

Discussion of Hassan and Mertens “Information Aggregation in a DSGE Model”

George-Marios Angeletos
MIT, UZH, and NBER

NBER MacroAnnual, April 2014

Key contribution(s) of the paper

- a method for embedding Grossman-Stiglitz in a large class of DSGE models
- a first pass on quantitative implications within RBC model

My comments

- solution method
- strengths vs limitations
- what do we learn for macro / asset pricing

Solution Method

- ① perfectly revealed history \Rightarrow no dynamic learning
- ② ex post risk-sharing \Rightarrow no wealth heterogeneity
- ③ uncertainty only about η \Rightarrow no speculation or HOB
 \Rightarrow static info-aggregation / signal-extraction problem
- ④ reverse-engineer noise-trader demand so as to preserve Gaussian structure

Solution Method

- 1 perfectly revealed history \Rightarrow no dynamic learning
- 2 ex post risk-sharing \Rightarrow no wealth heterogeneity
- 3 uncertainty only about η \Rightarrow no speculation or HOB
 \implies static info-aggregation / signal-extraction problem
- 4 reverse-engineer noise-trader demand so as to preserve Gaussian structure

Solution method

- there is a lot of mastery in what HM do...
- ...and in what follows I will not do justice to it

Illustration

- rational traders: $D(\bar{E}, Q; S)$
- noise traders: $T(\tau, ?)$
- market clearing: $D(\bar{E}, Q; S) + T(\tau, ?) = 0$
- key modeling problem: choose T such that

$Q =$ monotone transformation of a linear combination of \bar{E} and τ

Illustration

- rational traders: $D(\bar{E}, Q; S)$
- noise traders: $T(\tau, ?)$
- market clearing: $D(\bar{E}, Q; S) + T(\tau, ?) = 0$
- key modeling problem: choose T such that

$Q =$ monotone transformation of a linear combination of \bar{E} and τ

Case 1: textbook example

- mean-variance preferences imply

$$D = \frac{\bar{E} - Q}{v}$$

- if $T = \tau$, then market clearing gives

$$Q = \bar{E} + v\tau$$

which is a Gaussian signal of \bar{E} and hence of η
[to simplify, think of $v = 1$ in what follows]

Case 2: general linear demand

- now suppose

$$D = \beta(Q, S)\bar{E} + Z(Q, S)$$

where Z decreasing in Q

- to preserve Gaussian, now it suffices to set

$$T = \delta(Q, S)\tau$$

- how do we chose δ ?

- ▶ HM: set $\delta(Q, S) \sim \beta(Q, S)$
- ▶ but, different $\delta(Q, S) \Rightarrow$ different positive implications

Case 2: general linear demand

- now suppose

$$D = \beta(Q, S)\bar{E} + Z(Q, S)$$

where Z decreasing in Q

- to preserve Gaussian, now it suffices to set

$$T = \delta(Q, S)\tau$$

- how do we chose δ ?

- ▶ HM: set $\delta(Q, S) \sim \beta(Q, S)$
- ▶ but, different $\delta(Q, S) \Rightarrow$ different positive implications

Case 3: non-linear example

- non-linear case

$$D = \bar{E} + \bar{E}^2 + Z(Q, S)$$

- to preserve Gaussian, we now set

$$T = \delta\tau(1 + \delta\tau + 2\bar{E})$$

- but again: how do we chose δ ?
- and: how do we interpret the dependence of T on \bar{E} ?

Bottom line (so far)

- clever trick, but raises questions
- problem endemic to “noise traders”
- HM’s preferred resolution (in companion work):
replace “noise traders” with “near rationality”

Limits of the solution method

- key limitations
 - ▶ no dynamic learning
 - ▶ no persistent “pricing mistakes”
 - ▶ no “speculation” or rich HOB
 - ▶ no wealth heterogeneity
- fine for pedagogical reasons, but not if the goal is quantitative asset pricing

What have we learned? What is the ultimate goal?

- quantitative asset pricing?
- quantitative macro?
- interaction of macro and asset pricing?

Asset pricing?

- findings:
 - ▶ modest equity premium
 - ▶ can't match measured cross-sectional dispersion in beliefs
- how much does the macro/DSGE part add?
- perhaps drop DSGE and focus on learning/persistence/heterogeneity?
- perhaps drop info-aggregation and focus on belief heterogeneity?

Business cycles?

- effectively an RBC model with
 - ▶ “noisy news” (=price signal)
 - ▶ “government spending shocks” (=resource effect of noise traders)
- we already know how this kind of models works;
not clear how much we gain from endogenizing the “news”

Feedback from macro to info aggregation

- in my view, strength of approach rests on helping answer the following question:

how does macro activity and/or macro policy affect the aggregation of information in (and the informational efficiency of) financial markets?
- to answer this question, one *has* to use a framework like the one by Hassan and Mertens

Side comment: what kind of uncertainty (or news) are we after?

- uncertainty about “payoffs” (TFP, dividends)
- uncertainty about “actions” (aggregate demand, future prices)

Conclusion

- smart and elegant approach
- has important limitations, but also potential
- focus: feedback from macro to info aggregation