## Bankruptcy Resolution and Credit Cycles

Martin Kornejew Chen Lian Yueran Ma

Bonn Berkeley & NBER Chicago Booth & NBER

Pablo Ottonello Diego Perez
Maryland & NBER NYU & NBER

NBER Macro Annual

Credit cycles: leading topic in discussions about macroeconomic stability

Growing evidence: credit booms create real damage
 [Schularick and Taylor (2012); Mian, Sufi, and Verner (2017); Greenwood et al. (2022); Ivashina et al. (2024)]

### This paper: real damage following credit booms vary with bankruptcy institutions

- Credit booms ⇒ high debt burden, rising defaults ⇒ real damage
- Business bankruptcy institutions matter for resolution of default & its real damage

Legal institutions relevant for macroeconomic stability

Data: bankruptcy efficiency, business credit, & macro outcomes across 39 countries

- Djankov et al. (2008): measure % value preserved for a viable firm in bankruptcy
- Some countries liquidate inefficiently & incur high costs; other restructure efficiently

Data: bankruptcy efficiency, business credit, & macro outcomes across 39 countries

- Djankov et al. (2008): measure % value preserved for a viable firm in bankruptcy
- Some countries liquidate inefficiently & incur high costs; other restructure efficiently

#### **Empirical findings:**

- Low bankruptcy efficiency: business credit booms followed by long & severe contractions
- High bankruptcy efficiency: business credit booms followed by modest output changes

Data: bankruptcy efficiency, business credit, & macro outcomes across 39 countries

- Djankov et al. (2008): measure % value preserved for a viable firm in bankruptcy
- Some countries liquidate inefficiently & incur high costs; other restructure efficiently

#### **Empirical findings:**

- Low bankruptcy efficiency: business credit booms followed by long & severe contractions
- High bankruptcy efficiency: business credit booms followed by modest output changes

Model: how bankruptcy efficiency mitigates negative consequences of credit booms

• By avoiding inefficient liquidations

### Road Map

- Essence of Business Bankruptcy
- 2 Data
- Empirical Evidence
- Model
- Summary

## Why Bankruptcy Institutions Relevant

### #1 Economic outcomes depend on quality of default resolution

#### Default resolution:

- 1 Traditional approach: terminate operations, liquidate assets
  - ▶ Inefficient liquidation of viable companies induces substantial losses
    [Ramey and Shapiro (2001); Corbae and D'Erasmo (2021); Crouzet et al. (2022); Kermani and Ma (2023)]
  - ▶ Reduce output directly + generate negative macroeconomic spillovers
- 2 Modern approach: restructure viable firms if continuation value>liquidation value
  - Keep viable firms alive
  - Avoid output loss & its negative macroeconomic spillovers

#2 Quality of default resolution depends on bankruptcy institutions



## Why Bankruptcy Institutions Relevant

#1 Economic outcomes depend on quality of default resolution

### #2 Quality of default resolution depends on bankruptcy institutions

Bankruptcy: legal process to facilitate default resolution

Ideally: restructure viable firms, liquidate unviable firms

Functions of bankruptcy institutions (laws & courts):

- Alleviate information frictions: collect and verify info about the debtor
- Alleviate coordination frictions: prevent creditors' unilateral actions disrupting resolution
- Can be especially important for restructuring

## Road Map

- Essence of Business Bankruptcy
- 2 Data
- Empirical Evidence
- Model
- Summary

### Data

#### Combined sample: 39 countries from 2003 to 2019

Business credit data restrict # of countries, bankruptcy efficiency data start in 2003

**Business credit:** Bank of International Settlements (loans + bonds)


GDP, investment, unemployment, consumption: World Bank

### Bankruptcy efficiency: Djankov et al. (2008), extended by World Bank (2020)

- Example of viable firm in financial distress: continuation value 100, liquidation value 70
- Ask legal professionals in 100+ countries every year about the most likely scenario
  - ▶ E.g., outcome, value preserved, duration, and expenses
- Bankruptcy efficiency: % of continuation value preserved (net of expenses)
  - ▶ Positively correlated with recovery rate imputed from impairment/non-performing loans (BIS)

## Large Variation in Bankruptcy Efficiency around the World

Example Year: 2015



## Road Map

- Essence of Business Bankruptcy
- 2 Data
- 3 Empirical Evidence
- Model
- Summary

# Macro Dynamics following Business Credit Booms

Outcome after change in credit/GDP, à la Mian, Sufi, and Verner (2017)

Local projections for annual horizons h = 1, ..., 5, with country i & year t:

$$\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h} = \alpha_{i,h} + \beta_{1,h} \Delta_5 c_{i,t} + \beta_{2,h} \left( \Delta_5 c_{i,t} \times B_{i,t} \right) + \beta_{3,h} B_{i,t} + \gamma_h x_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- $\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h}$ : change in log real GDP, investment, consumption in the next h years
- $\Delta_5 c_{i,t}$ : change in business credit to GDP in the past 5 years
- $\bullet$   $B_{i,t}$ : bankruptcy efficiency

# Macro Dynamics following Business Credit Booms

Outcome after change in credit/GDP, à la Mian, Sufi, and Verner (2017)

Local projections for annual horizons h = 1, ..., 5, with country i & year t:

$$\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h} = \alpha_{i,h} + \beta_{1,h} \Delta_5 c_{i,t} + \beta_{2,h} \left( \Delta_5 c_{i,t} \times B_{i,t} \right) + \beta_{3,h} B_{i,t} + \gamma_h x_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

- $\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h}$ : change in log real GDP, investment, consumption in the next h years
- $\Delta_5 c_{i,t}$ : change in business credit to GDP in the past 5 years
- $\bullet$   $B_{i,t}$ : bankruptcy efficiency
- $\bullet$   $x_{i,t}$ : 5 lags of real GDP growth & changes in household credit to GDP in the past 5 years
- $\alpha_{i,h}$ : horizon-specific country fixed effects

## Macro Dynamics following Business Credit Booms

Outcome after change in credit/GDP, à la Mian, Sufi, and Verner (2017)

Local projections for annual horizons h = 1, ..., 5, with country i & year t:

$$\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h} = \alpha_{i,h} + \beta_{1,h} \Delta_5 c_{i,t} + \beta_{2,h} \left( \Delta_5 c_{i,t} \times B_{i,t} \right) + \beta_{3,h} B_{i,t} + \gamma_h x_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$


- $\Delta_h Y_{i,t+h}$ : change in log real GDP, investment, consumption in the next h years
- $\Delta_5 c_{i,t}$ : change in business credit to GDP in the past 5 years
- $\bullet$   $B_{i,t}$ : bankruptcy efficiency
- $x_{i,t}$ : 5 lags of real GDP growth & changes in household credit to GDP in the past 5 years
- $\alpha_{i,h}$ : horizon-specific country fixed effects

#### Findings:

- $\beta_{1,h}$  < 0: GDP, investment, & consumption significantly lower following credit booms
- $\beta_{2,h} > 0$ : less so when bankruptcy efficiency is high


## GDP following Business Credit Booms

Impulse response for bottom/top quartile of bankruptcy efficiency (w/ Driscoll-Kraay SEs)




## Investment following Business Credit Booms

Impulse response for bottom/top quartile of bankruptcy efficiency (w/ Driscoll-Kraay SEs)



## Consumption following Business Credit Booms

Impulse response for bottom/top quartile of bankruptcy efficiency (w/ Driscoll-Kraay SEs)



### Other Outcomes

- Unemployment: increases significantly in low bankruptcy efficiency countries
- TFP: decreases significantly in low bankruptcy efficiency countries
- Asset prices: decrease significantly in low bankruptcy efficiency countries
- Recovery: gradually over 10 years in low bankruptcy efficiency countries
- Recession probability & severity:
  - ▶ Recession probability increases following credit booms in low bankruptcy efficiency countries
  - ► Recessions are deeper & longer in low efficiency countries [Jordà et al. (2022)]

### Robustness Checks

- Concern: bankruptcy efficiency correlated with other factors that stabilize the economy
  - ▶ Control for development status, exchange rate regime, general rule of law, GDP volatility, cyclicality of monetary, fiscal, and macropru policy, & interacted with business credit booms

### Robustness Checks

- Concern: bankruptcy efficiency correlated with other factors that stabilize the economy
  - ► Control for development status, exchange rate regime, general rule of law, GDP volatility, cyclicality of monetary, fiscal, and macropru policy, & interacted with business credit booms
- Concern: recession may lower bankruptcy efficiency (e.g., court congestion)
  - Use bankruptcy efficiency at the beginning of sample
- Instrument bankruptcy efficiency with legal origins
  - ► Explain about 30% of the variations in bankruptcy efficiency
- Alternative windows for measuring business credit booms
- Check results are symmetric for business credit booms and contraction

### Road Map

- Empirical Evidence
- Model

### A Simple Theoretical Framework

Model: how & when bankruptcy efficiency mitigates negative consequences of credit booms

### **Ingredients:**

- Firms finance risky investments with defaultable debt & optimally choose leverage
- Following default, firms either liquidate (inefficient, output losses) or reorganize (efficient)
- Model the efficiency of bankruptcy institutions as the likelihood of inefficient liquidation

#### Model Predictions

**Predictions** for nonfundamental booms (driven by discount rates or biased beliefs):

- Credit booms are followed by lower output and more defaults
  - ▶ Higher leverage ⇒ more defaults ⇒ more inefficient liquidation & output losses
- More efficient bankruptcy mitigates the negative consequences of these credit booms
  - ▶ More efficient bankruptcy decreases the likelihood of inefficient liquidation
  - ▶ Despite more efficient bankruptcy increases the size of credit market & leverage
- Consistent with data

#### Model Predictions

Predictions for nonfundamental booms (driven by discount rates or biased beliefs):

- Credit booms are followed by lower output and more defaults
  - ► Higher leverage ⇒ more defaults ⇒ more inefficient liquidation & output losses
- More efficient bankruptcy mitigates the negative consequences of these credit booms
  - More efficient bankruptcy decreases the likelihood of inefficient liquidation
  - Despite more efficient bankruptcy increases the size of credit market & leverage
- Consistent with data

Predictions for fundamental booms (driven by increases in firms' productivity) are reversed:

- Boom followed by higher output and fewer defaults (because of increases in productivity)
- Inconsistent with data and the literature [Schularick and Taylor (2012); Mian, Sufi, and Verner (2017); Greenwood et al. (2022); Ivashina et al. (2024)]

## Summary

Credit booms detrimental when business bankruptcy functions poorly

Law and macro: legal institutions can matter for macroeconomic stability

- Has motivated bankruptcy reforms (e.g., Japan in 1990s)
- Can be even more important when the economy relies more on intangible capital

#### Macroprudential policies:

- Common view: use macroprudential policies to restrain credit booms to prevent crisis
- But macroprudential policies also have costs (e.g., regulatory burdens, misallocation)
- Net benefits higher when credit booms are likely to create real damage

### Summary

Credit booms detrimental when business bankruptcy functions poorly

Law and macro: legal institutions can matter for macroeconomic stability

- Has motivated bankruptcy reforms (e.g., Japan in 1990s)
- Can be even more important when the economy relies more on intangible capital

#### Macroprudential policies:

- Common view: use macroprudential policies to restrain credit booms to prevent crisis
- But macroprudential policies also have costs (e.g., regulatory burdens, misallocation)
- Net benefits higher when credit booms are likely to create real damage

#### Understanding default resolution in practice can be useful for macroeconomic analyses

• Ongoing: quantitative model to analyze macro implications of corporate debt contracts

### References I

- **Corbae, Dean and Pablo D'Erasmo**. Reorganization or liquidation: Bankruptcy choice and firm dynamics. *Review of Economic Studies*, 2021. 88(5):2239–2274.
- Crouzet, Nicolas, Janice C Eberly, Andrea L Eisfeldt, and Dimitris Papanikolaou. The economics of intangible capital. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2022. 36(3):29–52.
- **Djankov, Simeon, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh, and Andrei Shleifer**. Debt enforcement around the world. *Journal of Political Economy*, 2008. 116(6):1105–1149.
- **Greenwood, Robin, Samuel G Hanson, Andrei Shleifer, and Jakob Ahm Sørensen**. Predictable financial crises. *Journal of Finance*, 2022. 77(2):863–921.
- Ivashina, Victoria, Şebnem Kalemli-Özcan, Luc Laeven, and Karsten Müller. Corporate debt, boom-bust cycles, and financial crises. Working paper, 2024.
- Jordà, Òscar, Martin Kornejew, Moritz Schularick, and Alan M. Taylor. Zombies at large? Corporate debt overhang and the macroeconomy. *Review of Financial Studies*, 2022. 35(10):4561–4586.
- **Kermani, Amir and Yueran Ma**. Asset specificity of nonfinancial firms. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2023. 138(1):205–264.

#### References II


- Mian, Atif, Amir Sufi, and Emil Verner. Household debt and business cycles worldwide. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2017. 132(4):1755–1817.
- Ramey, Valerie A and Matthew D Shapiro. Displaced capital: A study of aerospace plant closings. *Journal of Political Economy*, 2001. 109(5):958–992.
- **Schularick, Moritz and Alan M. Taylor**. Credit booms gone bust: Monetary policy, leverage cycles, and financial crises, 1870-2008. *American Economic Review*, 2012. 102(2):1029–61.
- World Bank. Doing business. Technical report, 2020. Washington, D.C.

# GDP following Business Credit Booms

	h=1	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	(5) h = 5
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency	0.143***	0.319***	0.546***	0.633***	0.669***
	(0.048)	(0.080)	(0.114)	(0.134)	(0.172)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.146***	-0.310***	-0.490***	-0.555***	-0.576***
	(0.046)	(0.072)	(0.103)	(0.119)	(0.145)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.939	-1.385	-0.700	-0.260	-0.082
	(0.954)	(1.185)	(1.965)	(2.887)	(3.293)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	0.42	0.52	0.60	0.66	0.71
Observations	560	522	484	446	408


## GDP following Business Credit Booms: Longer Term

Longer term reduces # of obs (due to sample period)




+10 pp. business credit/GDP over past five years


### Business Credit Boom over Past 3 Years




### Business Credit Boom over Past 8 Years




### Symmetry between Credit Booms and Contractions



## Unemployment Rate following Business Credit Booms




## TFP following Business Credit Booms



### Stock Prices following Business Credit Booms


Stock price data available for 36 countries



+10 pp. business credit/GDP over past five years

### Credit Spreads following Business Credit Booms

Credit spread data available for 20 countries




+10 pp. business credit/GDP over past five years




## Recession Risk following Business Credit Booms


Recession defined as negative GDP growth



## Crisis Risk following Business Credit Booms




#### Control for Development Status



## Control for Development Status

	h=1	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	h = 5
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency	0.176**	0.347***	0.487***	0.425**	0.293
	(0.075)	(0.116)	(0.154)	(0.183)	(0.195)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.173**	-0.331***	-0.441***	-0.383**	-0.270
	(0.060)	(0.095)	(0.128)	(0.162)	(0.168)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.869	-0.863	0.743	2.989**	4.708*
	(0.907)	(1.206)	(1.007)	(1.103)	(2.240)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Emerging market economy	0.058	0.105	0.064	-0.028	-0.123***
	(0.047)	(0.064)	(0.081)	(0.066)	(0.037)
Emerging market economy	2.043*	5.279**	7.058**	9.159***	11.465***
	(0.968)	(1.977)	(2.350)	(2.942)	(3.275)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup> Observations	0.44	0.54	0.62	0.67	0.72
	560	522	484	446	408


### Control for Exchange Rate Regime



## Control for Exchange Rate Regime

	$\begin{matrix} (1) \\ h=1 \end{matrix}$	h = 2	(3) $h = 3$	h = 4	(5) h = 5
$\boxed{ \Delta_5 \; Business \; credit/GDP  \times  Bankruptcy \; efficiency }$	0.175**	0.427***	0.688***	0.763***	0.776***
	(0.063)	(0.106)	(0.144)	(0.168)	(0.189)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.156***	-0.341***	-0.532***	-0.591***	-0.604***
	(0.049)	(0.077)	(0.107)	(0.123)	(0.146)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-1.514*	-2.748**	-2.746	-1.795	-0.928
	(0.841)	(1.106)	(1.873)	(2.733)	(3.101)
$\Delta_5 \text{ Business credit/GDP} \times \text{Currency peg}$	-0.025	-0.088**	-0.118**	-0.111*	-0.096
	(0.021)	(0.038)	(0.053)	(0.062)	(0.054)
Currency peg	1.426	3.312*	5.528**	4.731*	2.852
	(0.813)	(1.580)	(2.242)	(2.440)	(2.010)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup> Observations	0.43	0.52	0.60	0.66	0.71
	560	522	484	446	408


#### Control for General Rule of Law




#### Control for General Rule of Law

	h=1	(2) $h = 2$	(3) $h = 3$	h = 4	(5) $h = 5$
$\overline{\Delta_5 \text{ Business credit/GDP} \times \text{Bankruptcy efficiency}}$	0.065	0.208**	0.391***	0.435**	0.367*
	(0.051)	(0.088)	(0.122)	(0.143)	(0.196)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.105**	-0.253***	-0.411***	-0.455***	-0.424**
	(0.041)	(0.069)	(0.100)	(0.115)	(0.144)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.235	-0.203	1.610	3.621	6.223
	(0.957)	(1.222)	(2.311)	(3.702)	(4.390)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Rule of law	0.037*	0.055*	0.079*	0.105**	0.160***
	(0.020)	(0.029)	(0.044)	(0.045)	(0.051)
Rule of law	-0.184	-0.432	-1.827	-4.008*	-7.579*
	(0.872)	(1.120)	(1.423)	(2.149)	(4.084)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup> Observations	0.43	0.52	0.60	0.66	0.71
	560	522	484	446	408


### GDP following Business Credit Booms with Fixed Bankruptcy Efficiency





	$\stackrel{ extbf{(1)}}{ extit{h}=1}$	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	(5) $ h = 5$
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency (instr.)	0.212** (0.095)	0.528*** (0.157)	0.734*** (0.185)	0.712*** (0.209)	0.572*** (0.191)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.195** (0.077)	-0.458*** (0.122)	-0.624*** (0.144)	-0.611*** (0.171)	-0.506*** (0.164)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
First stage <i>F R</i> <sup>2</sup> Observations	24.77 0.13 560	21.95 0.13 522	17.94 0.17 484	14.58 0.21 446	13.98 0.25 408

#### Also Controlling for General Rule of Law




+10 pp. business credit/GDP over past five years


Also Controlling for General Rule of Law

	$\begin{matrix} (1) \\ h = 1 \end{matrix}$	(2) $h = 2$	(3) $h = 3$		(5) h = 5
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency (instr.)	0.260*	0.595***	0.730***	0.629***	0.321*
	(0.143)	(0.219)	(0.208)	(0.216)	(0.165)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.225**	-0.473***	-0.634***	-0.638***	-0.552***
	(0.095)	(0.139)	(0.150)	(0.163)	(0.148)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Rule of Law	-0.305	-2.482	1.084	6.694*	16.954***
	(2.283)	(3.346)	(4.024)	(3.855)	(2.929)
Rule of law index	0.012	-0.120	-1.610	-3.840*	-7.638*
	(1.036)	(1.446)	(1.542)	(2.166)	(4.187)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
First stage <i>F</i> $R^2$ Observations	8.14	10.35	9.81	9.41	8.47
	0.13	0.13	0.18	0.22	0.26
	560	522	484	446	408

## Bankruptcy Efficiency and Level of Business Credit/GDP




#### Control for Debt Level



#### Efficiency of Reorganization vs Liquidation

Data from Djankov et al. (2008)



Notes: X-axis measures reorganization efficiency, i.e., share of a viable firm's value preserved in bankruptcy. Y-axis measures the efficiency of liquidation, i.e., share of liquidation value of a nonviable firm preserved in bankruptcy.

# Controlling for GDP per Capita

	$\stackrel{ extbf{(1)}}{ extit{h}=1}$	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	h = 5
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency	0.150***	0.316***	0.486***	0.577***	0.572***
	(0.041)	(0.061)	(0.082)	(0.085)	(0.090)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	0.053	0.085	-0.143	0.227	0.376
	(0.202)	(0.509)	(0.730)	(0.886)	(0.939)
Bankruptcy efficiency	3.889**	8.188***	14.175***	19.141***	24.054***
	(1.326)	(2.016)	(2.886)	(3.725)	(3.401)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Log real GDP p.c.	-0.018	-0.034	-0.023	-0.062	-0.073
	(0.020)	(0.049)	(0.070)	(0.082)	(0.082)
Log real GDP per capita in USD	-8.148***	-17.415***	-28.055***	-39.564***	-50.959***
	(1.876)	(4.997)	(7.217)	(7.357)	(4.804)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup> Observations	0.45	0.55	0.64	0.70	0.76
	560	522	484	446	408

### Controlling for Monetary Policy Stabilization

	h=1	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	(5) h = 5
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $ imes$ Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.013	0.120	0.353*	0.418**	0.490**
	(0.072)	(0.155)	(0.172)	(0.160)	(0.188)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.078*	-0.226**	-0.419***	-0.478***	-0.523***
	(0.039)	(0.077)	(0.081)	(0.088)	(0.127)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-4.551***	-8.525***	-11.098***	-12.121***	-12.458***
	(0.944)	(2.516)	(3.269)	(2.558)	(2.028)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Monetary cyclicality	0.002***	0.003**	0.003*	0.004**	0.003*
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.65	0.68
Observations	375	349	323	297	271

Notes: Monetary cyclicality in a country i measured by  $\beta_i$  from  $\Delta policy rate_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_i \Delta \log real GDP_{i,t} + e_{i,t}$ .

### Controlling for Fiscal Policy Stabilization

	h=1	h = 2	h = 3	h = 4	$   \begin{array}{c}     (5) \\     h = 5   \end{array} $
$\overline{\Delta_5 \text{ Business credit/GDP} \times \text{Bankruptcy efficiency}}$	0.132***	0.294***	0.503***	0.589***	0.618***
	(0.043)	(0.066)	(0.095)	(0.121)	(0.160)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.145***	-0.315***	-0.497***	-0.566***	-0.587***
	(0.047)	(0.070)	(0.098)	(0.113)	(0.139)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.617	-0.523	0.597	1.272	1.498
	(0.986)	(1.318)	(2.128)	(2.862)	(2.970)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ Fiscal cyclicality	-0.013	-0.058**	-0.100***	-0.123***	-0.143***
	(0.016)	(0.022)	(0.033)	(0.028)	(0.020)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	0.42	0.52	0.60	0.66	0.71
Observations	551	514	477	440	403


Notes: Fiscal cyclicality in a country i measured by  $\beta_i$  from  $\Delta(\mathsf{Gov.\ expenditure/GDP})_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_i \Delta \log \mathsf{real\ GDP}_{i,t} + e_{i,t}.$ 

### Controlling for GDP Volatility

	$\stackrel{(1)}{h=1}$	(2) $h = 2$	(3) $h = 3$	h = 4	(5) $h = 5$
$\begin{tabular}{lll} \hline $\Delta_5$    Business credit/GDP $\times$ Bankruptcy efficiency \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	0.120*	0.307**	0.515***	0.538**	0.461*
	(0.056)	(0.122)	(0.164)	(0.183)	(0.224)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP	-0.096	-0.267	-0.403*	-0.347	-0.170
	(0.068)	(0.160)	(0.223)	(0.239)	(0.281)
Bankruptcy efficiency	-0.736	-0.884	0.074	0.645	0.878
	(0.961)	(1.263)	(2.136)	(2.921)	(2.865)
$\Delta_5$ Business credit/GDP $\times$ GDP volatility	-1.231	-1.220	-2.356	-5.137	-9.553**
	(1.463)	(3.193)	(4.530)	(4.189)	(4.259)
Country FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	0.42	0.51	0.60	0.66	0.71
Observations	560	522	484	446	408

Notes: GDP volatility measured as the standard deviation of annual growth in real GDP.

## Validating World Bank Bankruptcy Efficiency Measure



Notes: Binned scatter plot of survey-based measures of bankruptcy efficiency and loan recovery rates proxied by  $1 - \frac{\text{loan impairments}}{\text{non-performing loans}}$ . Impairments and non-performing loans are from the BIS Credit Loss Database. Data from 153 countries from 2003 to 2019, net of year fixed effects.