# Why Firms Lay Off Workers instead of Cutting Wages: Evidence from Linked Firm Survey-Administrative Data

Antoine Bertheau University of Copenhagen Marianna Kudlyak FRB San Francisco Hoover, IZA, CEPR Birthe Larsen Copenhagen Business School Morten Bennedsen

University of

Copenhagen

NBER SI, July 17-20 2023

The views expressed here are the authors' and do not reflect those of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, the Federal Reserve System, or any institution with which authors are affiliated.

### WAGE RIGIDITY AND EMPLOYMENT FLUCTUATIONS

- Wage rigidity is a key to employment fluctuations in many macro models
- ▶ Some evidence that wages adjust infrequently, even less so downward
- ▶ But the allocative role of the seemingly rigid wages remains unsettled

During crises firms lay off a large number of workers. The subsequent search and matching process, hiring and recruiting activities are time-consuming and costly

- Can layoffs be avoided?
- Can pay cuts save layoffs?
- Is downward wage rigidity the reason for layoffs?

### This paper

▶ We design and field a large-scale survey of firms

#### THIS PAPER

- ▶ We design and field a large-scale survey of firms
- We link our survey data with administrative data to study:
- 1. How firms adjust labor during crisis via layoffs or pay cuts
- 2. Why firms do not cut wages instead of laying off workers

1. Layoffs are prevalent, even when the gov-sponsored furloughs are available

1. Layoffs are prevalent, even when the gov-sponsored furloughs are available

2. Pay cuts are not rare

- 1. Layoffs are prevalent, even when the gov-sponsored furloughs are available
- 2. Pay cuts are not rare
- 3. A crisis is an opportune time for firms to lay off low-productivity workers

- 1. Layoffs are prevalent, even when the gov-sponsored furloughs are available
- 2. Pay cuts are not rare
- 3. A crisis is an opportune time for firms to lay off low-productivity workers
- 4. Key considerations on the layoff margin are worker skills and future search and matching costs associated with replacement hiring

- 1. Layoffs are prevalent, even when the gov-sponsored furloughs are available
- 2. Pay cuts are not rare
- 3. A crisis is an opportune time for firms to lay off low-productivity workers
- 4. Key considerations on the layoff margin are worker skills and future search and matching costs associated with replacement hiring
- 5. Morale and fear of quits play a role in pay-cut decisions

# Why firms lay off workers inst of cutting wages

- 1. Firms cut wages (consistent with Elsby and Solon (2019))
- 2. Other-times, wages appear rigid bcs pay cut is not a substitute for layoff:
  - Some layoffs during a crisis are not caused by the crisis. Rather, a crisis is an opportune time for firms to lay off low-productivity workers
  - ▶ In general, layoffs give better control over who leaves
- 3. Perhaps, some pay cuts might save layoffs. More research is needed to quantify this

- Literature on why wages do not fall in recessions:
  - Blinder and Choi (1990), Campbell and Kamlani (1997), Bewley (1999)
  - We study employer perceptions about layoffs and pay cuts in a large representative sample of firms and link firms' responses to admin data
  - Find support for morale considerations, but emphasize that cutting wages would not save layoffs

Literature on why wages do not fall in recessions:

- Blinder and Choi (1990), Campbell and Kamlani (1997), Bewley (1999)
- We study employer perceptions about layoffs and pay cuts in a large representative sample of firms and link firms' responses to admin data
- Find support for morale considerations, but emphasize that cutting wages would not save layoffs

Workers report firms do not offer pay cut, Davis and Krolikowski (2023)
 We find evidence that these are not substitutes, in many cases

Literature on why wages do not fall in recessions:

- Blinder and Choi (1990), Campbell and Kamlani (1997), Bewley (1999)
- We study employer perceptions about layoffs and pay cuts in a large representative sample of firms and link firms' responses to admin data
- Find support for morale considerations, but emphasize that cutting wages would not save layoffs
- Workers report firms do not offer pay cut, Davis and Krolikowski (2023)
  We find evidence that these are not substitutes, in many cases
- Cyclical behavior of wages, wage rigidity and empl fluctuations:
  - Bils (1985), Elsby Solon (2019), Grigsby Hurst Yildirmaz (2021), Bils et al (2023)
  - Our paper: Sticky wages not a key reason behind layoffs

Literature on why wages do not fall in recessions:

- Blinder and Choi (1990), Campbell and Kamlani (1997), Bewley (1999)
- We study employer perceptions about layoffs and pay cuts in a large representative sample of firms and link firms' responses to admin data
- Find support for morale considerations, but emphasize that cutting wages would not save layoffs
- Workers report firms do not offer pay cut, Davis and Krolikowski (2023)
  We find evidence that these are not substitutes, in many cases
- Cyclical behavior of wages, wage rigidity and empl fluctuations:
  - Bils (1985), Elsby Solon (2019), Grigsby Hurst Yildirmaz (2021), Bils et al (2023)
  - Our paper: Sticky wages not a key reason behind layoffs
- Perceived fairness of economic actions—"reasons matter":
  - Charness and Levine (2000), Offerman (2002), Kuhn and Osaki (2022)
  - Our paper: Easier to justify layoffs in recessions—"bad times for all"

#### LINKED SURVEY-ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

### FIRMS SURVEY DESIGN

We designed a firm survey containing 70+ questions (yes/no, multiple choice, open-ended questions, the degree of agreement) in the five categories

- 1. Impact of the pandemic on firms
- 2. Labor adjustment strategies in 2020-pay and number of employees
- 3. Considerations on the layoff margin
- 4. The extent and reasons behind wage cuts
- 5. Firm's search and hiring process, recruiting intensity

# FIRMS SURVEY DESIGN

We designed a firm survey containing 70+ questions (yes/no, multiple choice, open-ended questions, the degree of agreement) in the five categories

- 1. Impact of the pandemic on firms
- 2. Labor adjustment strategies in 2020-pay and number of employees
- 3. Considerations on the layoff margin
- 4. The extent and reasons behind wage cuts
- 5. Firm's search and hiring process, recruiting intensity
- We sent the survey to the population of all Danish firms in June 2021, the response period closed in August 2021
- Response rate is 12%—high for a non-mandatory online survey

#### Survey data linked with admin data

We link our survey data with rich admin data via firm identifiers

- Firm-level admin data (income statements (FIRM), balance sheets (FIRE))
- Employer-employee matched dataset (BFL, LONN)

Survey sample after restrictions: 2,488 firms

# DATA QUALITY CHECKS

- At the beginning of the survey, we asked how knowledgeable is the person who fills out the survey with the human resources policies of the firm. We dropped the survey responses that were filled out by people not knowledgeable
- We check for internal validity of survey responses, using admin data Data quality check

#### DANISH DATA: INSTITUTIONAL AND ECON CONTEXT

Institutional:

- ▶ Wages and hours are (mostly, 80%) set at the firm- and not industry-level
- ▶ Relatively low employment protection (Kreiner and Svarer (2022))

Economic context in 2021:

- ▶ Not-so generous "furlough" programs during the pandemic
- At the time of the survey, the pandemic was declared under control

Info on the Danish job retention scheme vs. other OECD countries

# SUMMARY STATISTICS

	Population	Sample	Sample (Weight)
Ν	21835	2488	2488
Size	33.15	39.73	33.50
Productivity	88.11	94.31	93.12
Labor costs	66.61	70.58	69.59
Manufacturing	14.50	17.48	14.93
Wage floors	16.20	17.44	17.69
Female	28.64	28.80	28.32
Unionized	55.76	59.88	59.05

### How firms adjusted labor in the 2020 crisis

LAYOFFS ARE PREVALENT. MORE SO IF A LARGER REVENUE REDUCTION. BUT GROWING FIRMS ALSO REPORT LAYOFFS Reduction in the number of workers in 2020, by firm growth More



# FIRMS REPORTED LAYOFFS DESPITE AMPLE USE OF GOVERNMENT-SPONSORED FURLOUGH SCHEMES Reduction in the number of workers in 2020, by firm growth



# Firms Layoffs despite other adjustment methods

#### Reduction in the number of workers or hours in 2020, by firm growth



- Permanent layoffs
- × Gov. support schemes
- ▲ Any employment adjustment
- Hiring reduction: job creation
- Temporary layoffs
- + Hours worked reduction



#### FIRMS REDUCED MORE EMPLOYMENT THAN WORKER PAY



(a) Workers and hours, 2020

(b) Worker pay, 2020

# How firms adjusted labor in the 2020 crisis

- Layoffs are prevalent. More so in firms with larger revenue reductions
- ▶ Firms reported layoffs despite ample use of government furlough schemes
- ► A higher share of firms reduced the number of workers than worker pay

Are wages rigid downward? Would pay cuts save layoffs?

#### PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE

# Pay cuts are not rare, especially among firms that experienced reduction in revenue

#### Reduction in worker pay in 2020, by firm growth



▶ 29% of firms with a reduction in revenue implemented some pay cut

# PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE, ESPECIALLY AMONG FIRMS THAT EXPERIENCED REDUCTION IN REVENUE Reduction in worker pay in 2020, by firm growth



# BONUS AS WELL AS BASE PAY CUTS TAKE PLACE, ESPECIALLY IF REVENUE REDUCTION Reduction in worker pay in 2020, by firm growth



#### IF PAY CUTS HAPPEN, THEY ARE RATHER PREVALENT

Distribution of firms by the % of workers affected by pay cut, cond. on pay cut



Pay adjustment by firm and labor market characteristics

#### PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE, ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

Distribution of worker total nominal hourly pay growth 2018-19 and 2019-20



Note: The annual log change in total nominal hourly pay in the admin. matched employer - employee data (BFL), salaried workers continuously employed for 24 months at the same firm, the same 6-digit occupation in the firms in our survey

22.3% workers experienced pay cuts in 2020, 17.2% in 2019 Monthly admin data

### PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE, MANDATORY FIRM SURVEY

Distribution of worker base and hourly pay growth



(a) Base hourly pay

(b) Total hourly pay

Note: The log change in nominal hourly pay in the mandatory firm survey (LONN), the sample of workers continuously employed for 24 months at the same firm, the same 6-digit occupation in the firms in our survey

19.8% of workers experienced base pay cuts over 2020-19, 14.7% in 2019-18
 Survey vs. admin data pay cuts
 Alt. definition

#### PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE

- Especially among firms that experience revenue reduction
- ▶ Bonus as well as base pay cuts take place, especially if revenue reduced
- ▶ If pay cuts happen, they are rather prevalent across workers in the firm
- > That pay cuts are not rare is also supported in administrative data
- If pay cuts do occur during a crisis, why don't pay cuts save layoffs?
#### CRISIS AS AN OPPORTUNE TIME FOR LAYOFF

# While reduced sales is a modal reason behind layoffs, only 1/3 of respondents chose it

#### Reported reasons for layoffs in 2020



Other reasons for layoffs-reorganization, laying off overpaid or low-productivity workers

# MAJORITY AGREES THAT CRISIS IS AN OPPORTUNE TIME TO LAY OFF LESS-PRODUCTIVE WORKERS OR REORGANIZE



#### The layoffs not necessarily caused by the crisis

How many of the layoffs would have happened in 2020 or the next 2 years if not for the pandemic?



56% would have laid off some of the workers in the following two years if not for the crisis
29% would have laid off more than 90%

#### Key consideration at the layoff margin

- To understand whether a possibility to reduce pay plays a role in layoff decision, we asked about the key reasons of why firms **did not lay off** workers despite a reduction in sales or other cost pressures. Even if a firm laid off some workers, we asked why not more
- Firms report that key considerations at the layoff margin are not about adjusting pay
- Key considerations are (1) avoiding skill loss—91% agree, and (2) search and matching cost to rehire later—76% agree

# KEY CONSIDERATION AT THE LAYOFF MARGIN IS AVOIDING A SKILL LOSS VERSUS ABILITY TO LOWER PAY What were the main reasons for retaining employees despite cost pressures?



Responses to "What were the main reasons for retaining employees despite a reduction in sales, other cost pressures? Even if you have laid off some employees, consider why you have not laid off more," firms w revenue reduction in 2020

#### CRISIS AS AN OPPORTUNE TIME FOR LAYOFFS

- While reduced sales is a modal reason behind layoffs, only 1/3 of respondents chose it
- Majority agrees that crisis is an opportune time to lay off less-productive workers or reorganize
- ▶ 56% of firms respond that some or all of layoffs were not caused by the crisis
- Key consideration at the layoff margin is skill loss vs ability to lower pay

Next: More evidence on whether pay cuts can save layoffs

#### CAN PAY CUTS SAVE LAYOFFS?

# Layoffs give better control of who leaves pay cuts would not have saved jobs

#### Reasons for not lowering pay instead of laying off workers



Note: The question is asked of firms that laid off some workers

# What reduction in pay cost could have prevented layoffs?

- To understand whether a pay reduction could have saved layoffs, we asked firms what reduction in the total pay cost could have prevented layoffs?
- ▶ 61% of firms responded "Do not know". How to interpret this?
  - It could have meant that the answer exists but the respondent is not privy to the decision-making process. However, we screened the respondents for the role in the firm. So this interpretation does not hold
  - It could mean that the firm does not consider a pay cut as a way to save layoffs

#### A pay cut to save layoffs is large or unknown

What reduction in the total pay cost could have prevented layoffs?



Note: The question is asked of firms that laid off some workers

#### CAN PAY CUTS SAVE LAYOFFS?

- When asked why not lower pay instead of laying off workers, 60% of firms agrees that layoffs give better control who leaves the firm and pay cuts would not save layoffs. 56% responded that pay cuts hurt productivity and morale more
- A size of the pay cut needed to save layoffs is unknown or large

#### CONCLUSION

#### Conclusion

Using our original large-scale survey of firms linked to the admin data, we find the following regarding how firms adjust labor in response to adverse shocks:

- Layoffs are much more prevalent than pay cuts
- But pay cuts are not rare
- Most firms do not consider pay cuts as a substitute for layoffs during crisis
  - A crisis is an opportune time for firms to lay off low-productivity workers
  - Firms resist cutting pay for other workers to avoid quits
  - ▶ Wage rigidity not the dominant factor in the layoff decision
  - "Wage cuts would not prevent layoffs" (as in Bewley (1999))

# Extra Slides

#### Survey data collection and Sample Selection

We sent the survey invitation to all Danish private-sector firms

- Sent via emails in June 2021 by a private consulting firm
- Several follow-ups. The response period closed in August 2021
- Hiring and wage policy questions are analyzed in Bertheau, Larsen and Zhao (2023) and Bertheau and Hoeck (2023), respectively

The response rate is 12% (2787/21835)—high for a non-mandatory survey

We delete observations with:

 Little HR knowledge of the company, missing answers, and incoherent answers

2787 obs. before sample restriction. 2488 after sample restriction Goback

# DATA SOURCES (1)

We linked our survey data with admin data via a unique firm (CVR) and individual (PNR) identifier. We use the following datasets and variables:

General firm statistics (FIRM). Value-added: revenue - intermediate costs, firm age, capital stock, labor costs. Annual frequency

Balance sheet (FIRE) datasets: Liquid assets. Annual frequency

Matched employer-employee datasets (BFL, IDAN): occupation, *monthly* hours worked and total earnings (base pay and bonuses), job tenure

Mandatory pay survey (LONN): pay components (base, overtime, bonuses etc), hours components (hours and overtime) at the annual frequency at the worker-occupation-establishment level. Available for employees in firms with more than 10 employees **Goback** 

# DATA SOURCES (2)

Additional worker-level datasets (UDDA, IDAP, IND): level of education, union status of a worker, age

Number of vacancies from job boards and unemployed workers from public employment services at the occupation level (data source: STAR). Construct firm-specific tightness following Hoeck (2023).  $\theta_j = \sum_{o=1}^{O} w_{oj}\theta_o$ 

Recruitment survey (Rekrutteringssurvey): ask firms hiring outcomes 4 months after a vacancy is posted on a job board

Minimum wages at the occupation-industry level (data source: employer association). Construct whether a wage floor regulates the majority of jobs in a firm Goback

#### Key advantages of our linked survey-admin data

- ▶ In the survey we ask "what" and "why"
  - Answers to "why"—the reasons behind firms actions—provide tests for theories
- Linking with admin data allows for detailed additional info
- Large sample size as compared to the existing surveys

#### Comparing our survey with admin. data:

FIRM SIZE GO BACK



#### Comparing our survey with admin. data: 2019-2020

#### REVENUE CHANGE GO BACK



### TIGHTNESS ( $\theta$ ) and % of Unfilled Vacancies



#### % of Filled Vacancies with "Inadequate" Workers



43

# Low Take-up of Job Retention Scheme..



Take-up rates of job retention schemes in May 2020, calculated as a percentage of dependent employees in 2019 Q4. Source: OECD Go back

#### ...As it was not so generous in Denmark



Note: Salary of full-time employees in our data. 4034 EUR: monthly cap at which the furlough scheme could cover 75% of a worker's salary Go back

#### **Respondents and firm characteristics**

	Mean	Count
Knowledge of HR policies (%)	100.00	2488
Manager respondents (%)	83.64	2070
% of firm		
Revenue reduction in 2020	27.17	685
Laidoff	40.96	1,053
Did not cut base pay	91.92	2,276
Did not cut base pay & rev. red.	22.67	567

Note: This table reports the weighted average and the count of selected variables from our survey.

Go back

#### REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF WORKERS (FIG. BY REVENUE



#### REDUCTION IN WORKER PAY (FIG. BY REVENUE



#### PAY CUTS BY FIRMS CHARACTERISTICS, PERFORMANCE

We also study how firms' pay cuts vary with the firm size, value added per worker, labor cost per worker, capital per worker, liquid assets per worker, percent of unionized workers in firm, or the average tenure of the workers

- ▶ Higher value-added-per-worker firms are less likely to cut base pay
- ▶ Higher labor-costs-per-worker firms are more likely to cut base pay

OLS results

### PAY CUT BY FIRM AND LABOR MARKET CHARACTERISTICS

Outcome is a dummy for:	Base pay red.	Bonus pay red.	Hiring red.	Layoffs
Productivity	-3.88***	-1.25	-2.21*	-3.96***
Labor cost /worker	2.88**	0.57	1.00	1.39
Value-added growth	-4.81***	-4.26***	-5.94***	-8.34***
Unionized workers	-0.44	-0.19	0.60	2.34***
Worker representative	2.29*	0.88	2.30	0.93
Tightness	-1.18**	-0.18	-0.64	-0.33
N	2446	2446	2446	2446

#### BASE PAY CUT IN OUR SURVEY. VS. LONN GOBACK



Note: DHS growth rate of base hourly pay (LONN)

#### BASE PAY CUT IN OUR SURVEY. VS. LONN GOBACK



# Nominal pay growth (lonn)



(a) Base hourly pay

(b) Total hourly pay

Note: Alternative definition of hours worked to define the base and total hourly pay changes

# PAY CUTS ARE NOT RARE, ADMIN DATA (MONTHLY)



(a) Total hourly pay: January

(b) Total hourly pay: July

Note: The BFL dataset does not distinguish between different pay and hours components Go back

### Nominal total pay growth (BFL)



(a) Total hourly pay: October

(b) Total hourly pay: December

Note: The BFL dataset does not distinguish between different pay and hours components Go back

# Expectations about the shock and labor adjustment



(b) Number of workers

(a) Worker pay
#### Expectations about the length of the shock

Base wage reductions: more likely by firms that expect a reduction in revenue to last more than a year than by those that expect less persistent shock

Permanent layoffs: more likely among firms that expect the shock to last more than a year than those that expect a less persistent shock

The firms that responded "Do not know" re persistence of the shock, were less likely to cut the base wage than those that had some expectations

### INVESTMENT PLANS AND LABOR ADJUSTMENT



(a) Worker pay

(b) Number of workers

# Worker Representative and Layoff Decisions



Note: The figure reports responses to the question: What is your position on the following statements? "Union representatives help reduce the number of layoffs by finding alternative solutions to reduce wage costs (reorganization, wage reduction, etc.)" (labeled "help reducing layoffs"). "Union representatives help implement layoffs by identifying low/high-performing employees or setting criteria for who can be laid off" (labeled "Help how to choose whom to lay off").

#### MORALE AND FEAR OF QUITS IMPACT PAY-CUT DECISIONS



Firms with more routine jobs are more likely to report adverse productivity consequences

#### Reasons for not lowering bonus pay



Reasons are related to commitment as would not save layoffs

## **Bibliography** I

- Bertheau, Antoine and Christian Philip Hoeck, "Firm Beliefs About Wage Setting," *Unpublished Working Paper*, 2023.
- \_ , Birthe Larsen, and Zeyu Zhao, "What Makes Hiring Difficult? Evidence From Linked Survey-Administrative data," IZA Worker Paper 2023.
- Bewley, Truman F., *Why Wages Don't Fall During a Recession*, Harvard University Press, 1999.
- Bils, Mark J., "Real Wages over the Business Cycle: Evidence from Panel Data," *Journal of Political Economy*, 1985, *93* (4), 666–89.
- Blinder, Alan S. and Don H. Choi, "A Shred of Evidence on Theories of Wage Stickiness," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1990, *105* (4), 1003–1015.
- Campbell, Carl and Kunal Kamlani, "The Reasons for Wage Rigidity: Evidence From a Survey of Firms," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1997, *112* (3), 759–789.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY II

Davis, Steven and Pawel Krolikowski, "Sticky Wages on the Layoff Margin," Working Paper 2023.

- Elsby, Michael and Gary Solon, "How Prevalent Is Downward Rigidity in Nominal Wages? International Evidence From Payroll Records and Pay Slips," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2019, *33* (3), 185–201.
- Hoeck, Christian Philip, "Wage Effects of Labor Market Tightness," *Danmarks Nationalbank Working Paper (187)*, 2023.
- Kreiner, Claus Thustrup and Michael Svarer, "Danish Flexicurity: Rights and Duties," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2022, *36* (4), 81–102. none