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Key question for paper

- DNWR literature has been stuck for some time on measurement issues in characterizing wage distributions.
  - **Frequency of nominal wages cuts:** many papers find some incidence of nominal wage cuts; some have argued these cuts are largely due to measurement error.
  - **Frequency of nominal wage freezes:** evidence suggests high incidence of wage freezes potentially inflated by respondent rounding in survey data.

- Jardim, Solon & Vigdor main contribution:
  - **Use of administrative data:** WA UI data
  - Admin data potentially better source to measure wage change distributions as not subject to rounding error and potentially less prone to measurement error.
Main findings

- Incidence of wage freezes is quite low: 2.5-7.7%
- Relatively large incidence of nominal wage cuts: 20%
- Rejects ‘perfect’ downward nominal wage rigidity
Two other recent papers look at DNWR using administrative data.

- Kurmann and McEntarfer (2019): also use WA UI data, find similar incidence of wage freezes and nominal wage cuts.
- Grisby, Hurst, and Yildirmaz (2019): use ADP payroll data, find very small incidence of cuts in nominal base wages, more similar distribution to KM and JSV when accounting for bonuses.
Importance of irregular pay variations
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Summing up the recent literature on DNWR

- DNWR literature has mostly ignored the issue of variable components of pay (bonuses, overtime, commissions, tips) focusing on base wages.
- But these variable components mean that for the firm some workers wages are more adjustable than others; when accounting for flexible components of pay wage distributions look less rigid.
- Does the different ability to adjust wages across workers matter for firm employment decisions?
  - Maybe? Fruitful area for future research
  - Kurmann and McEntarfer provide supportive evidence that firms with more flexible pay structure had lower employment loss in the GR