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**Question:** Is the automation of routine cognitive tasks a response to the Quiet Revolution?
Hypothesis

Prior to Quiet Revolution, women worked **intermittently** over life-cycle

- Compatible with **routine cognitive** work (e.g. secretary, typist)
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**Hypothesis**

Prior to Quiet Revolution, women worked **intermittently** over life-cycle

- Compatible with **routine cognitive** work (e.g. secretary, typist)
- Distorted supply of RC workers

As Quiet Revolution progresses ⇒ women work **continuously** throughout life-cycle

- Access **non-routine cognitive** professions (e.g. lawyer, manager) with higher returns to experience
- Improved allocation of female talent → relative scarcity of RC labor supply

“Market size effect” ⇒ Incentive to adopt technologies that **automate RC tasks** and complement NRC work
1. Related Literature

2. Motivating Facts
   - Women account for ↑ NRC and ↓ RC
   - Quiet Revolution → women born after 1950 start working continuously
   - Link between NRC employment and continuous life-cycle LFP

3. Next Steps
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3. Directed Technical Change
   ▶ Market size effect (Acemoglu & Zilibotti, 2001; Caselli & Coleman II, 2006)

   **This project:** Quiet Revolution shifts factor endowment → changes optimal technology
Fact 1: Rise in NRC & Fall in RC Driven by Women

Figure 1: Share of Total Female and Male Employment (Ages 18-65) in RC and NRC Jobs
Fact 2: Women Born After 1950 Work Continuously

Figure 2: LFP over the Life-Cycle for Synthetic Cohorts
Fact 3: NRC Employment & Attitudes About Working Mothers (1977)

Figure 3: Beliefs of Working Women, by Job Category

→ Difference significant after controlling for education, age, marital status, and race
Next Steps

Empirical Analysis:

1. Isolate part of Quiet Revolution exogenous to other technological trends
   - Early legal access to birth control (Bailey, 2006; Bailey et al., 2012; Goldin & Katz, 2002)
   - Time variation in onset of Quiet Revolution across states

2. Does the rise in female $\frac{NRC}{RC}$ employment predict automation in 1980’s & 90’s?
   - CPS computer supplement & other measures of software adoption
   - Cross-state and cross-industry variation & Bartik instrument (Card, 2009)

Quantitative Model:

- Quantify macro implications of Quiet Revolution, especially tech adoption
- Distinguish welfare implications of endogenous v.s. exogenous automation
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