by using a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. Evaluate the likelihood of the state space form model using a modified Kalman filter. This step also returns filtered estimates for the joint distribution of  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{p,T}$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_n^{a,T}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_n^T$ .
- 4 Use a backward procedure to draw  $\zeta_n^{p,T}$  and  $\zeta_n^{a,T}$ .
- 5 Conditional on  $\zeta_n^{p,T}$ ,  $\zeta_n^{a,T}$  and  $\theta_n$ , use a backward procedure to draw  $\mathbf{S}_n^T$
- 6 Conditional on  $\zeta_n^{p,T}$ ,  $\zeta_n^{a,T}$ , and  $\mathbf{S}_n^T$ , model innovations are observable:
  - Conditional on  $\zeta_n^{v,T}$ , draw each of the elements of  $\mathbf{Q}_{\zeta^{v,n}}$  with an inverse gamma.
  - Draw each of the elements of  $\mathbf{U}$  with an inverse gamma.

# Gibbs sampling for a MS-DSGE: Alternatives

A bit more work would allow us to get rid of the bottle-neck represented by the likelihood approximation

For example, we could draw a sequence for the regime sequences  $\zeta_n^{p,T}$  and  $\zeta_n^{a,T}$ :

- 1 Likelihood can be computed by using the basic Kalman filter
- 2 A Metropolis-Hastings algorithm needs to be used to draw the regime sequences and the transition matrix.
- 3 There could be problems with convergence of the algorithm, especially if the chain is not initialized close to the posterior mode.

# Counterfactual simulations

For each draw we can compute a sequence of shocks: " $T$ "

- Therefore, for each draw we can reconstruct a counterfactual path for the macroeconomic variables changing
  - 1 Regime sequence (for example, always hawkish)
  - 2 Policy makers' behavior (for example, more hawkish when hawkish)
  - 3 Agents' beliefs (agents can be more optimistic/pessimistic, other regimes can be introduced)

$$\mathbf{s}_t = \mathbf{c} \left( \hat{\xi}_t^a, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}^a \right) + \mathbf{T} \left( \hat{\xi}_t^p, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p \right) \mathbf{s}_{t-1} \\ + \mathbf{R} \left( \hat{\xi}_t^p, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}^p \right) \mathbf{Q} \left( \hat{\xi}_t^v \right) \boldsymbol{\eta}_t$$

- Counterfactual simulations are robust to the Lucas' critique because model is re-solved taking into account the change in agents' information set

# Shock decomposition

The law of motion for the DSGE state vector  $\mathbf{s}_t$  is given by:

$$\underset{(n \times 1)}{\mathbf{s}_t} = \underset{\zeta_t^a}{\mathbf{c}} + \underset{(n \times n)(n \times 1)}{\mathbf{T}_{\zeta_t^p}} \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + \underset{(n \times k)(k \times 1)}{\mathbf{R}_{\zeta_t^p}} \mathbf{e}_t$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_t = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_t^v)''_t$ .

Let  $\mathbf{s}_0$  denote the DSGE state vector at time 0. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t &= \sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \left[ \prod_{v=0}^{x-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} \right] \mathbf{c}_{\zeta_{t-x}^a} + \prod_{x=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-x}^p} \mathbf{s}_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \left[ \prod_{v=0}^{x-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} \right] \mathbf{R}_{\zeta_{t-x}^p} \mathbf{e}_{t-x} \end{aligned}$$

where for  $x = 0$  I assume  $\prod_{v=0}^{-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} = \mathbf{I}$ .

# Shock decomposition

If we define  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_t \equiv \left[ \mathbf{1}_{(1,n)} \otimes \mathbf{e}_t \right]'$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{(1,n)}$  is a  $(1, n)$  vector with all the elements equal to 1, and the operator  $\Gamma$  that given a matrix  $X$  returns a column vector containing the sums of the elements of each row, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t &= \sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \left[ \prod_{v=0}^{x-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} \right] \mathbf{C}_{\zeta_{t-x}^a} + \prod_{x=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-x}} \mathbf{s}_0 \\ &\quad + \Gamma \left( \sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \left[ \prod_{v=0}^{x-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} \right] \mathbf{R}_{\zeta_{t-x}^p} \circ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{t-x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\circ$  represents the Hadamard product, a binary operation that takes two matrices of the same dimensions and produces another matrix where each element  $ij$  is the product of elements  $ij$  of the original two matrices. At each point in time the cumulative contribution of the shock  $e_i$  to the  $j$ th variable in the vector  $\mathbf{s}_t$  can then be obtained extracting the  $ji$  element of the matrix

$\sum_{x=0}^{t-1} \left[ \prod_{v=0}^{x-1} \mathbf{T}_{\zeta_{t-v}^p} \right] \mathbf{R}_{\zeta_{t-x}^p} \circ \bar{\mathbf{e}}_{t-x}$ . Notice that in general a sequence of regimes will also affect the impact of the initial conditions  $\mathbf{s}_0$ .

# Shock decomposition

The shock decomposition can be computed recursively:

- 1 Contribution of Gaussian shocks. For each shock  $k$  and a regime sequence  $\tilde{\zeta}^T$ , we can compute:

$$\mathbf{s}_t^k = \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{\zeta}_t^p} \mathbf{s}_{t-1}^k + \mathbf{R}_{\tilde{\zeta}_t^p} \mathbf{e}_t^k$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  and where  $\mathbf{e}_t^k$  sets all shocks to zero except for the  $k$ th shock and  $\mathbf{s}_0^k = 0$ .

- 2 Contribution of the intercept:

$$\mathbf{s}_t^C = \mathbf{c}_{\tilde{\zeta}_t^a} + \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{\zeta}_t^p} \mathbf{s}_{t-1}^C$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  and  $\mathbf{s}_0^C = 0$ .

- 3 Contribution of initial values interacted with the regime sequence:

$$\mathbf{s}_t^{s_0} = \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{\zeta}_t^p} \mathbf{s}_{t-1}^{s_0}$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  and  $\mathbf{s}_0^{s_0} = \mathbf{s}_0$ .