## **Exorbitant privilege and economic sanctions**

Maxim Chupilkin, Beata Javorcik, Alexander Plekhanov and Aleksandra Peeva





Economic sanctions have been proliferating, but do we really understand all of their implications? Are there some unintended consequences of sanctions?

Small, but growing literature, has typically looked at their implications for trade, but what about implications for the choice of currency used to invoice international trade?

Could sanctions undermine the dominance of traditional vehicle currencies by helping launch a new international currency?

For an importer (exporter), dealing in multiple currencies is costly

• Are sanctions a large enough shock to induce diversification and switching?

### Sanctions on Russia have given rise to talk about BRICS currency



#### By Rachel Savage

August 24, 2023 11:02 AM GMT+1 · Updated 3 months ago





Delegates walk past the logos of the BRICS summit during the 2023 BRICS Summit at the Sandton Convention Centre in Johannesburg, Africa on August 23, 2023. GIANLUIGI GUERCIA/Pool via...

a reuters.com



myFT.

#### China capitalises on US sanctions in fight to dethrone dollar

Beijing uses developing world chagrin over Washington's weaponisation of greenback to push global renminbi





#### Leaders | Heavy lies the crown

## The power and the limits of the American dollar

The greenback is still king. But those who want to evade it are finding ways to do so



IMAGE: GETTY IMAGES

Apr 27th 2023

< Share

- VEDV CO OFTEN an annetite currer for

# CNY is also reported to be increasingly used in transactions involving divestment of asset holdings in Russia

## Search engine Yandex to sell Russian operations for \$5bn

Sale of 'Russia's Google' is largest by a western-held company seeking to exit the country since Ukraine war began



Yandex's Russian business will be owned by a consortium including members of the company's management and oil major Lukoil © Alexander Nemenov/AFP/Getty Images

The first part of the deal, set to close in the first half of 2024, envisions Yandex's parent selling 68 per cent of the Russian business for the cash equivalent of Rbs230bn and up to 67.8mn shares in the Dutch company.

"The cash consideration will be paid in Chinese Yuan outside of Russia," Yandex said.

Source: Financial Times 5 February 2024.

## CNY payments on the rise as a share of global SWIFT transactions since early 2022 – in particular for trade related payments



Source: SWIFT and authors' calculations.

Research question:

Have sanctions affected the choice of currency used to denominate exports to a sanctioned country?

Context: Western sanctions imposed in the aftermath of Russia's invasion of Ukraine

- Trade sanctions, financial sanctions with major banks disconnected from SWIFT, part of Central Bank reserves frozen
- This episode stands out in terms of its size, comprehensive nature and the size of the target economy (11<sup>th</sup> largest economy in 2021 at market exchange rates)

Data: Transaction-level data on Russia's imports 2016-2022

### Preview of the findings: Aggregate and firm-level evidence

The share of Russia's imports invoiced in yuan (CNY) increased by 17% points; CNY up 5pp among vehicle currencies

Number of importing firms in Russia dealing with CNY increased sharply, numbers dealing with USD and EUR dropped 97%+ importers work in a single currency for product \* country. In 2022, 4% diversified from 1 to 2+ currencies. Dominant move: USD vehicle  $\rightarrow$  CNY producer / vehicle

*Channels*? **Financial infrastructure + stance on sanctions: Use of CNY as a vehicle currency increased by 4% points** for trading partners that have an active PBOC **swap line and did not impose economic sanctions** on Russia

Concern about secondary sanctions: CNY share increased more for trade in (internationally) **sanctioned dual-use (**and **industrial) goods** and for **intermediated trade** (sanctioning origin or Western trademarks), controlling for importing firm-products

Higher compliance-driven fixed costs of trading in USD: Switching to CNY away from USD for smaller transactions, smaller firms

Hedging / utilizing CNY receipts by exporters? No evidence

So what? USD dominance boosts sanction effectiveness - but sanctions may undermine USD dominance over time

7

### Literature

Choice of invoicing currency, e.g., Goldberg and Tille (2008), Gopinath et al. (2010), Berthou (2023), Corsetti et al. (2023)

Rise of international currencies, e.g., Clayton et al. (2022), Bahaj and Reis (2020)

Effectiveness of economic sanctions, e.g., Crozet and Hinz (2020, 2021), Tyazhelnikov et al. (2023), Yang et al. (2009), Chupilkin et al. (2023ab), Babina et al. (2023), Efing et al. (2023), Besedes et al. (2017), Fisman et al. (2024)

### Rapid rise of CNY as producer & vehicle currency after imposition of sanctions



## Increased use of other producer currencies -- in imports from India, Turkiye, UAE – from a low base



## CNY as a vehicle currency up from <1% to 5%+ by end-2022 (as a share of imports in vehicle currencies)



## *Event study:* CNY invoicing gradually increasing between Mar and Nov 2022 in China-Russia trade while USD gradually down



Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. Regression coefficients where currency share in imports from China are interacted with dummy variables for each month. Left panel: dependent variable = share in producer currency; right panel dependent variable = share of USD in bilateral trade in a given month. Standard errors are clustered two-way on countries and months.

12

# Broad-based shifts: Share of firms using CNY as a vehicle currency up 2X, CNY as producer currency up 5X, also increases in terms of transaction records

	CNY producer	<b>CNY</b> vehicle	EUR vehicle EUR/USD prod.		RUB	USD vehicle	Other
			M	arch-December 202	1		
Import value	3.0	0.5	9.6	22.5	21.4	41.0	2.0
Transactions	2.6	0.3	10.9	29.0	28.9	25.7	2.5
Firms	4.4	1.4	20.4	29.1	6.0	34.4	4.4
			M	arch-December 2022	2		
Import value	13.0	2.2	9.1	. 14.0	16.2	44.2	1.3
Transactions	13.6	1.7	12.4	20.3	9.7	39.9	2.3
Firms	20.7	3.1	14.5	18.5	6.7	33.2	3.4

# Sharp increase in number of firms dealing with CNY invoices and a drop in numbers dealing with USD and EUR invoicing



## Many new entrants deal with CNY invoices high turnover of firms dealing with rouble invoicing (entries and exits)



Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. Entry rate into the business of dealing with invoicing in a given currency, in % of firms active in that line of business over the preceding 12 months. Firms tracked using unique tax identifiers of importers.

## For a given product X country, most importers work in a single currency (97%) In 2022, around 4% diversified from 1 to 2 currencies

% of trade where importing firms deal with 1, 2, 3 or 4+ currencies of invoicing, as % of import value, transaction records and firms

### By firm

## By firm X product X country

Number of currencies	1	2	3	>3	Number of currencies	1	2	3	>3
	Ma	arch-Decen	nber 2021			Ma	rch-Decen	nber 2021	
Import value	21.1	23.1	21.4	34.3	Import value	77.9	13.0	1.1	8.1
Transactions	25.5	28.2	21.7	24.6	Transactions	86.8	12.0	0.9	0.3
Firms	72.9	20.9	4.8	1.4	Firms	97.2	2.7	0.1	0.0
	Ma	arch-Decen	nber 2022			March-December 2022			
Import value	22.4	25.3	23.4	29.0	Import value	78.9	19.6	1.4	0.1
Transactions	32.8	29.9	19.1	18.2	Transactions	79.5	17.4	2.9	0.2
Firms	64.8	24.4	8.4	2.3	Firms	93.2	6.6	0.2	0.0

#### Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations.

### The dominant move: USD vehicle to CNY producer or CNY vehicle; also RUB to EUR

Transition matrix based on firm-product-country where firms are dealing with a single currency in Mar-Dec 2021, by probability of dealing with a given single currency in Mar-Dec 2022

	March-December 2022										
		CNY p	roducer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	EUR/USD prod.	Other	RUB		
	CNY producer		97.8	0.0	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2		
21	CNY vehicle		0.0	94.1	5.5	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1		
20	USD vehicle		11.1	1.4	85.8	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4		
Dec	EUR vehicle		1.0	0.8	3.1	93.6	0.1	0.6	0.7		
ar-l	EUR/USD producer		0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	99.4	0.0	0.1		
Σ	Other		0.1	0.3	3.3	4.0	0.3	91.8	0.2		
	RUB		1.0	0.3	2.4	2.8	8.5	0.2	84.7		

Comparing firm-product-country cells that relied on a single currency in Mar-Dec'21 and in Mar-Dec'22

### Firm-level analysis to shed light on mechanisms

Financial infrastructure (eg swap lines) may be important, countries' attitude to sanctions: compare countries

Concern about secondary sanctions: Compare **sanctioned products** vs other products; trade that is linked to sanctioning countries (origin, trademark) but going through neutral **intermediaries** 

Higher compliance-driven fixed costs of trading in USD? Compare different firms, transactions of different sizes

Hedging / utilizing CNY receipts by exporters? Look at firms that both export and import

Look at CNY prod / vehicle, USD vehicle, EUR vehicle, other producer currencies, RUB

# Looking at the currency shares in total bilateral trade in a given month for a given product group and importer firm

 $CurrencyShare_{fpit} = \beta_1 PostSanctions_t x Characteristic + \alpha_{fixed effects} + \varepsilon_{fpit}$ 

- · Robustness checks looking at shares by number of transactions
- Robustness also looking at aggregated view (shifts within and across firms): country\*month or product\*country\*month

Monthly aggregation Jan 2016 - Dec 2022; PostSanctions = 1 from March 2022, 0 otherwise

#### **Exporter types:**

- Sanctioning countries (45 countries including the EEA, US, UK)
- Neutral countries (China, Serbia, Turkiye, UAE)
- China, the largest trading partner post-sanctions

Product types based on EU sanctions lists (Chupilkin et al., 2023):

- Dual-use
- Industrial goods
- Luxury goods
- Other





# Producer currencies, CNY as vehicle more likely to be used in trade with neutral economies (same products, firms) at the expense of USD, EUR

 $CurrencyShare_{fpit} = \beta_1 PostSanctions_t x CountryGroup_i + \alpha_{fpt} + \alpha_{fpi} + \varepsilon_{fpit}$ 

Firm \* product \* month and firm \* product \* country fixed effects

VARIABLES	CNY	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Producer	RUB
Post-sanctions x China	0.164***	-0.157***	-0.00681***	0.192***	0.0102***
	(0.00441)	(0.00455)	(0.00258)	(0.00423)	(0.00137)
Post-sanctions x Neutral	0.0321***	-0.0346***	-0.0112***	0.00853***	0.00775***
	(0.00274)	(0.00396)	(0.00335)	(0.00183)	(0.00150)
Observations	5,191,160	4,739,909	2,011,381	5,191,160	5,191,160
R-squared	0.893	0.964	0.969	0.925	0.968

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups. Base group: 20 Sanctioning economies.

### Most economies increasingly using CNY invoices have currency swap lines with People's Bank of China

#### Top 20 countries by increase in share of invoicing Russian imports in CNY between 2021 and 2022



Note: Countries with at least 1 mln USD of imports invoiced in CNY in 2022

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations.

## Swap line is associated with extra 2-4% CNY invoicing share post sanctions – only for neutral countries

 $CurrencyShare_{fpit} = \beta_1 PostSanctions_t x SwapLine_{it} x Sanctioning_i + \beta_2 PostSanctions_t x SwapLine_{it} + \beta_3 PostSanctions_t * Sanctioning_i + \beta_4 SwapLine_{it} x Sanctioning_i + \beta_5 SwapLine_{it} + \alpha_{fpt} + \alpha_{fpi} + \varepsilon_{fpit}$  firm \* product \* month and firm \* product \* country FE

Alternatively, aggregate by country \* month with country and month fixed effects (to account for within-firm shifts and turnover of importers)

	Swa	p lines	Swap lines and sanctioning vs neutral			
Dep.var: Trade invoiced in CNY	Share of volume	Share of transactions	Share of volume	Share of transactions	Share of volume aggregated cells	
Post-sanctions x Swap line	0.00649***	0.00435**	0.0225***	0.0155***	0.0453***	
	(0.00169)	(0.00177)	(0.00448)	(0.00479)	(0.0159)	
Post-sanctions x Swap line x Sanctioning			-0.0206***	-0.0139***	-0.0473***	
			(0.00451)	(0.00480)	(0.0172)	
Post-sanctions x Sanctioning			-0.0168***	-0.0224***	0.00199	
-			(0.00374)	(0.00411)	(0.00568)	
Post-sanctions x China	0.154***	0.145***	0.148***	0.135***	0.282***	
	(0.00466)	(0.00522)	(0.00564)	(0.00652)	(0.0214)	
Swap line	-0.00204***	-0.00228***	-0.00262	-0.00231	0.0133	
	(0.000417)	(0.000417)	(0.00233)	(0.00235)	(0.0108)	
Swap line x Sanctioning			0.000837	0.000145	-0.0118	
			(0.00236)	(0.00239)	(0.0109)	
Observations	5,191,160	5,193,633	5,191,160	5,193,633	12,397	
R-squared	0.893	0.897	0.893	0.897	0.479	

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on products (columns 1-4) or twoway on countries and months (aggregated view, Column 5).

### Event study: Swap line + sanctions effect for neutral countries gradually rising over time



#### Sanctioning countries

### **Neutral countries**



Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. Sanctioning economies are all economies that introduced trade sanctions. Estimated from a single regression, which includes country and month fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered two-way on countries and months.

### Is CNY invoicing used more widely for internationally sanctioned goods?



## Significant reduction in (within-firm) use of USD as vehicle, increase in use of producer currencies and CNY as vehicle for trade in dual-use sanctioned goods

For each **firm** *f*, **product** *p*, **partner country** *i*, **and month** *t*, estimate interactions sanctioned Product type \* Post-sanctions Comprehensive sets of fixed effects: firm \* month \* country and firm \* product \* country

#### $CurrencyShare_{fpit} = \beta PostSanctions_t * ProductType_p + \alpha_{fpi} + \alpha_{fti} + \epsilon_{fpit}$

Dep. var: share of trade	CNY producer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Other producer	RUB
Post-sanctions x Dual-use	0.00227**	0.000337***	-0.000932**	-0.000119	0.000275	0.000116
	(0.00114)	(0.000105)	(0.000404)	(0.000311)	(0.000331)	(0.000175)
Post-sanctions x Industrial	0.00455***	5.39e-05	-0.00180***	0.000496	0.000806	-0.000102
	(0.00174)	(0.000161)	(0.000674)	(0.000584)	(0.000576)	(0.000321)
Post-sanctions x Luxury	-0.00445*	-0.000469	0.00217**	0.00136**	-8.15e-05	-0.00126***
	(0.00233)	(0.000285)	(0.000866)	(0.000557)	(0.000554)	(0.000301)
Observations	4,022,404	19,640,186	22,797,008	13,333,837	8,445,851	23,662,590
R-squared	0.922	0.957	0.977	0.980	0.974	0.985

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Sanctioned good groupings are based on EU sanction packages. 25 Standard errors are clustered on product groups.

### Aggregate effects (within firms + firm turnover) are significantly larger

Aggregate by product\* country\* month with product \* country and country \* month FE

 $CurrencyShare_{pit} = \beta PostSanctions_t * ProductType_p + \alpha_{pi} + \alpha_{it} + \epsilon_{pit}$ 

VARIABLES	CNY producer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Other producer	RUB
Post-sanctions x Dual-use	0.0628***	0.00603***	-0.0114***	-0.00177	0.00653***	-0.00231
	(0.00687)	(0.000664)	(0.00211)	(0.00231)	(0.00132)	(0.00161)
Post-sanctions x Industrial	0.0670***	0.00437***	-0.00928**	-0.00287	0.00403*	-0.00387
	(0.0122)	(0.00122)	(0.00389)	(0.00452)	(0.00227)	(0.00313)
Post-sanctions x Luxury	-0.0512***	-0.00429***	0.00930***	0.0177***	-0.00487***	-0.0341***
	(0.00890)	(0.000782)	(0.00286)	(0.00356)	(0.00177)	(0.00234)
	. 10 .00	5 . Ta - T	1.1.2.12.			
Observations	240,255	4,353,377	4,428,958	2,755,898	2,350,969	4,593,632
R-squared	0.392	0.432	0.723	0.704	0.561	0.699

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups.

# Intermediated trade is more likely to use producer currencies incl. CNY, CNY as vehicle, less likely to use USD as a vehicle currency (same products, partner countries, firms)

Focus on Post-sanctions trade from neutral economies and distinguish between Intermediated versus neutral trade Aggregate by firm \* product \* country\*month \* trade type with firm \* product \* country and product \* country \* month FE

Intermediated trade: Goods of sanctioning origin or under Western trademarks exported by traders in neutral economies Neutral trade: Goods of neutral origin under neutral trademarks exported by traders in neutral economies

 $CurrencyShare_{fpitn} = \beta_1 Intermediated_n + \alpha_{pit} + \alpha_{fpi} + \varepsilon_{fpitn}$ 

VARIABLES	CNY producer	<b>CNY</b> vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Other producer	RUB
Intermediated	<b>0.0108</b> ***	<b>0.00235**</b>	<b>-0.0111</b> ***	<b>0.00400*</b>	<b>0.00194</b> ***	0.000447
	(0.00256)	(0.00109)	(0.00245)	(0.00217)	(0.000499)	(0.000389)
Observations	653,601	405,170	1,057,424	1,057,297	402,349	1,058,771
R-squared	0.809	0.852	0.848	0.931	0.825	0.885

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups. Sample restricted to neutral economies and the post-sanctions period (where intermediated trade is defined and prevalent).

## Effects are also observed for log trade volume invoiced in a given currency: For sanctioned products, volumes in USD as vehicle currency are down, CNY up

### Sometimes effects can pull in opposite directions when trade diversion is strong: USD volumes are up in trade with neutral countries vs sanctioning even as shares are down

		Sanctions			Countries	
Dep.var: log	CNY producer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	CNY	USD vehicle	Producer
Post-sanctions x Dual-use	0.443***	0.626***	-0.112***			
	(0.0537)	(0.0984)	(0.0268)			
Post-sanctions x Industrial	0.333***	0.251	0.0835			
	(0.0947)	(0.181)	(0.0535)			
Post-sanctions x Luxury	-0.452***	0.00360	-0.0370			
	(0.0657)	(0.112)	(0.0312)			
Post-sanctions x China				0.697**	1.069***	2.475***
				(0.347)	(0.179)	(0.155)
Post-sanctions x Neutral				0.897*	0.910***	1.406***
				(0.470)	(0.213)	(0.428)
Observations	136,038	58 <i>,</i> 535	2,245,265	1,623	11,096	4,469
R-squared	0.615	0.612	0.702	0.798	0.879	0.900

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups (columns 1-3) or countries (columns 4-6).

# What kind of firms tend to process invoices in a particular currency (before vs after sanctions)?

1,186 firms with state ownership >25% (ultimate owners in Orbis)

872 systemically important importers (Russia's Ministry of Economic Development list)

- Key employers in an industry, region or market (defined by competition authority)
- Important for national defence, advanced technologies, ICT, transport connectivity
- Of which 705 privately owned

Large firms: Max imports > USD 27 million per annum (top 25% of systemic firms) Size = In[imports], defined as max imports by a firm in a calendar year across 2016-22

New firms: Appear in the dataset for the first time within the last 12 months, non-state or systemic

# Post-sanctions, USD as vehicle used more by larger firms, CNY as producer and vehicle currency by smaller firms (same products, countries): High fixed costs of dealing in USD?

Estimate interactions Firm characteristics \* Post-sanctions with firm \* product \* country and product \* country \* month FE  $CurrencyShare_{fpit} = \beta_1 * PostSanctions_t * FirmSize/Type_f + \beta_2 * FirmType_{ft} + \alpha_{pi} + \alpha_{fp} + \epsilon_{fpit}$ 

VARIABLES	CNY producer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Other producer	RUB
Post-sanctions x Firm size, log	- <b>0.00944**</b>	-0.000923	<b>0.00528***</b>	<b>0.000956*</b>	- <b>0.00258</b> ***	- <b>0.00293</b> ***
	(0.00381)	(0.000677)	(0.00147)	(0.000531)	(0.000899)	(0.000803)
Post-sanctions x New firm	-0.00494	0.00156	0.00419	0.000687	-0.00492	- <b>0.00463*</b>
	(0.0115)	(0.00312)	(0.00675)	(0.00288)	(0.00445)	(0.00250)
Post-sanctions x Strategic and private	-0.0384	0.00278	0.0127	-0.00148	0.00474	0.0121
	(0.0311)	(0.00371)	(0.0130)	(0.00466)	(0.00393)	(0.00790)
Post-sanctions x State-owned	-0.00403	0.00106	0.0105	- <b>0.0256*</b>	0.0233	<b>0.0180</b> ***
	(0.105)	(0.00547)	(0.0369)	(0.0137)	(0.0180)	(0.00687)
New firm	<b>0.0116**</b>	0.000231	-0.000737	0.00150	-0.00199	-0.00101
	(0.00454)	(0.000427)	(0.00168)	(0.00138)	(0.00176)	(0.00136)
Observations	4,257,916	18,404,484	21,806,504	12,509,264	8,141,729	23,408,677
R-squared	0.786	0.796	0.944	0.963	0.933	0.950

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups. Firm size = maximum annual imports by a firm across years.

30

## Post-sanctions, USD as vehicle used more for larger transactions, CNY as producer and vehicle currency for smaller transactions: High fixed costs of dealing in USD?

For each record **j** in the data, define transaction size as the sum of purchases by a given importer in a given currency in a given month from a given country (similar to a supermarket bill where one could pay for multiple products – but same currency) Match transaction size to each record and estimate LPM with product \* country \* month and firm \* product \* country FE

VARIABLES	CNY producer	CNY vehicle	USD vehicle	EUR vehicle	Other producer	RUB
Post-sanctions x Transaction size, log	-0.00517***	-0.00210***	0.00480***	0.00148***	-0.000291	-0.00781***
	(0.00122)	(0.000626)	(0.000683)	(0.000513)	(0.000358)	(0.000651)
Transaction size, log	0.0113***	-7.91e-06	-0.00169***	0.00103	-0.00364***	0.0115***
	(0.00179)	(4.88e-05)	(0.000614)	(0.000916)	(0.000570)	(0.000949)
Observations	13,786,404	114,774,231	124,671,226	66,662,473	48,986,660	128,560,635
R-squared	0.804	0.799	0.948	0.952	0.927	0.964

#### $Currency_{fpitj} = \beta_1 * PostSanctions_t * logTransSize_j + \beta_2 * logTransSize_j + \alpha_{pit} + \alpha_{fpi} + \epsilon_{fpitj}$

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on product groups.

## Hedging / liquidity management by exporters? Focus on 1/3 of importers that also export directly

#### Use of CNY appears to occur first on the import side: By Q4 2022, 31% of firms only imported in CNY; 1.4% only exported, 0.5% had both exports and imports invoiced in CNY



Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. Based on 44,889 firms that have both imports and exports recorded.

# No conclusive evidence of hedging from imports to invoicing exports either, looking at firms invoicing in a given quarter depending on invoicing in the previous quarter

Of the firms that only imported in CNY in Q3 2022, 6.5% also export in CNY in Q4 2022 while at the same time 11.6% neither export nor import in CNY

		Q4 :	2022					Q4 2	2021		
		Both	Export	Import	Neither			Both	Export	Import	Neither
	Both	72.0	5.0	) 21.1	1 1.9		Both	70.2	3.5	26.3	0.0
02 2022	Export	23.2	57.9	9.5	5 9.5	02 2021	Export	2.4	73.2	4.9	19.5
Q3 2022	Import	5.9	0.6	5 81.9	9 11.6	Q3 2021	Import	2.8	0.4	83.2	13.6
	Neither	0.6	5 1.7	7 13.8	8 83.9		Neither	0.0	0.2	1.5	98.3

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. Transition matrices: Columns show probability of having exports and / or imports invoiced in CNY in the next quarter depending on the use of invoicing in CNY in the previous quarter. Rows add up to 100%.

### Conclusions

The share of Russia's imports invoiced in yuan (CNY) increased by 17% points, CNY as a vehicle currency up

Use of CNY as a vehicle currency increased by 4% points for trading partners that have an active PBOC swap line and did not impose economic sanctions on Russia

CNY share increased more for trade in (internationally) **sanctioned dual-use** and **industrial goods**, and for **intermediated trade** (sanctioning countries of origin or Western trademarks)

**Higher compliance-driven fixed costs of trading in USD:** Switching to CNY away from USD for smaller transactions, smaller firms, controlling for products and types of trade

Hedging / utilizing CNY receipts by exporters? No evidence

So what?

USD dominance boosts sanction effectiveness – but sanctions may undermine USD dominance over time

## Annex





# Intermediated trade type 1: Sanctioning country of origin, exported previously by trader in a sanctioning economy, now by a trader in neutral economy





March-December 2021		March-December 2022			
Trading country	US\$, bn	Trading country	US\$ <i>,</i> bn		
Japan	0.735	Japan	0.382		
Germany	0.079	China	0.048		
United States	0.029	Indonesia	0.030		
Sweden	0.028	Germany	0.013		
Hong Kong	0.023	United States	0.009		
Belgium	0.014	Belarus	0.006		
Indonesia	0.010	Azerbaijan	0.004		
China	0.005	United Arab Emirates	0.002		
Russia	0.004	Estonia	0.002		
Estonia	0.003	Turkey	0.001		

## Intermediated trade type 2: Neutral origin, Western trademark exported previously by trader in a sanctioning economy, now by a trader in neutral economy



### High-end electronics (US brand)

March-December 2021		March-December 2022		
Trading country	US\$ <i>,</i> bn	Trading country	US\$, bn	
Ireland	3.29	Hong Kong	0.56	
Germany	0.00	United Arab Emirates	0.47	
France	0.00	United Kingdom	0.17	
United States	0.00	Kyrgyz Republic	0.07	
Latvia	0.00	Turkey	0.06	
Bulgaria	0.00	China	0.04	
Denmark	0.00	Serbia	0.04	
United Kingdom	0.00	Uzbekistan	0.02	
China	0.00	Ireland	0.02	
Netherlands	0.00	Kazakhstan	0.02	

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations.

### Geography of intermediated trade is varied



Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations.

### Use of currencies of invoicing in trade with China



### Use of currencies of invoicing in trade with India



### Use of currencies of invoicing in trade with Turkiye



## Sanctioning economies

#### Sanctioning Economies

	European Economic Area	
Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria
Croatia	Cyprus	Czech R.
Denmark	Estonia	Finland
France	Germany	Greece
Hungary	Iceland	Ireland
Italy	Latvia	Liechtenstein
Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta
Netherlands	Norway	Poland
Portugal	Romania	Slovak R.
Slovenia	Spain	Sweden
	Other	
Albania	Australia	Canada
Japan	Monaco	Montenegro
New Zealand	North Macedonia	Singapore
South Korea	Switzerland	Taipei China
Ukraine	United Kingdom	United States

Source: Authors.

### Semi-placebo: Swap-line effect, by country of origin of goods (instead of trading country)

	Swap lines		Swap lines and sanctioning vs neutra	
VARIABLES	By volume	By number	By volume	By number
Post-sanctions x Swap line	-0.00416	0.000447	0.00131	0.00830
	(0.00604)	(0.00695)	(0.0102)	(0.0128)
Post-sanctions x Swap line x Sanctioning			-0.00844	-0.0149
			(0.0136)	(0.0148)
Post-sanctions x Sanctioning			-0.00141	0.00154
			(0.00920)	(0.00810)
Post-sanctions x China	0.240***	0.230***	0.240***	0.230***
	(0.0125)	(0.00703)	(0.0147)	(0.0101)
Swap line	-0.00164	-0.000544	-0.00270	-0.00228
	(0.00224)	(0.00135)	(0.00186)	(0.00189)
Swap line x Sanctioning			0.00276	0.00578
			(0.00695)	(0.00383)
Observations	15,214	15,215	15,214	15,215
R-squared	0.205	0.196	0.205	0.196

Source: Russia customs statistics and authors' calculations. \*\*\*, \*\*, \* denote statistical significance at the 1%. 5%, 10% levels. Standard errors are clustered on products (columns 1-4) or twoway on countries and months (aggregated view, Column 5).