Strengthening State Capacity: Civil Service Reform and Public Sector Performance during the Gilded Age*

Abhay Aneja

Guo Xu

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley & NBER

May 2023

Abstract

We use newly digitized records from the Post Office to study the effects of strengthened state capacity in over 2,300 cities between 1875-1905. Exploiting the staggered implementation of the Pendleton Act – a landmark statute which shielded bureaucrats from political interference – we find that civil service reform reduced postal delivery errors and increased productivity. These improvements were most pronounced during election years when the reform dampened bureaucratic turnover. Finally, we provide suggestive evidence that reformed cities witnessed declining local partisan newspapers. Separating politics from administration, therefore, not only improved state effectiveness but also weakened the role of local politics.

JEL codes: D73, O3, N41

^{*}Abhay Aneja: aneja@berkeley.edu (UC Berkeley, School of Law, 225 Bancroft Way, Berkeley, CA 94720.); Guo Xu: guo.xu@berkeley.edu (corresponding author, UC Berkeley, Haas School of Business, 2220 Piedmont Ave, Berkeley, CA 94720.). We thank participants of the NBER DAE meeting, Harvard/MIT Development Seminar, Berkeley Economic History Seminar, Berkeley Law Faculty Seminar, Berkeley GSPP Research Seminar, Duke Law Faculty Seminar, UVA Law & Economics Seminar, Columbia PE Seminar, Yaw Law LEO Seminar, UT Empirical IP Conference, UC Merced, UPF, Sciences Po, PUC, USC, Northwestern Kellogg, NYU Law, UW Law, and Stanford for their helpful suggestions. We are grateful to the UC Berkeley Institute for Research on Labor and Employment (IRLE) and the Hellman Foundation for generously providing financial assistance needed for data collection. We thank John Friedman for excellent research assistance.

1 Introduction

State capacity is a key driver of development and growth (Besley and Persson, 2009). Civil service reforms are at the core of efforts to strengthen state capacity in developing and developed countries alike (World Bank, 2000). While the exact nature of these reforms varies, a common objective is to create an impartial civil service (Besley et al., 2021; Evans and Rauch, 1999). This objective is rooted in a long history of intellectual thought that considers a non-partisan bureaucracy characterized by life-long careers as an essential precondition for a well-functioning state (Weber, 1922). Yet, despite the apparent importance of civil service reforms, evidence on whether and how such reforms affect state effectiveness remains relatively scarce.

In this paper, we show that a strengthened bureaucracy has first-order impacts on the quality of public service delivery. We provide empirical evidence from America's watershed civil service reform – the implementation and expansion of the landmark 1883 Pendleton Act within the U.S. Post Office.¹ Prior to the Pendleton Act, the U.S. federal government operated under the "spoils system" – a patronage-based structure that gave elected politicians and their respective political parties discretion over the hiring of bureaucrats. The spoils system allowed local parties to leverage federal appointments to amass substantial power – namely through "the plunder of the salaries of the public servants for filling the party treasury... the use of the power of removal for compelling those servants to be party henchmen...and the use of the power of appointment for bribing and rewarding for party ends those not in the public service" (Eaton, 1885). As a result, corruption and powerful political machines dominated politics during the Gilded Age (1870–1900).

The Pendleton Act sought to reduce the influence of politics in administration and to reign in the power of political parties over the staffing and functioning of government. The statute replaced discretionary appointments with rule-based hiring, shielded bureaucrats from political interference, and abolished mandatory political contributions from bureaucrats (Hoogenboom, 1959; Johnson and Libecap, 1994). The reform triggered a gradual transition of the federal administrative state towards a modern public organization that insulated bureaucrats from political forces (Theriault, 2003) – a move towards personnel practices commonly equated to "good" governance today (Evans and Rauch, 1999, 2000). Despite the importance of this milestone reform, there is limited evidence for its effectiveness in improving bureaucratic performance and the quality of service delivery.

The public organization we examine – the Post Office – provides an ideal setting to study the impacts of civil service reform. First, in terms of personnel, the Post Office was – and remains today – the largest civilian agency within the federal government. Second, related to its economic importance, the Post Office was the principal method of long-distance communication in an expanding nation during the 19th century. By providing the infrastructure needed to transmit personal correspondence and business information, the Post Office contributed to rapid economic growth during that time period (Acemoglu and Robinson, 2019; John, 1995; Rogowski et al.,

¹The Post Office was established in 1792, with President George Washington's signing of the Postal Service Act. Today, the Post Office is known as the United States Postal Service or USPS.

2021; Feigenbaum, 2015). Crucially for the purpose of being able to meaningfully assess the impact of a nationwide reform, local post offices are present in virtually all parts of the country and perform the same functions everywhere – which is the collection and delivery of mail. This government service is carried out via a staff composed of carriers and clerks. The decentralized structure of the Post Office allows us to observe comparable measures of performance (e.g., delivery errors) and inputs (e.g., number of workers, personnel characteristics) across time and space. We combine these measures with the expansion of reform coverage to nearly 600 cities to identify the effects of the reform and the channels through which they operated.

Underpinning our study is a large-scale digitization of historical performance and personnel records of the U.S. Post Office. We begin by using the Annual Reports of the United States Civil Service Commission to trace out the gradual expansion of the reform across nearly 600 cities.² We then link the timing of the civil service reform to annual data on service quality and operations from the Annual Reports of the Postmaster General and the Annual Reports of the Superintendent of the Railway Mail Service. This allows us to examine how civil service reform affected errors in the delivery of mail across 2,319 cities from 1875-1905, as well as to examine detailed measures of cost efficiency and per-unit productivity. To study how federal civil service reform affected the size and composition of the postal workforce, we also digitized individual-level data on the universe of clerks and carriers from the Official Registers of the United States ("Official Registers" or "Registers") for the time period 1877–1901. This data source contains rich details on the assignment, career progression, and background characteristics of postal workers. Finally, we use newspaper data to study how a depoliticized and more effective postal service affected partisan politics and the strength of local parties – as measured by the circulation and presence of partisan newspapers.

One challenge to measuring the causal effect of civil service reforms is that such reforms are not randomly assigned, but reflect deliberate policy choices (Ujhelyi, 2014a). This raises the concern that the local adoption of civil service reform is correlated with city-specific factors that also affect postal performance. One advantage of our setting is that the reform's rollout pattern was decided at the federal level. The reform was initially rolled out across larger cities before expanding to smaller urban areas. While the difference-in-differences approach helps address time-invariant sources of endogeneity, we also leverage institutional knowledge of the assignment rule. The first wave of the Pendleton Act of 1883 applied civil service reforms to cities with more than 50 postal workers. The later expansion via Presidential order in 1893 was similarly size-based, depending on population. These features allow us to augment our standard difference-in-differences by adopting a "control function" approach where we explicitly control for the size-based assignment variable in a time-varying fashion.

Our main finding is that civil service reform improved the quality of public service delivery. When relating postal delivery errors to the staggered expansion of the civil service reform, we find a significant and marked decrease in the number of delivery errors by 15–20%, consistent with an increase in the reliability of the postal

 $^{^{2}}$ We use the term cities interchangeably with the term post office. Post office subsidiaries within a city are referred to as branches.

service. This result holds when explicitly controlling for the assignment rule (based on post office staff size) and when using a large number of flexible, time-interacted controls to account for observable baseline differences between reformed and unreformed cities. Breaking down the reform effects by the two major reform waves of 1883 and 1893, we observe similar dynamic patterns with no pre-trends, providing evidence for both the external and internal validity of our estimates. Finally, we show that the reform effects are not driven by any particular city or state and explicitly rule out confounders such as the concurrent improvement of complementary communications infrastructure, or complementary local reforms.

Having documented substantial improvements in public service delivery driven by federal civil service reform, we leverage our granular data on inputs to study the underlying mechanisms. We adopt a simple production function framework to structure our discussion along the various theoretical channels through which the reform can affect postal performance. We first leverage the personnel records of clerks and carriers from the U.S. Official Registers to rule out that the civil service reform increased the staff numbers in reformed cities. Turning to the selection margin, we use both individual traits reported in the personnel records and background characteristics obtained by linking personnel records to the complete Decennial Census ("Census") to show that the reform did not significantly change the selection of personnel. We then use data on the overall mail volume to reject the possibility that the improved performance arose due to lower workload. Rather than being driven by observed inputs and differences in workload, we find that the civil service reform led to significant productivity gains, as measured by the overall volume per carrier or the cost per volume.

We end our discussion of mechanisms underlying the improved post office performance by conducting heterogeneity tests to shed light on the drivers of the observed productivity gain. Under the spoils system, insecurity of tenure was a natural consequence of tying the fortunes of bureaucrats to elected politicians who frequently turned over (Hoogenboom, 1959; Johnson and Libecap, 2007). Leveraging data on the careers of postal employees, we uncover substantial political cycles in exit among rank-and-file workers coinciding with presidential election years. Strikingly, these political cycles in turnover are dampened after the civil service reform, resulting in significant increases in the bureaucrats' average duration of tenure. Using individual-level data, we find that the positive effects on retention apply equally to all bureaucrats serving in a reformed office, irrespective of their time of hire. Importantly, we find that the reduction in delivery errors and productivity improvements are largest during election years. Rather than improving the quality of service delivery by selecting higherperforming workers, civil service reform mainly improved bureaucrat performance by severing the ties between politicians and bureaucrats.

Finally, we consider whether civil service reform loosened the grip of partisan political actors at the local level. A major justification for reform of the civil service was to reduce the influence that local political parties had over the hiring of government employees (Mashaw, 2009). Before the passage of the Pendleton Act, local political parties influenced the allocation of patronage posts, and in turn relied on partisan loyalists appointed to

government positions for both political and financial support. By reducing the availability of federal jobs that could be used to secure political support, civil service reform likely reduced the power of local parties. To test this hypothesis, we exploit the fact that newspapers during the Gilded Age were often partisan and backed by local political parties. This allows us to use the presence of explicitly partisan newspapers as a proxy for the strength of partisan politics. Indeed, the expansion of the Pendleton Act coincided with a marked increase in non-partisan newspapers in the U.S. (Gentzkow et al., 2015), raising the question whether part of these stylized patterns can be attributed to the civil service reform. Using data on newspaper presence and circulation, we show that the civil service reform led to a decrease in partisan newspapers and an increase in the circulation of independent newspapers, consistent with a weakened role of local patronage politics. While suggestive, these findings underline the "double-dividend" of strengthened state capacity: civil service reform did not only result in a more effective bureaucracy but likely also helped reshape local politics in the U.S..

1.1 Related work

Our findings contribute to several strands of literature. First, our work contributes to the literature on the impacts of state capacity (Besley and Persson, 2009, 2010). While a larger body of work has documented long-run effects of state capacity across countries and regions (Dincecco and Katz, 2016; Besley et al., 2021; Dell et al., 2018), there is less work on efforts to improve state capacity through civil service reform. Since policies that shape state capacity are often implemented across entire administrations or administrative units, a major challenge is the lack of counterfactuals. Existing work has relied on cross-country comparisons (Evans and Rauch, 1999; Cornell et al., 2020), as well as comparisons across a limited number of units, such as states or districts (Mehmood, 2021; Liu et al., 2022; Moreira and Perez, 2020; Ash and MacLeod, 2020; Mocanu, 2022). The challenges arising from limited identifying variation are further exacerbated by the fact that bureaucratic performance is often difficult to measure, with many studies thus resorting to studying the reduced form effects on downstream economic outcomes (Rauch, 1995). Closest to our work are Moreira and Perez (2020), who relate the rollout of the Pendleton Act in the Treasury's Customs Office across eleven districts to revenue collection. Given the limited number of tax collection jurisdictions (both overall and affected by the statute), they document an imprecisely estimated null effect. Our study complements this work by focusing on the Post Office, which has long been the largest agency of the federal government. The nationwide coverage allows us to estimate the reform effects "at scale" (Muralidharan and Niehaus, 2017) by leveraging the expansion of civil service reform from 23 to nearly 600 cities. Moreover, we can use a measure of public service delivery quality – delivery errors – that is readily comparable across affected and unaffected jurisdictions. In contrast to Moreira and Perez (2020), we find that the Pendleton Act not only improved public sector performance,³ but also had positive downstream effects by weakening the power of local political parties.

³Our estimated reform effect falls within the confidence intervals that Moreira and Perez (2020) estimate for the customs office and is, as such, consistent with their findings.

Second, we contribute to the literature on the personnel economics of the state. There is now a large body of work that studies how policies aimed at introducing merit-based personnel practices affect performance (Dal B6 et al., 2013; Finan et al., 2015). Much of this work has shown the effectiveness of incentives (Muralidharan and Sundararaman, 2011; Khan et al., 2015, 2019; Leaver et al., 2021; Deserranno et al., 2021), demonstrated how opaque links between effort and reward reduce performance (Xu, 2018; Bertrand et al., 2019; de Janvry et al., 2020), or focused on the role of bureaucratic selection (Moreira and Perez, 2020; Mocanu, 2022; Weaver, 2021; Voth and Xu, 2022; Dahis et al., 2022; Mehmood, 2021; Riaño, 2022). By leveraging both city-level and individual-level data, we connect the "macro" literature on civil service reform to this fast-growing micro-level literature. In contrast to Moreira and Perez (2020) who focus primarily on the introduction of competitive examinations as the key margin for affecting bureaucrat performance, our result suggests that the Pendleton Act's measurable influence on performance worked through creating a civil service that is shielded from political interference. By providing evidence that the civil service reform improved performance by creating stable careers through the insulation of bureaucrats from electoral cycles, our results resonate with the literature on the costs of political turnover (Iyer and Mani, 2012; Akhtari et al., 2022; Colonnelli et al., 2020).

Finally, we contribute to research on American economic history in a few key ways. Most directly, we advance the literature on the evolution of the American government during an important period of political and economic development. Existing studies primarily assess the political economy aspects of state and city-level civil service reforms at the turn of the 19th century (Folke et al., 2011), as well as the impact of such changes on policy and performance outcomes (Ujhelyi, 2014b; Ornaghi, 2019).⁴ We build on this literature, as well as on the work of Moreira and Perez (2020, 2022), by measuring the impact of the nation's signature set of *federal* civil service reforms on performance within the Post Office. We also link our findings of improved bureaucratic performance to measures of economic performance in the spirit of Evans and Rauch (1999), thus suggesting that state investments in depoliticized and efficient public service delivery have downstream consequences. By showing that increased newspaper circulation is driven by non-partisan rather than partisan sources, we contribute to research on the source of declining media partisanship at the end of the 19th century (Gentzkow et al., 2006; Petrova, 2011; Hirano and Snyder Jr, 2020). Lastly, we contribute to research on the role and structure of the Post Office in American economic history (Boustan and Margo, 2009; Acemoglu et al., 2016).

⁴At the local and state levels, merit-based civil service policies were originally introduced to improve hiring, and reflected a view that "[government] administration is a field of business...removed from the hurry and strife of politics." (Wilson, 1887, p. 209). The seminal work of Rauch (1995) documents how Progressive Era civil service reform produced greater investment in roads, sewerage, and water infrastructure at the city level. Similarly, Ujhelyi (2014b) and Ornaghi (2019) find that civil service reforms in the mid-20th century affected overall government spending and improved policing, respectively.

2 Historical context

2.1 Context

U.S. Post Office. Post offices have been a key ingredient for state building in several countries (Rogowski et al., 2021; Geloso and Makovi, 2020; Andrabia, 2020; Chong et al., 2014; Maclachlan and Muse, 2011). The U.S. Post Office was created by the Post Office Act of 1792, and has been viewed by some as a key institutional driver of growth and social progress. During its early years, the Post Office facilitated communication and the spread of information between distant areas of the nation, and contributed to the development of an informed citizenry (John, 1995). Indeed, Alexis de Tocqueville described the American postal system as a "great link between minds" (Tocqueville, 1969), marveling at how mail traveled from eastern metropolises like New York and Philadelphia to sparsely-populated outposts like Detroit located on America's then-western frontier. The expansion of mail service also facilitated economic transactions across greater distances, allowing sellers of goods to advertise their products using catalogs and to complete sales via mail orders. Roper (1917), for instance, describes how money and goods "pass[ed] through the countless postal channels." Relatedly, the Post Office provided a system of banking and allowed investors to find opportunities. Business-related mail comprised a substantial portion of total correspondence in the 19th century, leading some to conclude that America's "commitment to postal service formed... the foundation for [nationwide] growth" (Henkin, 2008).

One can see the potential for the Post Office to transform the nation economically, politically, and socially by observing its reach after just a century of existence. Figure I, Panel (a) shows the locations of all post offices that were open between 1860–1905,⁵ and highlights the ubiquity of this aspect of U.S. state capacity. The presence of post offices in all corners of the nation underscores the widely-held view that in the 19th century, "the postal system was *the* central government" (John, 1998) (emphasis added). Panel (b) highlights the subset of cities whose post offices are ultimately affected by civil service reform.

The Patronage era of the American government. The U.S. government grew substantially during the 19th century in response to factors such as westward expansion, commercial development, and the demands of the Civil War. The Post Office was the nation's largest federal agency – "the mighty arm of civil government," according to *The New York Times*. At the onset of the Pendleton Act, postal workers alone made up 34% of the entire federal workforce, dwarfing in terms of labor force any other department (US Census, 1880). Moreover, given the importance of the Post Office to economic activity, the personnel responsible for this central communications infrastructure had the potential to shape American growth.

Despite its role in facilitating communication and economic activity, the early Post Office was rife with corruption and inefficiencies (Carpenter, 2001). One likely source of organizational ineffectiveness was the "spoils system," a system of patronage-based hiring and firing that pervaded much of the federal bureaucracy. For

⁵The data on post offices is drawn from Richard Helbock's compilation – see Blevins and Helbock (2021).

much of the 19th century, many federal employees were hired at least partially based on political considerations (Johnson and Libecap, 1994). Such politically-motivated personnel decisions were commonplace in post offices, which hired large numbers of staff (Carpenter, 1999). Under the spoils system, patronage employees were expected to be politically active on behalf of their benefactors by assisting in political campaign activities and contributing part of their salaries to politicians in the form of "political assessments." Because bureaucrats were tied to particular politicians or parties, high levels of bureaucratic turnover were also commonplace during this period (Fowler, 1943). In New York City, for example, the dominance of partisan considerations led to "short terms of office and easy," as well as "frequent removals" (Eaton, 1910).

The spoils system, as well as the high levels of politically-motivated employee turnover that accompanied it, had costs in terms of both the quality and efficiency of service provision. Bureaucrat ineptitude caused in part by a corrupt patronage system hampered the quality of postal service. Newspapers, which comprised a substantial fraction of mail volume, complained of the "long-enduring irregularity of the mails, and the excessive carelessness or gross ignorance...[within] a good many of the post offices" (Foley, 1997). Problems were particularly acute in cities, where patronage politics were salient. In the New York City Post Office, for instance, one report described the "incompetency, neglect, confusion and drunkenness" of postal staff. Indeed, one incoming postmaster prior to the early 1880s stumbled upon many bags of undelivered mail that were scattered throughout the post office building (Hoogenboom, 1959). By the mid-1870s, even members of Congress acknowledged that the quality of government service provision was often "poor at best," and that the administrative state required reform (Johnson and Libecap, 1994).⁶

The Pendleton Act. In 1883, Congress passed the Pendleton Act, which aimed to limit the influence of politics in the administration through a bundle of measures. It created a merit-based civil service by replacing hiring based on discretion with rule-based personnel decisions relying on competitive exams and performance. The Pendleton Act also provided workers with protection from political removal, as they were vulnerable to being fired summarily during periods of political turnover (Masur, 2013). Finally, it outlawed for all federal workers the use of "assessments," fees that civil servants were asked to pay in return for their appointment.

The passing of the Act was a culmination of pro-reform sentiments that had been rising since the end of the Civil War, catalyzed by the assassination of President Garfield by a disappointed office-seeker in 1881 (Theriault, 2003).⁷ Under the initial 1883 reform, the government immediately "classified" around 10 percent of federal employees as formal members of the protected civil service (Johnson and Libecap, 1994). The initial protections applied to the federal workforce in D.C., as well as large customs houses and post offices across the country. Among these departments, the Post Office was by far the largest in terms of affected manpower, making up a

⁶Indeed, a blue-ribbon Congressional committee (known as the "Jay Commission," after operating under the leadership of civil service reformer John Jay) found that government workers hired for patronage reasons were often absent from their posts altogether.

⁷As Hoogenboom (1961) argues, "Garfield's assassination gave reformers a simple, emotion-packed illustration that the previously uninterested masses could easily understand. The spoils system equaled murder."

third of the total federal workforce.⁸ The statute was designed to target the Post Office as the provision of postal services was a major activity of the federal government in the 19th century (Johnson and Libecap, 1994).

Candidates for classified jobs were selected through open, competitive examinations and protected from arbitrary firing. During the first two decades of the reform era, postal employees were classified as protected civil servants based on the city in which they worked (Hoogenboom, 1959). Classified post offices were initially restricted to post offices with at least 50 employees – meaning that most of the positions classified were located in urban post offices.⁹ According to the Civil Service Commission, this threshold choice was guided by both an attempt to experiment and target large post offices where the negative consequences of patronage were deemed more serious.¹⁰ Over subsequent years, though, additional local post offices became "classified" for purposes of civil service protections. By 1921, the proportion of the federal civilian workforce covered by the civil service system had grown to 80%. Importantly, the law also delegated to the President the authority to issue executive orders moving additional jobs from patronage into the new civil service system. In 1893, President William Henry Harrison issued an executive order that classified all post offices with free delivery service as civil service-protected (United States Civil Service Commission, 1893).¹¹ The practical effect of Harrison's order was to extend civil service reform to 556 additional cities, expanding the civil service reform well beyond the biggest 23 large cities that were covered in the initial 1883 reform wave.

2.2 Theoretical channels – conceptual framework

As a landmark reform, the Pendleton Act provided a blueprint for many civil service reforms that would follow. Like many civil service reforms today, the Pendleton Act comprised a bundle of policies, creating many ways through which it could affect post office outcomes. To discipline our analysis, we thus sketch a framework to motivate delivery errors as our measure of performance. This helps illustrate the theoretical channels through which civil service reform affects postal service "production."

In contrast to a private firm, the post office's objective is not profit maximization but enabling communication across distance.¹² In our framework, post offices face demand Q, reflecting the total number of mail items that

 $^{^{8}}$ In comparison, the customs office – the second unit covered by the Pendleton Act – made up 3% of the federal workforce (estimate based on Census and Official Registers data for 1880 and 1881, respectively).

⁹Unlike the customs workforce (c.f. Moreira and Perez (2020)), there were no exemptions and the classification applied to all clerks and carriers.

¹⁰The Civil Service Commission report of 1883 describes the choice as a means to "enforce ... broadly enough to fairly test ... without making it so general as to involve serious inconvenience in case of failure"; it was hypothesized that "abuses to be suppressed increase in geometrical ratio with the magnitude of business in the offices."

¹¹We describe free postal delivery service in more detail in section 3. Under the original statute, the President was authorized to extend the provisions of the Pendleton Act to other post offices, and also to other branches of the service (Lyman, 1893). Van Riper (1958) and Skowronek (1982) emphasize the critical role played by presidents – especially progressive presidents – in invoking authority granted in the Pendleton Act to extend civil service protections.

 $^{^{12}}$ We abstract from entry and exit considerations, analyzing each post office in isolation. By the end of the 19th century, post offices were already widespread across the country (Blevins, 2021). Civil service reform mainly affected urban post offices, where changes along the extensive margin (i.e., the opening or closure of a post office) were negligible. We analyze post office metrics for individual offices in isolation since we do not have data on the bilateral flows of mail across post offices.

are collected and delivered (volume). In the short run, we assume that this demand is exogenous. Post offices can process this volume using quality-adjusted labor hL (e.g., clerks and carriers) and capital K (e.g., office space and equipment), where L is the number of officers and $h \in (0, \bar{h}]$ their quality. To match the empirical setting, we fix capital choices in the short run at \bar{K} . This reflects the fact that the 19th century postal service predominantly relied on labor, with nearly the entire amount of capital expenses reflecting the maintenance costs for physical office structure.¹³ The production function that relates the total number of collections and deliveries Q to inputs is thus $Q = (1 - p)Y(hL, \bar{K})$.

Our key measure of performance is the total number of delivery errors pY, with $p \in (0, 1)$ being the delivery error rate. We interpret this error rate as the probability that "leakage" occurs. For example, a misdelivered mail will have to be re-processed by post office staff and re-directed before being delivered to the intended recipient. A higher error rate thus requires greater mail processing Y (and hence more inputs) to collect and deliver the same volume Q. The objective of each post office is to choose the number of workers and their quality to minimize the cost of processing a given volume Q,

$$\min_{L,h} C = (w + \tau_L)L + r\bar{K} + \tau_h h \quad \text{subject to } (1 - p)Y(hL,\bar{K}) \ge Q$$
(1)

where *w* and *r* reflect the wage and cost of capital, and τ_L and $\tau_h \in (0, \infty)$ capture wedges that distort the input choices in labor and its quality, respectively. Note that the wage does not vary by the quality choice *h*. In the post office, pay was typically fixed and sufficiently high to attract skilled workers.

As Equation 1 shows, there are multiple channels through which civil service reform may affect postal production. While the Pendleton Act's intended effects were to improve the functioning of the civil service, the net effect is – in theory – ambiguous. On the one hand, shielding civil servants from politics can improve overall performance by removing distortions in input choices. Under a system of patronage, labor input choices may have been distorted toward hiring low-skilled workers (e.g. a hire in order to secure political support). Introducing competitive examinations may thus help remove the political distortions captured by τ_h . Civil service reform can also directly affect productivity by reducing the error rate p. For example, banning frequent politically motivated firings may improve morale and generate on-the-job learning through longer and more stable careers. Similarly, merit-based management practices may increase p through improved incentives and increased effort of the existing workforce. On the other hand, political insulation may also decrease worker effort and performance through loss of direct control.¹⁴ In particular, political insulation can blunt incentives if politicians can no longer control their subordinates through personnel policies. With political appointments replaced by a rule-based selection process, politicians can also no longer exercise discretion to appoint loyal

 $^{^{13}}$ In 1882, the year prior to the implementation of the first reform wave, payroll expenses amounted to 96% of the total budget (Annual Report of the Postmaster General, 1882). The largest non-payroll expense is rent, fuel, and light (2.3%) and stationery (0.3%).

¹⁴The key trade-off has been extensively studied in the theoretical literature on delegation in political science and economics. See, for example, Epstein and O'Halloran (1994); Bendor et al. (2001); Besley and Ghatak (2005); Gailmard and Patty (2007).

workers. The overall net effect from introducing civil service rules through the Pendleton Act, therefore, remains an empirical question. Importantly, our empirical setting allows us to observe not only delivery errors pY, but also input choices and workload to shed light on the channels through which the effects operate.

3 Data and descriptive statistics

3.1 Data sources

We combine multiple sources of administrative and personnel data to construct our main dataset. Here, we briefly describe the main sources of the data.

Government performance measures. Our performance measures come from two sources of administrative data that were compiled regularly by the U.S. Post Office during the 19th century: the Annual Report of the General Superintendent of the Railway Mail Service (RMS) and the Annual Report of the First Assistant Post-master General. Our main measure of performance captures errors in delivery, reported in the *Statement of errors in the distribution and forwarding of mail*. Delivery errors were meticulously recorded in the Annual Report of the General Superintendent of the Railway Mail Service, and were frequently used to benchmark the performance of post offices. Distribution errors were relatively common during the 19th and early 20th centuries, making them a sensible outcome for us to study (White, 1910). For our main measure, we use the total number of errors on incorrect slips. These delivery errors arise due to routing errors committed by post offices when directing mail to different cities.

A key advantage of using delivery errors as a performance metric is that errors – while attributed to particular post offices – were not reported by the offices themselves. Rather, they were recorded by agents of the RMS, whose task was to collect and route mail to distant locations. Importantly, clerks of the RMS thus served a different branch of the U.S. Post Office and were not associated with a particular city, alleviating concerns over selective misreporting. A natural limitation of this measure is that it only captures errors in the delivery of mail sent across different cities, which is likely to understate the total number of delivery errors. We collect the annual statements for the period 1879–1901, covering a total of 2,319 cities in our sample.¹⁵

The second key source of information on Post Office performance that we use comes from another administrative source, the Annual Report of the First Assistant Postmaster General (FAPG). The First Assistant Postmaster General was the deputy responsible for overseeing the Post Office's free delivery service, a postal innovation that allowed letter carriers to deliver mail to a customer's doorstep within certain cities.¹⁶ The FAPG's Annual

¹⁵Unfortunately, we were unable to find annual statements going back earlier than 1879. This limits the amount of pre-period we can examine for the 1883 reform.

¹⁶Free city delivery service began during the Civil War at the urging of Joseph Briggs, a postal employee (United States Post Office Department, 1862). Briggs convinced officials to home-deliver letters in Cincinnati, Ohio. The Free City Delivery service expanded to other cities over time.

Report provided a statistical appendix relating to the operation and performance of the Post Office's free delivery service. These data provide a unique portrait of the local free delivery operation, allowing us to measure the number of carriers in service, the amount of mail delivered and collected, the number of pieces handled, the cost of service, and the total amount of postage each year. To our knowledge, there has been no systematic examination of the postal service using these administrative data.¹⁷ We use these secondary measures to complement our main performance measure and explore the mechanisms through which impacts (if any) occur. Unfortunately, a limitation is that these series are only reported up to 1891, and we digitize the annual statements for the period 1875–1891. This covers a total of 512 large cities with free delivery service. Figure I, Panel (a) summarizes our data availability. As the figure shows, we cover all urban areas across the nation.

Personnel records. To document how the postal reform affects the composition of the personnel and their career progression, we digitized the series of the Official Registers of the United States, Part II ("Official Registers" or "Registers"). Issued biennially, the Official Registers listed every employee of the U.S. federal government for the time period 1816–1921, and high-ranking federal officials thereafter.¹⁸ Given the large size of the U.S. Post Office in terms of workforce, the personnel listing of the Post Office was published separately as Part II of the publication series. We digitized personnel data on all clerks and letter carriers for the time period 1877–1901, covering our main sample period. Clerks and letter carriers make up the personnel of each city's post office and are thus the main occupations that were targeted by the postal reform. These are also occupations for which the Registers record detailed information about the names, birth states, work locations, and salaries. The availability of birth state and work locations, in particular, is crucial to allow us to link our data to the full count Decennial Census to obtain additional background characteristics. Overall, we digitized a total of 3,108 pages, corresponding to a total of 297,932 individual-year observations.

Data on newspaper partisanship. To measure the downstream impact of civil service reform on real economic outcomes, we examine newspaper partisanship and circulation. The choice of these outcomes is guided by the historical literature, which documents a significant rise in independent newspapers during our study period (Gentzkow et al., 2006; Petrova, 2011; Hirano and Snyder Jr, 2020). We use data from Gentzkow et al. (2011) to obtain measures for the number of partisan and independent newspapers, as well as their circulation, at the city-level for each presidential election year. The underlying data comes from digitized historical newspaper directories (*Rowell's & Ayer's American Newspaper Directories*) that were used by advertisers. Our final sample covers 1,037 cities for all election years between 1879–1900.

¹⁷Carpenter (2000) uses data on *rural* free delivery from three states to examine aggregate trends in the cost of postal service during 1890–1915. Our study studies postal performance across the entire nation from 1875–1905.

¹⁸See Aneja and Xu (2021) for a more detailed description of the Official Registers series.

3.2 Empirical patterns of civil service reform rollout

Reform rollout. The Pendleton Act was designed to shield civil servants from political interference. Under the statute, employees in classified positions would be selected through open, competitive examinations, and would also be protected from firing without cause (United States Civil Service Commission, 1883). As described above, the original statute affected postal jobs in a subset of cities, and these protections were subsequently rolled out to other places. To determine the timing of federal civil service rules across cities, we use the Annual Reports of the United States Civil Service Commission. First issued in 1883, these annual volumes document the progress of civil service reform throughout the nation. They report information on the coverage of civil service protections, positions, and departments, as well as statistics on the number of examinations, the number of appointments, and aggregate statistics on candidates selected for federal jobs. Importantly for our purposes, these reports include lists of "classified" locations where formal civil service rules applied, thus allowing us to track the expansion of civil service reform within the Post Office Department over time. We use this information to create a city-year panel of civil service coverage within American post offices.

We begin our analysis by examining the determinants of civil service reform across the nation. Figure I, Panel (b) displays the rollout of the reform during our study period. The rollout of the civil service reform was uneven across space but touched most parts of the nation. Reform occurred in two primary waves: the initial wave of the 1883 statute brought reform to 23 large cities, and the wave of 1893 which saw an expansion of civil service coverage to all then-unclassified 556 post offices that offered free delivery services. Between these two expansion waves, 30 cities became classified as they crossed the 50 employees threshold of the 1883 Act.

To formally assess the differential pattern of the rollout, Table I compares the characteristics of cities targeted by the reform to those not targeted. Panel A reports city-level baseline characteristics, and Panel B reports county-level characteristics. Given the size-based rollout of the Pendleton Act, reformed cities differ from unreformed ones in a variety of ways. For example, cities with post offices that became civil service-classified earlier tended to have more postal employees and a higher number of delivery errors. Reformed cities also have older post offices and were located closer to Washington, DC. These cities tended to be located in counties with a higher population, greater rates of urbanization, and a larger number of manufacturing establishments. Over time as the civil service reform expands, these differences become smaller but remain sizable.

4 Civil service reform and government performance

4.1 Empirical strategy

Our objective is to examine how the implementation of federal civil service reform affected post office performance. Using the data introduced in section 3, we answer this question using a "stacked" event study design (Cengiz et al., 2019; Deshpande and Li, 2019). This research design considers each reform wave (e.g., the initial 1883 statute, or the 1893 expansion via executive order) as a separate sub-experiment around which we construct a difference-in-differences using cities affected and unaffected in that year. We then "stack" all individual event-specific difference-in-differences to estimate the pooled effect of the civil service reform across all waves.¹⁹ As such, let $j = \{1883, 1884, ..., 1893\}$ denote the reform wave, and let k be the years before or after civil service protections come into effect. Since k is centered around each reform wave, negative values are years leading up to a civil service reform event, and k = 0 denotes the year of the reform. We restrict the pooled sample to the window for which we have coverage in all sub-experiments. For delivery errors, for example, the window covers $k = \{-4, ..., 8\}$. For city i, reform year j and the k-th year around the reform, we estimate:

$$y_{ijk} = \beta \operatorname{treat}_{ij} \times \operatorname{post}_k + \theta_{ij} + \tau_{jk} + \gamma' x_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$
(2)

where treat_{*ij*} = 1 if city *i* is reformed in the reform wave *j*, and 0 otherwise. The variable y_{ijk} is the outcome of interest, such as the (log) delivery errors. The variable post_k is defined as post_k = $\mathbf{1}[k \ge 0]$, taking the value 1 post-reform, and 0 before. τ_{jk} are reform-specific year fixed effects, which absorb common temporal shocks and reform effects that applied universally (e.g., the prohibition of assessments). Since cities can serve both in the treatment and control groups (e.g., Oakland, CA, is untreated until 1888, serving as a comparison city for cities reformed between 1883–1887), we estimate the city fixed effects θ_{ij} separately for each reform wave.²⁰ The parameter β is the key estimate of interest, capturing the impact of experiencing the civil service reform relative to control cities that do not change their reform status in reform year *j*. As discussed in subsection 2.2, the sign of β is theoretically ambiguous and thus an empirical question. For causal inference, we require that reformed and unreformed cities evolve along common trends in the absence of the reform.

The main identification concern is that reformed cities are not randomly assigned – as shown in Table I, reformed cities differ from unreformed ones in a variety of ways. Although the inclusion of city fixed effects helps alleviate concerns over level differences, differences across reformed and unreformed cities could still affect the outcome of interest in a time-varying way.²¹ For example, if larger cities are both more likely to be reformed and experience improvements in complementary infrastructure (e.g., the telegraph) over time, a simple difference-in-differences will mistakenly attribute effects of such infrastructure improvements to the reform.

There are several ways in which we can address this issue. First, we can use the high frequency of the delivery error data to distinguish changes at the time of civil reform from slower moving factors. Using year-by-year

¹⁹In contrast to a traditional "two-way" fixed effects panel regression setup, this research design makes explicit the comparison groups in each period, avoiding econometric issues that a growing body of literature has shown (de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille, 2020; Callaway et al., 2021; de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille, 2022).

 $^{^{20}}$ In our "stacked" panel, control cities are thus excluded if they become reformed within a given event study j's time window.

 $^{^{21}}$ A second identification concern is that shocks concurrent with the timing and location of civil service reform could confound our estimation. While we think this concern is unlikely given that we observe similar effects at two distinct points in time, we nevertheless examined the historical and legal literature for other changes that may affect the quality of postal services and found none.

estimates, we can be more confident that we are capturing the effect of the specific institutional change. The availability of annual data is thus a significant advance in our study period, since economic outcomes for studies examining this time period are often measured using census data that are collected at decadal frequency. Second, we can leverage institutional knowledge about the assignment rule (based on the 50 postal employees threshold) to adopt a control function approach by including the time-interacted baseline number of postal employees in the vector of controls x_{ijk} .²² Third, we can extend this approach to flexibly control for a wide range of time-interacted baseline characteristics. We use "post-double-selection" to select the covariates out of a battery of 31 variables (Belloni et al., 2014).²³ This approach avoids overfitting and provides a principled approach to covariate selection. Finally, we also include state × year fixed effects to restrict the comparison to cities within the same state, thus allowing for tigher treatment-control comparisons. We cluster the standard errors for the error term ε_{ijk} at the *ij*-level, corresponding to the level of treatment assignment.²⁴

4.2 Effects on postal performance

Table II presents the main performance results. The dependent variable across all columns is the (log) number of delivery errors in a city and year.²⁵ The columns vary the specification and samples to probe the robustness of the results. Overall, reformed cities experience a sizable reduction in delivery errors by 15-20%.

Column 1 first reports the baseline specification for Equation 2 relying on a simple set of fixed effects without time-varying controls. On average, reformed cities experience a reduction in delivery errors by 20%. In columns 2-3, we include time-interacted controls to assess the extent to which baseline differences across reformed vs. unreformed cities drive our results. Since the assignment of cities to civil service reform depended deterministically on the total postal employment within an office, column 2 includes the time-interacted baseline postal employment as a control function. In column 3, we probe this result further by flexibly controlling for all available baseline characteristics using post-double selection for data-driven covariate selection (Belloni et al., 2014). Out of the 31 baseline characteristics, this exercise adds six additional baseline covariates in addition to postal employment: city population size, age of the post office, the county-level share of foreign-born residents, the literacy rate, the number of land-grant universities, and the presence of a Western Union office.²⁶ Not surprisingly given the employment-based assignment threshold, these characteristics capture size-related differences across civil service reformed and unreformed cities. Reassuringly, the inclusion of these additional time-interacted controls leaves the estimates relatively unchanged in terms of sign, magnitude, and statistical

 $^{^{22}}$ We refrain from referring to this approach as a regression discontinuity design due to the lack of observations around the 50 employees threshold. The distributions of postal employees and city sizes are heavily right-skewed.

²³Table I, Table AI, and Appendix B report descriptive statistics and the source of the full set of variables.

 $^{^{24}}$ Our results also hold when clustering at the city-level, as well as the county \times reform-wave level or the county-level to account for spatial autocorrelation in the errors (Table AII).

²⁵We do not have data on mail volumes with comparable coverage. In subsection 5.1, however, we use data on mail volumes for the subset of cities with free delivery to show that the reform also reduced the delivery error rates.

²⁶Appendix Table B2 reports the baseline covariates selected through post-double-selection for all subsequent regressions.

significance. Once conditioning on the assignment rule through the inclusion of time-interacted postal employment, the reform magnitude is relatively stable at around 15%.

A key assumption for the difference-in-differences design to identify the causal effect of civil service reform on post office performance is that treatment and control cities would have evolved along common trends in the absence of the reform. While this assumption is not directly testable, we can provide supporting evidence for its validity by investigating the presence of pre-trends visually. Figure II provides visual evidence for the reform's effects on delivery errors by reporting the estimates of the augmented Equation 2 – a flexible version of Table II, column 3 – where β is allowed to vary by each year.²⁷ As Figure II shows, we observe little difference between the trends of reformed and unreformed cities prior to the introduction of the reform.²⁸ After the introduction of postal reform, however, we observe a clear reduction in delivery errors in reformed cities relative to those cities that were not reformed. This gap in delivery errors increases gradually before leveling out.

In column 4, we restrict the analysis to the 1883 and 1893 waves, respectively – i.e., the original Pendleton reform and the subsequent expansion via presidential order. Unlike cities that are gradually reformed as they grow past the designated 50 employees threshold between 1884-1892, assignment to treatment for the two major waves left less room for endogenous anticipatory responses.²⁹ The resulting reform effect remains very comparable, falling within the range of our estimates from columns 1–3. In Figure III, we reproduce the visual event study evidence broken down by the two major reform waves.³⁰ As the figure shows, the dynamic reform effects look strikingly similar across the two main reform waves, with the 1883 reform less precisely estimated likely due to the smaller number of reform cities. The robustness of our reform effects by reform wave is assuring. The fact that the same empirical pattern emerges across two different decades suggests that the reform is not driven by any single historical event that coincided with the reform (e.g., a decline in postal rates in the 1880s that affected larger cities) but instead worked "at scale" (Muralidharan and Niehaus, 2017). The stable pattern across two reform waves also alleviates concerns of time-varying heterogeneous treatment effects that a newer literature increasingly highlights (de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille, 2022).

Robustness checks. We provide a range of robustness checks to address concerns over more specific confounders. First, a range of infrastructural improvements took place during the 19th century that may have shaped information flows, such as the development of the railroads, canals, and the telegraph network (Donaldson and Hornbeck, 2016; Sokoloff, 1988; Field, 1992). If complementary public infrastructure expanded concurrently with the reform, part of our reform effects may capture general improvements in communication infrastructure.

²⁷We estimate $\log(y_{ijk}) = \sum_{l=-4}^{8} \beta_l \operatorname{treat}_{ij} \times \mathbf{1}[k=l] + \theta_{ij} + \tau_{jk} + \gamma' x_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$, with the pre-reform year (k = -1) as the omitted category. ²⁸Formally testing for differential pretrends treat_{ij} × k on the subsample prior to the reform using yields an estimate of 0.037 (se: 0.037), with a corresponding *p*-value of 0.31.

 $^{^{29}}$ As our cost-minimization framework also shows, the size of postal employment will depend on the overall demand for mail. There is thus an additional concern that unobserved shocks potentially correlated with delivery errors drive both demand – and by extension – reform in this subset of "switcher" cities.

³⁰Appendix Table AIII shows the corresponding regression results (columns 1–3).

In Appendix Table AIV, we test if civil service reform is associated with the expansion of telegraph and railroads, the two main ways through which information was transmitted across distance in the 19th century.³¹ We do so by leveraging complete count Decennial Census data to compute the change in telegraph and railroad employment at the county-level between 1880-1900. As the estimates show, we do not find that the reform is significantly associated with the expansion of the telegraph and railroad, as proxied by employment.

The implementation of civil service protections within the Post Office took place against the backdrop of several institutional changes that affected postal service during the second half of the 19th century. These developments included the advent of free city delivery by carriers in major cities (1863), allowing for money orders to eliminate cash transactions via mail (1864), and the penny postal cards (1873) (Wosh, 1998). As the types of services diversified and demand for mail service grew, the Post Office also increased its internal oversight capacity. Particularly relevant for our study was the official re-organization of postal inspectors under the newly-created Division of Postal Inspectors and Mail Depredations in 1875. This period witnessed a modest expansion of staff responsible for carrying out new administrative responsibilities – many of which were related to a spate of new obscenity laws, beginning with the Comstock Laws of 1873 (Carpenter, 2001). Postal inspectors were in turn tasked with identifying fraudulent or obscene material that traveled through the postal system.

Given these institutional changes, one potential empirical concern is that we are confounding the effects of civil service reform with the expanding oversight scope and policing capacity given to postal inspectors in the decade prior to Pendleton Act. While obscenity laws generally applied nationwide (and not specifically in those cities targeted by the Civil Service Act and its expansions), it is nevertheless possible that postal inspection was targeted at large cities where vice was viewed as particularly rampant. Given these concerns, we test whether the Post Office Department was increasing inspection capacity in reformed cities after civil service reform took effect (Appendix Table AV). Reassuringly, we see virtually no effect of civil service reform on the number of inspectors working in affected cities. This finding suggests that the improved postal performance we observe is unlikely to be driven by an expansion in inspection capacity.

Finally, we also consider whether our results are spuriously driven by other concurrent civil service reforms. As subsection 2.1 describes, the Pendleton Act initially covered substantial parts of the Customs Office in addition to the Post Office. In Appendix Table AVI, columns 1-3, we show that our reform effects are similar in size and precision when dropping all cities with a customs office, as well as when we drop only the subset of 11 customs offices that were reformed. Finally, we discuss the possibility that postal reform is associated with concurrent municipal civil service reforms. The federal reform we study predates most of the municipal reforms that occurred in the 20th century (Rauch, 1995; Ornaghi, 2019; Anzia and Trounstine, 2022). For completeness, however, we also show in column 4 that our main results hold when dropping all cities that experience municipal reforms during our study period (Table AVI). More generally, we furthermore demonstrate

³¹The adoption of the telephone in the U.S. occurred after our study period, with rapid growth only after the 1900s.

that the results are not driven by outliers. The main finding holds when dropping one reform city at a time (Appendix Figure AI) for the 1883 reform wave, as well as when dropping each state at a time for the 1893 reform wave (Appendix Figure AII). Taken together, the results here suggest that the civil service reform within the Post Office significantly reduced the number of delivery errors.

5 Drivers of increased performance – mechanisms

The results above provide robust evidence that postal reform improved public service delivery. We now investigate the underlying mechanisms, organizing our discussion using the framework introduced in subsection 2.2. Recall that postal output is determined by the following relationship:

$$Q = (1 - p)Y(hL, \bar{K}) \tag{3}$$

where Q is the total amount of mail processed, hL is the quality-adjusted labor employed (with L denoting the total number of workers and h their quality), and \bar{K} captures (fixed) capital inputs. p reflects the error rate, an inverse measure of total factor productivity. We now discuss each channel in turn. For this analysis, we focus on a subset of cities – those with free mail delivery service³² – for which we have granular data on inputs, workloads, and productivity. Our main result on delivery errors also holds in this sample, suggesting that zooming in on this subset of the Post Office to explore mechanisms will still provide generalizable evidence.

5.1 Changes in inputs and workload

Changes in labor inputs (*L*). We first explore whether the reduction in delivery errors coincides with an expansion of the postal labor force. To test whether our results are associated with an increase in labor inputs, we now make use of the digitized Official Registers, which allow us to track the total employment of mail clerks and letter carriers biennially. We compute the total postal workforce for each city by aggregating the individual-level records. To obtain annual counts, we linearly interpolate between the missing years.

Table III, columns 1-2 report the estimates based on the total personnel counts. We use the same "stacked" difference-in-differences design (Equation 2), except that the dependent variable now is the (log) total postal employment. There is no strong evidence that the civil service reform significantly affected overall postal employment. The point estimate is positive but quantitatively small, corresponding to an increase in employment by 1-4%, and statistically insignificant. Columns 3-4 increase our confidence in our main finding by demonstrating that the main performance result holds even when computing delivery error per worker. These results suggest that the improved performance we observe is unlikely to be driven by increasing labor input.

³²Recall that the city postal service facilitated free delivery of mail in urban cities starting in the 1860s (see section 3 for details).

Changes in worker quality (*h*). Even if the total number of postal workers remains unaffected, civil service reform may have improved post office performance by improving the quality of serving bureaucrats. For example, replacing discretionary political appointments with rule-based selection could have attracted higher quality candidates, and thus improved bureaucratic selection. In our context, however, exam-based selection only applied to new entrants. This meant that replacing the existing personnel was a protracted process, making improved selection *a priori* a less plausible channel.³³ Indeed, even five years after civil service rules took effect, half of the average reformed post office was still comprised of patronage appointees (Appendix Figure AIII).

Nevertheless, we test for the selection channel by analyzing whether the composition of hires changes significantly after the implementation of civil service reform. A challenge to the study of bureaucratic selection in the 19th century is the lack of data on human capital.³⁴ We overcome this challenge in several ways. First, we focus on patronage-related measures from the personnel records (the Official Registers). Measures from these records are available for all postal workers, thus eliminating concerns about selection bias. The individual-level results are shown in Table IV, Panel A. Overall, we do not find significant changes in the composition of the hired postal workforce. Bureaucrats hired post-reform are not differentially likely to be appointed from their home state, nor are they more or less likely to be foreign-born or belong to a nationality associated with significant political machines (Trounstine, 2009). Second, we complement these personnel measures by linking our records to the U.S. full count Decennial Censuses of 1880 and 1900. This allows us to obtain age (a crude proxy of previous work experience), literacy (a similarly crude measure of human capital), and race as additional measures. We link our personnel data to the Census based on name, birth state, and current state following Aneja and Xu (2021).³⁵ Table IV, Panel B reports the results for the subset of matched civil servants. Reassuringly, we again do not find that civil service reform significantly changed the traits of hired workers. While we find a slight increase in the age and literacy of hired civil servants, the magnitudes are economically small and statistically insignificant. Similarly, we do not find that the Pendleton Act increased the share of female or non-white entrants. In addition to finding little change in worker composition, we also find no evidence of significant changes in the remuneration of bureaucrats that may indicate improvements in worker quality (Table AVII).

In sum, the collective body of evidence – the slow rate at which civil servants were hired through the new exam scheme, as well as the absence of large observable changes in the composition of hires – suggests that a change in selection is unlikely to be the main margin of adjustment. While our observable measures of civil servant characteristics are arguably crude given data limitations, many of the point estimates are precisely estimated, allowing us to rule out even relatively small changes in certain individual traits. The absence of uniformly strong

³³Implicitly, this argument assumes that workers are substitutes in the production process. To the extent there are strong complementarities, removing "bottlenecks" alone can disproportionately increase postal output.

³⁴Before 1940, the Census did not measure education levels, leaving literacy and job occupation as the only proxies for human capital.

³⁵We obtain a match rate of 36%, which compares favorably to match rates obtained in related work. Importantly, the match rate does not vary significantly with the reform (Table AVIII) and our results are comparable when reweighting the matched sample based on observable characteristics (Table C2). Appendix C provides additional details on the matching procedure.

effects thus suggests that improved bureaucrat selection is unlikely to be the primary channel through which civil service reform improved the quality of public service delivery offered through American post offices.

Changes in demand (*Q*). Yet another mechanism through which performance might have improved postreform is through an overall reduction in workload. Even if overall employment levels and the quality of workers remained comparable, the decline in error rates could coincide with a reduction in workload due to a decline in the overall mail volumes. Admittedly, we believe that declining demand for mail services is unlikely to be a confounder in our setting given the increasing scale of postal operations during the 19th century (Blevins, 2021).³⁶ Nevertheless, we can use data on overall mail volumes from the free delivery statistics for 1875–1891 to test this channel explicitly.³⁷ The results are reported in Table V. If anything, the results show an *increase* in the overall volume of mail, though the point estimate is statistically insignificant. In Table AIX, we disaggregate the overall collection and delivery volumes by type of mail delivered. Once again, the results are inconsistent with the interpretation that the improved performance reflects a decline in delivery errors.

Figure IV shows the relationship between delivery errors and aggregate postal volume for reformed and unreformed cities. The measures are residualized by partialing out city and year fixed effects, as well as the total postal employment interacted with year fixed effects. Panel (a) shows the relationship for the subsample of cities that are reformed in 1883. As the figure shows, delivery errors tend to increase with higher volumes. The introduction of the civil service reform, however, weakened the relationship between errors and volume. Strikingly, we do not observe the same change when examining the relationship in the sample of cities that remain unreformed. This difference in the changing slope across reformed and unreformed cities is statistically significant (Table AX). This suggests that civil service reform helped change the "technology" of production, flattening the relationship between delivery errors and overall mail volume.

Changes in productivity (1 - p). Finally, we explore the possibility that our results reflect increases in productivity (a channel highlighted in Equation 3). Once again, we can leverage the rich data from the free delivery service available for cities affected by the initial rollout of civil service protections under the 1883 Pendleton Act. We use two measures of productivity: volume handled per carrier and per-unit cost of processing mail. The results are shown in Table VI. In columns 1-2, we focus on volume per carrier as the outcome. We document a significant increase in the volume per carrier of 8–10%. This effect is consistent with a stable level of postal employment after reform in combination with the modest support we find for increased mail volume. Columns 3-4 corroborate these results by documenting a similar improvement in per-unit cost-efficiency (total cost divided by the total volume of mail processed) by 10–12%. Figure V shows the corresponding visual evidence.

³⁶Moreover, to the extent that demand for mail services was increasing during this period, such changes would presumably produce *upward* pressure on delivery mistakes due to increased workload, and thus plausibly make our performance measurements an underestimate of the true effect of civil service reform.

 $^{^{37}}$ Unfortunately, the free delivery statistics are only reported until 1891, preventing us to look at the later expansion of the postal reform in a comparable event study window. Our delivery results also hold – albeit noisier given the small sample size – for the 1883 expansion and for the subsample of locations for which we have free delivery statistics (Table AIII).

As before, there is a marked relative improvement after the reform, but no clear pre-trends.

Collectively, the combined evidence in this figure and Table VI, therefore, suggest that federal civil service reform increased the productivity of bureaucrats working in affected post offices.

5.2 **Productivity and political cycles**

Having identified improved civil servant productivity as a plausible channel driving the improved performance of American post offices, we now probe *how* civil service reform made post offices more productive. There are multiple channels through which civil service reform could have affected worker productivity. The historical literature points to the important role of reduced political interference. Prior to the introduction of federal civil service protections, high turnover was a by-product of serving "at the pleasure" of politicians. High turnover was not only a phenomenon around party transitions. As Hoogenboom (1959) explains regarding the pre-reform period, "individuals could anticipate early dismissal from office, for tenure was extremely insecure ... even if his party remained in power, a civil servant was not secure in his position ... [t]hese removals were caused by factional struggles." The resulting high level of churn not only impeded learning-on-the-job, but also limited incentives for workers to exert effort or invest in job-specific skills: "morale was low in a civil service largely composed of misfits employed on a temporary basis ... it was impossible for an esprit de corps or loyalty to office or agency to develop in an atmosphere of nervous tension." Motivated by the historical narrative, we directly test whether the Pendleton Act improved productivity by reducing political cycles.

Political cycles in exit rates. To test whether the civil service reform indeed reduced turnover, we leverage micro-level personnel data from the Official Registers. We use a "stacked" design analogous to Equation 2, except that the outcome now is the exit rate. The results on bureaucratic turnover are reported in Table VII. As the mean of the dependent variable shows, the exit rate in the 19th century federal bureaucracy was high: the unconditional probability of exit in a given year was 18%. The civil service reform helped significantly reduce the exit rate by 4.5–5 p.p (Columns 1–2). Compared to the mean exit rate, this decline is sizable, corresponding to a relative decline by 25–28%. Importantly, the decline in exit is driven by presidential election years.

Figure VI complements the regression results with visual evidence. The figure shows the mean exit rates for reformed vs. unreformed cities centered around the first post-reform election. To focus on time variation, exit rates were demeaned by city before computing the average exit rates by reform status.³⁸ Strikingly, the figure shows the presence of significant political cycles in the exit rate, consistent with elected politicians exercising discretion over appointments to restaff the civil service. These political cycles, however, dampen significantly for elections that occur after the civil service reform is implemented.

As a natural consequence of a reduced exit rate, we observe a move towards stabler, longer-term careers. In

³⁸For completeness, Figure AIV also shows the raw exit rates over time for the two major reform waves of 1883 and 1893.

Table VII columns 4–5, we relate the civil service reform to the mean years of service, a measure of experience. The results document a significant and economically large improvement in the average tenure. While the mean years of tenure is 1.4 years for the full sample, the average experience in reformed cities increases by 0.61-0.72 years. Overall, our results are thus consistent with the Pendleton Act severing the ties between politics and administration, thereby giving rise to a professionalized service characterized by stable careers.

Bureaucrat performance during election years. The reduction of turnover and increase in bureaucrat experience is likely to be conducive to greater performance. We now directly connect the dampening of political cycles in exit to bureaucrat performance. Following Table VII, we ask if the performance improvements are particularly pronounced during election years. We restrict the sample to a balanced panel in order to ensure that the time-heterogeneity is not driven by composition changes. The results are shown in Table VIII. Consistent with the disruptive nature of politically-driven turnover, we find that the reduction in delivery errors is driven by election years (column 1). Prior to the reform, these were the years characterized by high turnover. The productivity gains, as measured by greater volume per worker or higher cost-efficiency (columns 2-3), are equally higher in election years. Taken together, we conclude that the reduction of patronage-driven turnover was a prominent channel through which civil service reform improved performance.

General vs. exam-specific reduction in exit rates. Finally, we probe deeper to understand who is driving the higher post-reform retention. Specifically, we ask whether the reduction in exit applies to all serving officers or is only restricted to those who were recruited through the exam-based selection process. This distinction has implications for the interpretation of our results: if the reform increased retention even for civil servants who were appointed under the spoils system, our results are less likely to reflect changes driven by differential bureaucrat selection. In contrast, if the lower exit rates were only driven by those who were hired through competitive exams, the results would provide a stronger case for the role of bureaucratic selection.

In Table IX, we thus study individual-level heterogeneity. The specification remains the "stacked" event study design, except that the unit of analysis is now the individual-year. Since exit rates vary strongly with tenure, we augment our reform-specific year \times state FEs by interacting with cohort fixed effects. Column 1 of Table IX replicates the reduction in exits post-reform at the individual-level. In column 2, we restrict the sample to only individuals who were *already* serving when the reform was implemented. Holding selection constant, the results suggest that the retention effects even accrue to those who were appointed by patronage. In column 3, we restrict the sample to only the post-reform period, when we observe the exit rates for those entering before and after the reform operating in the same incentive environment. As the point estimate shows, we do not find that the exit rates are significantly different for those who entered after the competitive exams were introduced. In column 4, we include both regressors jointly to decompose the general retention effect from the cohort-specific selection effect. The results suggest that the improvement in retention (i.e., lower exit) holds for all civil servants, irrespective of their entry cohort. This strongly suggests that the primary channel through which

the civil service reform worked was by limiting arbitrary firing, as opposed to improving selection.

6 Civil service reform and the weakening of local political parties

We close our study by returning to the question of how federal civil service reform affected party politics in the U.S. Recall that a central motivation for civil service reform was to reduce the power that local parties had over the hiring of government employees (Mashaw, 2009). While the power of appointments rested *de jure* with the federal government, appointment decisions were *de facto* delegated to the local level during the patronage era, due to the scale of the government and costs associated with centralized monitoring by federal officials (see subsection 2.1). As such, the appointment of federal civil servants was often driven by local politicians' influence, giving them substantial political rents (Hoogenboom, 1959). More specifically, appointment decisions were delegated to local and state political parties (Mashaw, 2009).³⁹

By limiting the scope for political interference and politically-motivated personnel decisions, civil service reform likely reduced the power of local party machines that depended on patronage for both political and financial support. By shielding rank-and-file civil servants from political interference, it is possible that the Pendleton Act not only improved postal performance, but also helped weaken the power of local political parties. Unfortunately, a major constraint to studying the downstream effects of the Pendleton Act is the lack of data on either city-level elections or local party activities during our study period.⁴⁰ Guided by the literature on the history of American political development, we use the local presence and circulation of explicitly partisan newspapers as a proxy for the strength of partisan politics. During the 19th century, the newspaper was not only the main source of news but was also central to local political parties' organizational activity (Baldasty and Rutenbeck, 1988). Most papers were associated with a particular party, with the editor often being considered a party officer (Graham, 1980). Local parties supplied readership (requiring members to subscribe), and newspapers promoted party ideas and candidates (Kaplan, 2002; Baldasty and Rutenbeck, 1988). Political parties also supported newspapers financially, with parties funding explicitly partisan newspapers (Kaplan, 2002; Starr, 2004).

Given that civil service reform reduced the power of party elites, it is reasonable to postulate that civil service reform also had potential downstream effects on agents supported by parties – such as the partisan press. With fewer patronage jobs to offer, local parties lost membership – which in turn may have reduced the readership of party-controlled newspapers. Without the support of a strong membership base or political assessments,

³⁹Some scholars trace the influence of parties to the enormous growth of government following the Civil War (Mashaw, 2009). Given the size of government, politicians could not monitor adequately to ensure that federal employees would competently act in the best interests of the people. Johnson and Libecap (1994) describe how federal officials authorized local political machines to dispense the jobs obtained through electoral victories, and to be responsive to the demands of local party leaders.

⁴⁰While a number of studies examine electoral outcomes in the 19th and early 20th centuries, to our knowledge most of them rely on data for Presidential and Congressional elections, in particular data compiled by Clubb et al. (2006) (see, e.g., Dippel and Heblich (2021)). While a few papers have used elections data at the sub-county level for the 19th century, even these data are typically turnout figures for Presidential elections or state-legislative election returns (Engstrom, 2006), which are poorly suited to the question we approach in this part of our study which requires granular data on local party politics.

parties were less able to support the partisan press financially. Indeed, the time period during which the federal government adopted civil service reforms coincided with the well-noted decline in the number of explicitly partisan newspapers (Petrova, 2011; Gentzkow et al., 2015). Despite the importance of the Pendleton Act and the rise of non-partisan media in U.S. economic history, however, there has been hitherto little work that aims to quantitatively establish the link between civil service reform and the decline in partisan media.

To test the hypothesis that civil service reform weakened the role of local politics, we use city-level newspaper data from Gentzkow et al. (2011). The data provides lists of newspapers along with their circulation and partisanship for each city and election-year (see section 3 for a detailed description of the data). We collapse the data to compute the total number of newspapers and circulation for each city and election-year, broken down by partisanship. We then adopt the same "stacked" event study design to ask if cities that experienced civil service reform also exhibit changes in the number of partisan and non-partisan newspapers and their circulation.

Table X presents these results. Since newspaper data is only available for election-years between 1879–1900, the number of observations are somewhat smaller. In columns 1-2, the dependent variable measures the total number of newspapers that are political or independent. As the results show, the number of explicitly partisan newspapers declines significantly after the reform (column 1).⁴¹ While the average number of political newspapers in a city-year is 1.87, the number declines by 0.16 in reformed cities, reflecting a relative decline by 9%. In contrast, we do not observe a similar decrease in the independent newspapers (column 2) – if anything, the point estimate suggests an increase. In columns 3-4, we focus on circulation for cities where local newspapers are present. Consistent with the extensive margin effects, we find that civil service reform significantly decreases the circulation share of political newspapers, while increasing the share of independent newspapers in circulation. While the intensive margin estimates are less precisely estimated, the combined evidence suggests that civil service reform indeed helped increase the presence and circulation of independent media.

7 Conclusion

Institutions that protect civil servants from political interference are nowadays considered defining features of a well-functioning state. While political appointees make up less than 1% of the U.S. federal civil service today, this was not always the case.⁴² Prior to the introduction of the Pendleton Act of 1883, virtually all federal workers were politically appointed. In this paper, we studied the first major expansion of civil service protections in the U.S. We show that a strengthening of state capacity through civil service reform improved the quality of a major government institution: the United States Postal Service ("Post Office" or "USPS"). Arguably, there are few government institutions that have been as central to modern state building as the post

⁴¹Figure AV shows the visual evidence, centering elections around the reform. A limitation of the data from Gentzkow et al. (2011) is that we only have a single pre-period. Nonetheless, the figure suggests a sharp decline and subsequent leveling out.

⁴²This number is from Spenkuch et al. (2021) and is computed based on the average share between 1997-2019.

office (Gallagher, 2016; John, 1998). Postal expansion in Western Europe and the United States in the 19th century produced "greater strides in the improvement of communication than had taken place in all previous centuries" (Howe, 2007, p. 5). For most Americans in the 19th and early 20th centuries, "the postal system was the central government" (John, 1998). By facilitating the flow of information and knowledge through the mail, the Post Office connected people across a vast and expanding nation.

We leverage the gradual rollout of federal civil service reform across cities and rich data on delivery errors to demonstrate that the Pendleton Act – a hallmark civil service reform – indeed led to a strengthening of state capacity. Cities that were covered by the civil service reform saw a significant reduction in delivery errors. Making use of personnel data and rich statistics on city free delivery, we show that the reduction in delivery errors likely reflected an increase in productivity. Finally, we use newly digitized personnel records of postal workers to open the black box of the organization and shed light on the mechanisms. Consistent with the historical literature (Johnson and Libecap, 1994; Hoogenboom, 1959), we find that the civil service reform led to a significant reduction in turnover and more stable careers.

As a key infrastructure, the functioning of the postal service is likely to have broader aggregate impacts (Gentzkow et al., 2011). We provide suggestive evidence that a depoliticized and more efficient postal service helped pave the way for the rise of independent newspapers. Leveraging data on newspaper partisanship and circulation, we find that the civil service reform significantly weakened the presence of partisan newspapers. In a context where political newspapers served at the behest of local party leaders (Petrova, 2011), our results thus indicate the role of civil service reform in weakening the power of local parties. Our findings thus suggest a "double-dividend" of civil service reform: separating politics from administration in a rank-and-file bureaucracy not only improved public sector performance, but also helped weaken the role of local partisanship.

Our findings also speak to the broader theoretical debate on the effects of political insulation. In the context of rank-and-file bureaucrats providing a key infrastructure, the improved performance we observe suggest that the gains from separating politics from administration outweigh the costs. Providing empirical evidence from a landmark reform targeting the largest federal employer, it is likely that the gains of political insulation – and civil service reforms more broadly – vary depending on the seniority of civil servants and the extent to which their mission varies significantly with political partisanship.

A growing body of work has provided micro-level evidence on the selection and incentives of bureaucrats (Finan et al., 2015; Pepinsky et al., 2017; Lim and Snyder, 2021; Besley et al., 2021). These exciting developments resonate with an older literature that has focused on the quality of administration and economic outcomes at the aggregate level (Weber, 1922; Evans and Rauch, 1999, 2000; Woo-Cumings, 1999). This paper contributes to connecting these two parallel strands of work by linking a major civil service reform to personnel outcomes, organizational performance, and aggregate outcomes. Our results underline the importance of the postal service

- and strengthened state capacity more broadly – in not only increasing the quality of public service delivery but also limiting the strength of partian politics and media at the city-level.

References

- ABRAMITZKY, R., L. P. BOUSTAN, K. ERIKSSON, J. J. FEIGENBAUM, AND S. PÉREZ (2021): "Automated Linking of Historical Data," *Journal of Economic Literature (Forthcoming)*.
- ACEMOGLU, D., J. MOSCONA, AND J. A. ROBINSON (2016): "State Capacity and American Technology: Evidence from the Nineteenth Century," *American Economic Review*, 106, 61–67.
- ACEMOGLU, D. AND J. A. ROBINSON (2019): "Rents and economic development: the perspective of Why Nations Fail," *Public Choice*, 181, 13–28.
- AKHTARI, M., D. MOREIRA, AND L. TRUCCO (2022): "Political Turnover, Bureaucratic Turnover, and the Quality of Public Services," *American Economic Review*, 112, 442–93.
- ANDRABIA, T. (2020): "Post offices and British Indian grain price convergence," *Economic History of Developing Regions*, 35, 23–49.
- ANEJA, A. AND G. XU (2021): "The Costs of Employment Segregation: Evidence from the Federal Government Under Woodrow Wilson," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 137, 911–958.
- ANZIA, S. AND J. TROUNSTINE (2022): "The Political Influence of City Employees: Civil Service Adoption in America," *mimeo*.
- ASH, E. AND W. B. MACLEOD (2020): "Mandatory Retirement for Judges Improved Performance on U.S. State Supreme Courts," Working Paper 28025, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- BALDASTY, G. J. AND J. B. RUTENBECK (1988): "Money, politics and newspapers: The business environment of press partisanship in the late 19th century," *Journalism History*, 15, 60–69.
- BAZZI, S., M. FISZBEIN, AND M. GEBRESILASSE (2020): "Frontier Culture: The Roots and Persistence of "Rugged Individualism" in the United States," *Econometrica*, 88, 2329–2368.
- BELLONI, A., V. CHERNOZHUKOV, AND C. HANSEN (2014): "Inference on Treatment Effects after Selection among High-Dimensional Controls[†]," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 81, 608–650.
- BENDOR, J., A. GLAZER, AND T. HAMMOND (2001): "Theories of Delegation," *Annual Review of Political Science*, 4, 235–269.
- BERTRAND, M., R. BURGESS, A. CHAWLA, AND G. XU (2019): "The Glittering Prizes: Career Incentives and Bureaucrat Performance," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 87, 626–655.
- BESLEY, T. AND M. GHATAK (2005): "Competition and Incentives with Motivated Agents," *American Economic Review*, 95, 616–636.
- BESLEY, T. AND T. PERSSON (2009): "The Origins of State Capacity: Property Rights, Taxation, and Politics," *American Economic Review*, 99, 1218–44.
- (2010): "State Capacity, Conflict, and Development," Econometrica, 78, 1–34.
- BESLEY, T. J., R. BURGESS, A. KHAN, AND G. XU (2021): "Bureaucracy and Development," Working Paper 29163, National Bureau of Economic Research.

BLEVINS, C. (2021): Paper trails: The US post and the making of the American West, Oxford University Press.

- BLEVINS, C. AND R. W. HELBOCK (2021): "US Post Offices," Harvard Dataverse.
- BOUSTAN, L. P. AND R. A. MARGO (2009): "Race, segregation, and postal employment: New evidence on spatial mismatch," *Journal of Urban Economics*, 65, 1–10.
- CALLAWAY, B., A. GOODMAN-BACON, AND P. H. C. SANT'ANNA (2021): "Difference-in-Differences with a Continuous Treatment,".
- CARPENTER, D. P. (1999): "From Patronage to Policy: the Centralization Campaign and Iowa Post Offices, 1890-1915," *The Annals of Iowa*, 273–309.
- —— (2000): "State Building through Reputation Building: Coalitions of Esteem and Program Innovation in the National Postal System, 1883–1913," *Studies in American Political Development*, 121–155.
- (2001): The Forging of Bureaucratic Autonomy: Reputations, Networks, and Policy Innovation in Executive Agencies, 1862-1928, Princeton University Press.
- CENGIZ, D., A. DUBE, A. LINDNER, AND B. ZIPPERER (2019): "The Effect of Minimum Wages on Low-Wage Jobs," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 134, 1405–1454.
- CHONG, A., R. LA PORTA, F. LOPEZ-DE SILANES, AND A. SHLEIFER (2014): "Letter Grading Government Efficiency," Journal of the European Economic Association, 12, 277–299.
- CLUBB, J. M., W. H. FLANIGAN, AND N. H. ZINGALE (2006): "Presidential and Congressional Races, 1840–1972," *ICPSR08611-v1, Ann Arbor, Michigan*, 10.
- COLONNELLI, E., M. PREM, AND E. TESO (2020): "Patronage and Selection in Public Sector Organizations," *American Economic Review*, 110, 3071–99.
- CORNELL, A., C. H. KNUTSEN, AND J. TEORELL (2020): "Bureaucracy and Growth," *Comparative Political Studies*, 53, 2246–2282.
- DAHIS, R., L. SCHIAVON, AND T. SCOT (2022): "Selecting Top Bureaucrats: Admission Exams and Performance in Brazil," *mimeo*.
- DAL BÓ, E., F. FINAN, AND M. A. ROSSI (2013): "Strengthening State Capabilities: The Role of Financial Incentives in the Call to Public Service," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 128, 1169–1218.
- DE CHAISEMARTIN, C. AND X. D'HAULTFŒUILLE (2020): "Two-Way Fixed Effects Estimators with Heterogeneous Treatment Effects," *American Economic Review*, 110, 2964–96.
- DE CHAISEMARTIN, C. AND X. D'HAULTFŒUILLE (2022): "Two-way fixed effects and differences-in-differences with heterogeneous treatment effects: a survey," *The Econometrics Journal*, utac017.
- DE JANVRY, A., G. HE, E. SADOULET, S. WANG, AND Q. ZHANG (2020): "Performance Evaluation, Influence Activities, and Bureaucratic Work Behavior: Evidence from China," *mimeo*.
- DELL, M., N. LANE, AND P. QUERUBIN (2018): "The Historical State, Local Collective Action, and Economic Development in Vietnam," *Econometrica*, 86, 2083–2121.
- DESERRANNO, E., P. KASTRAU, AND G. LEÓN-CILIOTTA (2021): "Promotions and productivity: the role of meritocracy and pay progression in the public sector," *mimeo*.
- DESHPANDE, M. AND Y. LI (2019): "Who Is Screened Out? Application Costs and the Targeting of Disability Programs," *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 11, 213–48.

- DINCECCO, M. AND G. KATZ (2016): "State Capacity and Long-run Economic Performance," *The Economic Journal*, 126, 189–218.
- DIPPEL, C. AND S. HEBLICH (2021): "Leadership in Social Movements: Evidence from the" Forty-Eighters" in the Civil War," *American Economic Review*, 111, 472–505.
- DONALDSON, D. AND R. HORNBECK (2016): "Railroads and American economic growth: A "market access" approach," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131, 799–858.
- EATON, D. B. (1885): "Two Years of Civil Service Reform," The North American Review, 141, 15-24.
- (1910): The "Spoils" System and Civil Service Reform in the custom-house and Post-office at New York, G. P. Putnam's Sons.
- ENGSTROM, E. J. (2006): "Stacking the states, stacking the House: The partisan consequences of congressional redistricting in the 19th century," *American Political Science Review*, 100, 419–427.
- EPSTEIN, D. AND S. O'HALLORAN (1994): "Administrative Procedures, Information, and Agency Discretion," *American Journal of Political Science*, 38, 697–722.
- EVANS, P. B. AND J. E. RAUCH (1999): "Bureaucracy and Growth: A Cross-National Analysis of the Effects of "Weberian" State Structures on Economic Growth," *American Sociological Review*, 748–765.
- (2000): "Bureaucratic Structure and Bureaucratic Performance in Less Developed Countries," *Journal of Public Economics*, 75, 49–71.
- FEIGENBAUM, J. (2015): "Intergenerational Mobility during the Great Depression," mimeo.
- FIELD, A. J. (1992): "The magnetic telegraph, price and quantity data, and the new management of capital," *The Journal* of *Economic History*, 52, 401–413.
- FINAN, F., B. A. OLKEN, AND R. PANDE (2015): "The Personnel Economics of the State," Working Paper 21825, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- FOLEY, M. S. (1997): "A mission unfulfilled: the post office and the distribution of information in rural New England, 1821-1835," *Journal of the Early Republic*, 17, 611–650.
- FOLKE, O., S. HIRANO, AND J. M. SNYDER (2011): "Patronage and elections in US states," *American Political Science Review*, 105, 567–585.
- FOWLER, D. G. (1943): The Cabinet Politician: The Postmasters General, 1829–1909., Columbia University Press.
- GAILMARD, S. AND J. W. PATTY (2007): "Slackers and Zealots: Civil Service, Policy Discretion, and Bureaucratic Expertise," *American Journal of Political Science*, 51, 873–889.
- GALLAGHER, W. (2016): How the Post Office Created America: A History, Penguin Publishing Group.
- GELOSO, V. AND M. MAKOVI (2020): "State Capacity and the Post Office: Evidence from 19th Century Quebec," mimeo.
- GENTZKOW, M., E. L. GLAESER, AND C. GOLDIN (2006): "The rise of the fourth estate. How newspapers became informative and why it mattered," in *Corruption and reform: Lessons from America's economic history*, University of Chicago Press, 187–230.
- GENTZKOW, M., N. PETEK, J. M. SHAPIRO, AND M. SINKINSON (2015): "Do newspapers serve the state? Incumbent party influence on the US press, 1869–1928," *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 13, 29–61.
- GENTZKOW, M., J. M. SHAPIRO, AND M. SINKINSON (2011): "The Effect of Newspaper Entry and Exit on Electoral Politics," *American Economic Review*, 101, 2980–3018.

GRAHAM, T. (1980): "Charles H. Jones: Florida's Gilded Age Editor-Politician," *The Florida Historical Quarterly*, 59, 1–23.

HENKIN, D. M. (2008): "The Postal Age," in The Postal Age, University of Chicago Press.

- HIRANO, S. AND J. M. SNYDER JR (2020): "Measuring the Partisan Behavior of US Newspapers, 1880 to 1980," mimeo.
- HOOGENBOOM, A. (1959): "The Pendleton Act and the Civil Service," The American Historical Review, 64, 301-318.
- HOWE, D. (2007): What Hath God Wrought: The Transformation of America, 1815-1848, Oxford History of the United States, Oxford University Press.
- IYER, L. AND A. MANI (2012): "Traveling Agents: Political Change and Bureaucratic Turnover in India," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94, 723–739.
- JOHN, R. (1998): Spreading the News: The American Postal System from Franklin to Morse, Spreading the News: The American Postal System from Franklin to Morse, Harvard University Press.
- JOHN, R. R. (1995): Spreading the News: The American Postal System from Franklin to Morse,, Harvard University Press.
- JOHNSON, R. N. AND G. LIBECAP (1994): "Replacing Political Patronage with Merit: The Roles of the President and the Congress in the Origins of the Federal Civil Service System," in *The Federal Civil Service System and the Problem of Bureaucracy*, National Bureau of Economic Research, 12–47.
- JOHNSON, R. N. AND G. D. LIBECAP (2007): *The federal civil service system and the problem of bureaucracy*, University of Chicago Press.
- KAPLAN, R. L. (2002): Politics and the American press: The rise of objectivity, 1865-1920, Cambridge University Press.
- KHAN, A. Q., A. I. KHWAJA, AND B. A. OLKEN (2015): "Tax Farming Redux: Experimental Evidence on Performance Pay for Tax Collectors," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131, 219–271.
- (2019): "Making Moves Matter: Experimental Evidence on Incentivizing Bureaucrats through Performance-Based Postings," *American Economic Review*, 109, 237–70.
- LEAVER, C., O. OZIER, P. SERNEELS, AND A. ZEITLIN (2021): "Recruitment, Effort, and Retention Effects of Performance Contracts for Civil Servants: Experimental Evidence from Rwandan Primary Schools," *American Economic Review*, 111, 2213–46.
- LIM, C. S. AND J. M. SNYDER (2021): "What Shapes the Quality and Behavior of Government Officials? Institutional Variation in Selection and Retention Methods," *Annual Review of Economics*, 13, 87–109.
- LIU, E., Y. LU, W. PENG, AND S. WANG (2022): "Judicial Independence, Local Protectionism, and Economic Integration: Evidence from China," Tech. rep., National Bureau of Economic Research.
- LYMAN, C. (1893): "Ten Years of Civil Service Reform," The North American Review, 571-579.
- MACLACHLAN, P. AND P. MUSE (2011): The People's Post Office: The History and Politics of the Japanese Postal System, 1871-2010, Harvard University Asia Center.
- MASHAW, J. L. (2009): "Federal Administration and Administrative Law in the Gilded Age," Yale LJ, 119, 1362.
- MASUR, K. (2013): "Patronage and Protest in Kate Brown's Washington," *The Journal of American History*, 99, 1047–1071.
- MEHMOOD, S. (2021): "The Impact of Presidential Appointment of Judges: Montesquieu or the Federalists?" American

Economic Journal: Applied Economics (Forthcoming).

MOCANU, T. (2022): "Designing Gender Equity: Evidence from Hiring Practices and Committees," mimeo.

MOREIRA, D. AND S. PEREZ (2020): "Civil Service Reform and Organizational Practices: Evidence from the 1883 Pendleton Act," *mimeo*.

(2022): "Who Benefits from Meritocracy?" mimeo.

- MURALIDHARAN, K. AND P. NIEHAUS (2017): "Experimentation at Scale," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31, 103–24.
- MURALIDHARAN, K. AND V. SUNDARARAMAN (2011): "Teacher Performance Pay: Experimental Evidence from India," Journal of Political Economy, 119, 39–77.
- ORNAGHI, A. (2019): "Civil Service Reforms: Evidence from U.S. Police Departments," mimeo.
- PEPINSKY, T. B., J. H. PIERSKALLA, AND A. SACKS (2017): "Bureaucracy and Service Delivery," *Annual Review of Political Science*, 20, 249–268.
- PETROVA, M. (2011): "Newspapers and parties: How advertising revenues created an independent press," *American Political Science Review*, 105, 790–808.
- RAUCH, J. E. (1995): "Bureaucracy, Infrastructure, and Economic Growth: Evidence from U.S. Cities during the Progressive Era," *American Economic Review*, 85, 968–979.

RIAÑO, J. F. (2022): "Bureaucratic Nepotism," mimeo.

- ROGOWSKI, J. C., J. GERRING, M. MAGUIRE, AND L. COJOCARU (2021): "Public infrastructure and economic development: evidence from postal systems," *American Journal of Political Science*.
- ROPER, D. C. (1917): The United States Post Office: Its Past Record, Present Condition, and Potential Relation to the New World Era., Funk and Wagnalls.
- SKOWRONEK, S. (1982): Building a New American State: The Expansion of National Administrative Capacities, 1877–1920, Cambridge University Press.
- SOKOLOFF, K. L. (1988): "Inventive activity in early industrial America: evidence from patent records, 1790–1846," *The Journal of Economic History*, 48, 813–850.
- SPENKUCH, J. L., E. TESO, AND G. XU (2021): "Ideology and Performance in Public Organizations," Working Paper 28673, National Bureau of Economic Research.

STARR, P. (2004): The creation of the media: Political origins of modern communications, New York.

THERIAULT, S. M. (2003): "Patronage, the Pendleton Act, and the Power of the People," *The Journal of Politics*, 65, 50–68.

TOCQUEVILLE, A. D. (1969): Democracy in America, Doubleday & Company, Inc.

- TROUNSTINE, J. (2009): *Political monopolies in American cities: The rise and fall of bosses and reformers*, University of Chicago Press.
- UJHELYI, G. (2014a): "Civil service reform," Journal of Public Economics, 118, 15-25.
- —— (2014b): "Civil service rules and policy choices: evidence from US state governments," American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, 6, 338–80.
- UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (1883): "Report of the United States Civil-Service Commission," United States Government Printing Office.
- (1893): "Annual Report of the United States Civil-Service Commission," United States Government Printing Office.

UNITED STATES POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT (1862): "Annual Report of the Postmaster General," United States Government Printing Office.

VAN RIPER, P. P. (1958): History of the United States Civil Service, Evanston.

- VOTH, J. AND G. XU (2022): "Discretion and Destruction: Promotions, Performance, and Patronage in the Royal Navy," *mimeo*.
- WEAVER, J. (2021): "Jobs for Sale: Corruption and Misallocation in Hiring," *American Economic Review*, 111, 3093–3122. WEBER, M. (1922): *Economy and Society*, Tübingen, 4 ed.

WHITE, J. E. (1910): A Life Span and Reminiscences of Railway Mail Service, Deemer & Jaisohn.

- WILSON, W. (1887): "The Study of Administration," Political Science Quarterly, 2, 197-222.
- WOO-CUMINGS, M. (1999): The Developmental State, Cornell University Press.
- WORLD BANK (2000): Reforming Public Institutions and Strengthening Governance: A World Bank Strategy, Reforming Public Institutions and Strengthening Governance: A World Bank Strategy, World Bank, Public Sector Board, Poverty Reduction and Economic Management.

WOSH, P. J. (1998): "Going Postal," The American Archivist, 61, 220-239.

XU, G. (2018): "The Costs of Patronage: Evidence from the British Empire," American Economic Review, 108, 3170–3198.



Notes: Panel (a) shows the locations of all post offices open between 1860-1905, as well as the locations for which performance data on delivery error rates or free delivery outcomes are available. Panel (b) shows the locations of reformed post offices and their timing. There are 23 locations that are reformed in 1883, 30 locations between 1884–1892, and 556 locations in 1893.



Figure II: Delivery errors for reform vs. non-reform cities around reform years

Notes: Figure reports an augmented version of Equation 2 (corresponding to Table II, column 3), where the estimated difference between treatment and control cities is allowed to vary for each year around the introduction of the reform. Reporting 95% confidence intervals. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform year-level.



Figure III: Delivery errors for reform vs. non-reform cities by reform wave

Notes: Figure reports an augmented version of Equation 2 (corresponding to Table II, column 3), where the estimated differences between treatment and control cities are allowed to vary for each year around the introduction of the reform, and are estimated separately for the 1883 and 1893 reform windows. Estimate and confidence intervals are missing for relative year k = -2 for the 1883 reform wave results due to the absence of an RMS Annual Report for 1881. Reporting 95% confidence intervals. Standard errors clustered at the city × reform year-level.



Figure IV: Delivery errors and aggregate mail volume around the reform

Notes: Figure shows the relationship between (log) residual delivery errors and (log) residual aggregate mail volume (before and after the reform) using a local polynomial. Both variables are residualized by partialing out city and year FEs, as well as total employment \times year FEs. The sample focuses on the 1883 reform wave, covering cities that are reformed and unreformed between 1875-1891. Panel (a) shows the relationship for cities that are reformed in 1883, and Panel (b) shows the relationship for cities that remain unreformed.



Figure V: Delivery productivity and cost efficiency for reform vs. non-reform cities around the reform

Notes: Figure reports an augmented version of Equation 2 (corresponding to Table VI, columns 2 and 4), where the estimated difference between treatment units (reformed cities in 1883) and control units (unreformed cities) is allowed to vary by each year. Panel (a) shows the event study with (log) overall volume per carrier as the dependent variable, whereas panel (b) shows the (log) overall cost per volume. Reporting 95% confidence intervals. Standard errors clustered at the city-level.



Figure VI: Exit rates among postal workers in reformed vs. unreformed cities around the reform

Notes: Figure shows the demeaned exit rates (i.e., the share of postal workers last observed in a given year) among postal workers in reformed (black solid line) vs. unreformed (gray solid line) cities around the reform. Exit rates are demeaned within each reform wave \times city and expressed relative to the exit rate observed in the first period (t = -6). Sample includes the 1883 and 1893 reform waves.

I					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Mean	Differen	nce treatment-o	control in refor	m wave
	control	1883	1894-1892	1893	Pooled
Panel A: Post office-level	1.10	202 (10***	26 201***	10 50 6 4 4 4	24 (20)
Post office staff	4.40	202.648***	36.201***	10.586***	24.629***
		(59.300)	(2.544)	(0.403)	(4.360)
Log(City population)	8.22	3.320***	2.270***	1.646***	1.793***
		(0.176)	(0.091)	(0.037)	(0.039)
Log(Delivery errors)	3.96	4.475***	2.952***	1.704***	1.959***
37 . 111 1 1	1020 52	(0.251)	(0.176)	(0.076)	(0.076)
Year established	1838.53	-33.598***	-11.026**	-15.303***	-16.020***
		(8.622)	(5.576)	(1.562)	(1.515)
Log(Distance to DC)	6.27	-0.496*	-0.006	-0.353***	-0.335***
T 1 , 1	00 71	(0.269)	(0.152)	(0.045)	(0.043)
Longitude	-88./1	3.048	1.708	1.355***	6.69/***
		(2.295)	(2.791)	(0.678)	(0.643)
Latitude	39.51	-0.182	0.713	1.992***	1.7/5***
		(0.584)	(0.603)	(0.187)	(0.174)
U.S. South	0.29	0.028	-0.096	-0.265***	-0.236***
XX 7 . X 7 1 1	0.74	(0.087)	(0.069)	(0.023)	(0.021)
Western Union branch	0.74	0.070***	0.174***	0.349***	0.321***
	2 202	(0.010)	(0.014)	(0.020)	(0.017)
Iotal number of post-offices	2,303	593	1,589	1,454	1,763
- of which treatment:	0	23	29	547	599
Panel B: County-level	10.16			0.000	0.055111
Log(Total population)	10.16	1.781***	1.165***	0.762***	0.855***
		(0.171)	(0.116)	(0.037)	(0.036)
Labor force participation rate	0.362	0.022***	0.03/***	0.015***	0.017***
<i>a</i> , ,		(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.004)	(0.003)
Share urban	0.042	0.648***	0.470***	0.080***	0.144***
	0.057	(0.055)	(0.045)	(0.009)	(0.013)
Share literate	0.856	0.030***	0.033*	0.07/***	0.0/1***
NT 11: 1	0.107	(0.011)	(0.018)	(0.008)	(0.007)
Non-white share	0.106	-0.014	-0.015	-0.063***	-0.056***
E · 1 1	0 127	(0.019)	(0.031)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Foreign-born snare	0.137	0.104***	0.089***	0.063***	0.06/***
	2.00	(0.022)	(0.019)	(0.006)	(0.005)
Log(Mean occscore)	2.96	0.154***	0.152***	0.118***	0.123***
	0.052	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.007)	(0.006)
Number of fand-grant universities	0.055	-0.010	0.085	0.030***	0.032***
Manufastaria a setablisharanta	220 241	(0.043)	(0.003)	(0.013)	(0.015)
Manufacturing establishments	238.241	2113.33****	(211.87)	(18.26)	303.00****
Frontion county	0.082	(338.00)	(211.67)	(16.20)	(40.46)
Fibiliter county	0.085	-0.012	-0.084	-0.092	-0.080****
Deil eases	0.050	(0.045)	(0.003)	(0.012)	(0.011)
Rall access	0.950	(0.000)	0.000	(0.006)	(0.024****
Evicting conclu	0.110	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.000)	(0.003)
Existing canais	0.110	(0.102)	(0.070)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Number of contractions	0.22	(0.105)	(0.079)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Number of party changes	0.22	(0.007)	0.041	(0.015)	(0.015)
Turnout	0.671	(0.087)	(0.001)	0.013)	0.013)
Tuttiout	0.071	-0.055	-0.065	(0.012)	(0.004)
Popublican vota share	0.442	(0.045)	(0.029)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Republican vote snare	0.443	-0.030	0.008	0.055****	(0.009)
Domograf voto share	0 427	(0.033)	(0.025)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Democrat vote share	0.427	0.042	0.001	-0.041	-0.033****
Total number of counties	1 206	(0.034)	(0.020)	(0.008)	(0.008)
of which with treatments	1,280	484	1,110	1,048	1,205
- or which with treatment.	0	23	29	430	412

Table I: Descriptive statistics of reformed and unreformed cities

Notes: Column 1 shows the mean for the unreformed (control) cities. Columns 2-4 show the difference between reformed and unreformed cities for each reform wave. Column 5 shows the pooled difference, conditional on reform wave FEs. Observation counts (total number of cities for Panel A, total number of counties for Panel B) report the maximum number of observations. See Appendix B for a description of the data sources, and Table AI for additional county-level characteristics. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

·	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	(1)	(2) (Ni	(3) 3 4 1 1	(+)
	LC	g(Number of	delivery en	ors)
Mean of dep. var	4.285	4.285	4.285	4.459
Reform \times Post	-0.203***	-0.158***	-0.153**	-0.186**
	(0.053)	(0.060)	(0.070)	(0.079)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times Postal employment		\checkmark		
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times PDS controls			\checkmark	\checkmark
Sample	Al	l reform wave	es	1883 & '93
Observations	86,175	86,175	86,175	18,787

Table II: Delivery errors and civil service reform

Notes: Relating (log) delivery errors to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design (see description Equation 2). The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Column 2 includes the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variables. Column 3 includes time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. In column 4, the sample is restricted to the 1883 and 1893 reform waves only. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	1			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Log(Pos	stal staff)	Delivery e	rrors/staff
Mean of dep. var	1.171	1.171	0.411	0.411
Reform \times Post	0.011	0.043	-0.096***	-0.065**
	(0.038)	(0.041)	(0.028)	(0.031)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Postal employment	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Reform wave \times Year \times PDS controls		\checkmark		\checkmark
Observations	77,013	77,013	77,013	77,013

Notes: Relating (log) total postal employment (postmaster, clerks, and carriers) and the delivery errors per worker (scaled \times 100) to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design (see description Equation 2). The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Columns 1 and 3 include the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variables. Columns 2 and 4 include time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Panel A: All hired civil servants	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Same state	Foreign-born	German	Irish
Mean of dep. var	0.639	0.101	0.0225	0.0183
Reform × Post	-0.005	-0.003	-0.003	-0.006
	(0.013)	(0.007)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Job FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Observations	105,882	105,882	105,882	105,882
Panel B: Census-linked hires	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Age	Literacy	Female	White
Mean of dep. var	28.72	0.890	0.0956	0.965
Reform × Post	0.195	0.035	-0.000	-0.008
	(0.995)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.012)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Job FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Observations	35,945	35,945	35,945	35,945

Table IV: Individual-level characteristics of hires and civil service reform

Notes: Relating individual-level characteristics of recruited civil servants to the implementation of the civil service reform. Newly recruited civil servants are identified as workers first observed in the personnel data. To avoid truncation (since all workers are first observed in the earliest year of our data), we exclude the first year of our personnel records, thus covering 1879–1901. The unit of observation is an individual \times reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Panel A looks at the full sample of recruited civil servants, and Panel B looks at the subset of those who could be linked to the U.S. Decennial Census. All specifications include (time-interacted) total postal employment and (log) city population as controls. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Table V: Total mail volume, collections, deliveries and the 1883 reform wave							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
	Log(Tota	l volume)	Log(Collected)	Log(Delivered)			
Mean of dep. var	14.69	14.69	13.82	14.57			
Reform $1883 \times Post$	0.118	0.198	0.219	0.177			
	(0.075)	(0.138)	(0.170)	(0.127)			
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Year FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Year FEs \times Postal employment	\checkmark						
Year FEs \times PDS controls		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Observations	2,922	2,922	2,922	2,922			

1 1.

Notes: Relating (log) total mail volume (columns 1-2), (log) total mail collected (column 3), and (log) total mail delivered (column 4) to the implementation of the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The sample is restricted to the 1883 reform wave and covers 1875–1891. The unit of observation is a reform wave \times city \times year. Reform 1883 is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in 1883, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Column 1 includes the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variables. Columns 2-4 include time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Log(Volu	ume/carrier)	Log(Cos	t/Volume)
Mean of dep. var	12.43	12.43	1.111	1.111
Reform $1883 \times Post$	0.084*	0.104**	-0.101**	-0.116***
	(0.046)	(0.047)	(0.044)	(0.045)
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FEs \times Postal employment	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Year FEs \times PDS controls		\checkmark		\checkmark
Observations	2,855	2,882	2,863	2,863

Table VI: Productivity and 1883 reform wave

Notes: Relating (log) total mail volume per carrier (columns 1-2) and (log) total cost per volume (columns 3-4) to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The sample is restricted to the 1883 reform wave and covers 1875–1891. The unit of observation is a reform wave \times city \times year. Reform 1883 is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in 1883, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Columns 1 and 3 include the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variables. Columns 2 and 4 include time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Table VII: Personnel turnover, and civil service reform								
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)								
		Exit rate		Mean ex	perience			
Mean of dep. var	0.179	0.179	0.179	1.412	1.412			
Reform \times Post	-0.049***	-0.044***	-0.004	0.726***	0.612***			
	(0.014)	(0.017)	(0.018)	(0.107)	(0.117)			
Reform \times Post \times Election			-0.080***					
			(0.019)					
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			
Reform wave \times Year \times Postal employment	\checkmark			\checkmark				
Reform wave \times Year \times PDS controls		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Observations	41,112	41,112	41,112	41,112	41,112			

Notes: Relating the exit rate (columns 1-3) and mean experience (columns 4-5) to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The exit rate is defined as the share of postal workers in a city that is last observed in the data. To avoid truncation (since all workers are last observed in the final year of our data), we exclude the last year of our personnel records, thus covering 1877-1899. The mean experience is the average years postal workers have served in a given post-office and year. The unit of observation is a reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Columns 1 and 4 include the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variables. Columns 2, 3, and 5 include the time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	•		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Error rate	Volume/Carrier	Cost/Volume
Mean of dep. var	0.354	12.59	1.019
Reform × Post	-0.027	0.153	-0.113
	(0.060)	(0.099)	(0.092)
Reform \times Post \times Election	-0.132**	0.151***	-0.163***
	(0.059)	(0.048)	(0.058)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times PDS controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Balanced panel	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Sample	All waves	1883 refor	m wave
Observations	15,017	1,085	1,088

Table VIII: Performance, electoral cycles, and civil service reform

Notes: Relating delivery errors (column 1), (log) overall mail volume per carrier (column 2), and (log) cost per volume (column 3) to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The unit of observation is a reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Election is a dummy that is 1 in a presidential election year, and 0 otherwise. Column 1 covers all reform waves, and columns 2-3 restrict the sample to only the 1883 reform wave. All specifications include time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		E	xit	
Mean of dep. var	0.139	0.139	0.134	0.139
Reform \times Post	-0.009**	-0.016***		-0.009*
	(0.004)	(0.005)		(0.005)
Reform \times Post-reform entry cohort			0.006	-0.001
			(0.005)	(0.005)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Cohort FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Job FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Sample	Full	Pre-reform	Post-reform	Full
	sample	entrants	period	sample
Observations	392,872	288,810	251,264	392,872

Table IX: Exit and civil service reform, broken down by the time of hiring

Notes: Relating individual-level exit to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The unit of observation is an individual × reform wave × city × year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Post-reform entry cohort is a dummy that is 1 if the individual entered (i.e., was first observed) after the implementation of the civil service reform. Columns 1 and 4 report results based on the full sample. Columns 2–3 split the sample by whether individuals entered before (Post-reform entry cohort=0) or after the reform (Post-reform entry cohort=1). All specifications include (time-interacted) total postal employment and (log) city population as controls. Standard errors clustered at the city × reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Newspa	per number	Circul	ation share		
	Political	Independent	Political	Independent		
Mean of dep. var	1.872	0.0798	0.982	0.0179		
Reform \times Post	-0.163**	0.035	-0.014	0.014		
	(0.073)	(0.032)	(0.011)	(0.011)		
Sample	Election years					
Reform waves		All refor	m waves			
Data		Gentzkow e	et al. (2011)			
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Reform wave \times State \times Year FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Reform wave \times Year \times PDS controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Observations	19,897	19,897	17,128	17,128		

Table X: Civil service reform and the decline in local partisan newspapers

Notes: Relating downstream effects to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. In columns 1-2, the dependent variable is the total number of newspapers in a given city and year, broken down by whether they are political (i.e., affiliated with a political party) or not (independent). In columns 3-4, the dependent variable is the corresponding share of newspaper circulation that is political or independent. All specifications include the time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). The covariates selected via PDS are reported in Table B2. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

A Appendix Figures & Tables - For Online Publication



Figure AI: Delivery errors - robustness of the 1883 reform wave results, dropping one treatment city at a time

Notes: Reporting coefficients of the Reform \times Post estimate, restricting the analysis to only the 1883 reform period (Appendix Table AIII, column 2) and excluding each of the 23 treatment cities one at a time. Reporting 95% confidence intervals with light gray vertical lines, and 90% confidence intervals with dark gray lines. Standard errors clustered at the city-level.



Figure AII: Delivery errors - robustness of the 1893 reform wave results, dropping one state at a time

Notes: Reporting coefficients of the Reform \times Post estimate, restricting the analysis to only the 1893 reform period (Appendix Table AIII, column 3) and excluding each state one at a time. Reporting 95% confidence intervals with light gray vertical lines, and 90% confidence intervals with dark gray lines. Standard errors clustered at the city-level.



Figure AIII: Share of newly hired civil servants in reformed cities

Notes: Figure shows the share of civil servants in reform cities who were hired after the civil service reform was implemented. The year of entry is measured as the first year in which a given individual is observed in the personnel data.



Figure AIV: Exit rate for reform vs. non-reform cities around the reform, 1883 and 1893 reform waves

Notes: Figure shows the raw share of civil servants who exit the postal service in a given year. Exit is defined as observing an individual for the last time in the personnel data. Panel (a) focuses on the 1883 reform wave, and Panel (b) focuses on the 1893 reform wave. Dashed lines mark presidential election years and the solid line marks the reform.

Figure AV: Number of political newspapers (IHS) in reform vs. non-reform cities around reform years



Notes: Figure reports an augmented version of Equation 2 (corresponding to Table X, column 1), where the estimated difference between the matched treatment and control cities is allowed to vary for each year around the introduction of the reform. Reporting 95% confidence intervals. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform year-level.

1		-			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Mean	Differenc	e treatment-co	ontrol in refo	orm wave
	control	1883	1894-1892	1893	Pooled
County-level					
Number of federal government workers (IHS)	0.21	1.593***	0.456**	0.146***	0.253***
		(0.338)	(0.200)	(0.028)	(0.038)
Number of postal workers (IHS)	0.178	0.640***	0.277**	0.132***	0.172***
		(0.159)	(0.110)	(0.022)	(0.023)
Number of state government workers (IHS)	0.50	1.560***	0.849***	0.398***	0.499***
		(0.207)	(0.159)	(0.041)	(0.042)
Number of telephone workers (IHS)	0.21	1.396***	0.820***	0.215***	0.329***
		(0.230)	(0.160)	(0.033)	(0.037)
Number of telegraph workers (IHS)	0.80	2.878***	1.595***	0.699***	0.891***
		(0.283)	(0.205)	(0.056)	(0.059)
Number of railway workers (IHS)	1.05	2.193***	1.667***	0.700***	0.858***
		(0.242)	(0.211)	(0.062)	(0.061)
Number of education workers (IHS)	1.03	2.541***	1.070***	0.718***	0.850***
		(0.177)	(0.239)	(0.062)	(0.061)
Total number of counties	1,202	484	1,110	1,048	1,203
- of which with treatment:	0	23	29	438	472

Table AI: Descriptive statistics of reformed and unreformed post-offices - additional characteristics

Notes: Table reports additional census characteristics. Column 1 shows the mean for the unreformed (control) cities. Columns 2-4 show the difference between reformed and unreformed cities. Column 5 shows the pooled difference, conditional on reform wave FEs. Observation counts report the maximum number of counties. See Appendix B for a description of the data sources. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Lo	g(Number of	delivery errors)	
Mean of dep. var	4.285	4.285	4.285	4.285
Reform \times Post	-0.158***	-0.158***	-0.158***	-0.158**
	(0.060)	(0.060)	(0.061)	(0.063)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times Postal employment	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Clustering	City \times	City	County \times	County
	Reform-wave		Reform-wave	
Observations	86,175	86,175	86,175	86,175

Table AII: Delivery errors – robustness of inference to alternative clustering of standard errors

Notes: Relating delivery errors to the postal reform in a stacked event-study design (see description Equation 2, corresponding to Table II, column 2), reporting different computations of standard errors. Column 1 shows the baseline regression specification, clustering standard errors are the city \times reform-wave level. Column 2 clusters the standard errors at the city-level; column 3 clusters the standard errors at the county \times reform-wave level, and column 4 clusters the standard errors at the county-level. For reference, the unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

			1 ()	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Log(Number of	f delivery erro	ors)
Mean of dep. var	4.285	4.783	4.285	5.382
Reform \times Post	-0.158***	-0.224	-0.192***	-0.210*
	(0.060)	(0.195)	(0.068)	(0.124)
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times Postal employment	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Sample	All	1883	1893	Free
	waves	wave	wave	delivery
Observations	86,175	6,171	13,368	27,587

Table AIII: Delivery errors – robustness to alternative samples (I)

Notes: Relating delivery errors to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design, centered around each reform year and broken down by the 1883 and 1893 reform waves (columns 2-3). Column 4 restricts the sample to cities that have free postal delivery services during our study period. The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Table AIV: Expansion of complementary infrastructure and civil service reform

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Log Δ employment 1880-1900			1900
	Teleg	graph	Rail	way
Mean of dep. var	0.00700	0.00700	0.389	0.389
Reform \times Post	0.073	0.054	0.074	0.085
	(0.068)	(0.077)	(0.106)	(0.111)
Reform wave \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Postal employment	\checkmark		\checkmark	
Reform wave \times PDS controls		\checkmark		\checkmark
Observations	6,704	6,704	6,704	6,704

Notes: Long regression relating changes in (log) employment among telegraph (columns 1-2) and railway (columns 3-4) workers to the expansion of the civil service reform. The employment numbers are measured for the county in which a city is located, and are computed based on the full-count Decennial Censuses for 1880 and 1900. The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Num	ber of	Any
	inspecto	rs (IHS)	inspector
Mean of dep. var	0.00418	0.00418	0.00254
Reform \times Post	-0.004	-0.008*	-0.005
	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.004)
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Postal employment	\checkmark		
Reform wave \times PDS controls		\checkmark	\checkmark
Observations	77,013	77,013	77,013

Table AV: Expansion of postal inspectors and civil service reform

Notes: Relating (log) total postal employment of inspectors (inspectors of mail depredations, inspectors of money-order services, inspectors of free delivery service) to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design (see description Equation 2). The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Column 1 includes the (time-interacted) total number of postal workers as control variable. Columns 2–3 include time-interacted controls selected via post-double-selection (PDS, see Belloni et al. (2014)). Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Table Avi. Delivery enoi	s – tobustiles	s to alternativ	e samples (II)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Log(Numb	er of delivery error	rs)
Mean of dep. var	4.285	4.167	4.275	4.267
Reform $1883 \times Post$	-0.158***	-0.183***	-0.156**	-0.151**
	(0.060)	(0.069)	(0.061)	(0.060)
Sample	Baseline	No port	No reformed	No municipal
		cities	customs offices	reforms
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year FEs \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Postal employment	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Observations	86,175	79,603	85,833	83,476

Table AVI: Delivery errors – robustness to alternative samples (II)

Notes: Relating delivery errors to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design, centered around each reform year. Column 1 presents the results for the baseline sample. Column 2 drops all port cities (i.e., cities with a customs office). Column 3 drops all cities that also experienced civil service reform within the customs office. Column 4 drops all cities that experienced a municipal civil service reform episode during the study period (data from Rauch (1995)). The unit of observation is the reform wave \times city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Lo	g(Annual sala	ry)
Mean of dep. var	6.037	6.091	5.850
Reform \times Post	0.027	-0.014	0.018
	(0.017)	(0.018)	(0.042)
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Cohort FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Job FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Sample	Full	Pre-reform	New
	sample	entrants	hires
Observations	390,344	286,467	105,426

Table AVII: Salary and civil service reform

Notes: Relating individual-level (log) annual salary to the civil service reform in a stacked event-study design. The unit of observation is an individual × reform wave × city × year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. Column 1 reports results based on the full sample. Column 2 restricts the sample to individuals who entered before the reform, and column 3 restricts the sample to new hires. All specifications include (time-interacted) total postal employment and (log) city population as controls. Standard errors clustered at the city × reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Hire is m	natched to c	ensus=1
Mean of dep. var	0.367	0.367	0.367
Reform \times Post	0.009	0.018	0.016
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.016)
Reform \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform \times Year \times Job FEs		\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform \times Controls			\checkmark
Observations	105,882	105,882	105,882

Table AVIII: Individual-level census match rate of hires and civil service reform

Notes: Relating a dummy for whether a recruited civil servant matches to the Decennial Census to the implementation of the civil service reform. Newly recruited civil servants are identified as workers first observed in the personnel data (source is the Official Registers series). To avoid truncation (since all workers are first observed in the earliest year of our data), we exclude the first year of our personnel records, thus covering 1879–1901. The unit of observation is an individual × reform wave × city × year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. All specifications include (time-interacted) total postal employment and (log) city population as controls. Standard errors clustered at the city × reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	Tuble Till. Type of man concered and derivered (1965 feforin wave)			
Panel A: Volume delivered (in log)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
	Letters	Postal cards	Newspapers	
Mean of dep. var	13.68	12.15	13.19	
Reform $1883 \times Post$	0.193	0.157	0.211*	
	(0.130)	(0.147)	(0.127)	
Panel B: Volume collected (in log)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
	Letters	Postal cards	Newspapers	
Mean of dep. var	13.18	11.75	11.04	
Reform $1883 \times Post$	0.267	0.039	0.058	
	(0.165)	(0.182)	(0.249)	
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Year FEs \times PDS controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Observations	2,920	2,920	2,920	

Table AIX: Type of mail collected and delivered (1883 reform wave)

Notes: Relating mail delivered and collected through the city free delivery service to civil service reform, focusing separately on each mail item for the 1883 reform wave. The unit of observation is the city \times year, and the sample period is 1875–1891. Reform 1883 is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by civil service reforms in 1883, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after civil service reform takes effect under the Pendleton Act. Standard errors clustered at the city-level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

	(1)	(2)
	Log(Deli	very errors)
Mean of dep. var	8.747	5.581
Log(Aggregate volume)	1.874***	-0.258
	(0.396)	(0.165)
$Log(Aggregate volume) \times Post$	-0.505	0.413**
	(0.305)	(0.180)
Sample	Reformed	Unreformed
City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark
Year FEs \times Postal employment	\checkmark	\checkmark
Difference Log(Aggregate volume) \times Post	-0.9	18***
	(0.340)	
Observations	275	2,246

Table AX: Delivery errors and aggregate volume, before and after the reform (1883 reform wave)

Notes: Relationship between delivery errors and aggregate mail volume, broken down by reform vs. unreformed cities (columns 1–2), before and after federal civil service reform. The sample period is 1875–1891. The unit of observation is the city \times year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform under the Pendleton Act, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after civil service reform takes effect under the Pendleton Act. Standard errors clustered at the city \times reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

B Data sources of covariates

Variable	Description	Source
Post office staff	Number of post officers (clerks,	Official Registers of the U.S.
	carrier, postmaster) in city	
City-level population	Total city/town population	US census
Land-grant universities	Number of land-grant universi-	IPEDS
	ties in county	
Western Union office	Dummy for whether a city has	W. Union telegraph directory
	a Western Union office in 1874	
Year post office opened	The year the post office was es-	Report of Postmaster General
	tablished in city	
Southern state	AL, AR, DE, FL, GA, KY, LA,	Own calculation
	MD, MS, MO, NC, OK, SC,	
	TN, TX, VA, WV	
Distance to D.C.	Distance (in miles) between	Own calculation
	town/city and D.C.	
Latitude	Town/city latitude	Google Maps API
Longitude	Town/city longitude	Google Maps API
County-level population	Total county population	US census, Haines (2010)
Foreign-born share	Sbare of foreign-born in the	US census, Bazzi et al. (2020)
	county	
Urban share	Urban population share in	US census, Bazzi et al. (2020)
	county	
Non-white share	County-level share of non-	US census, Bazzi et al. (2020)
	white population	
Frontier county	Dummy for whether a county is	Bazzi et al. (2020)
	a "frontier county"	
Railway	Dummy for whether a county	Bazzi et al. (2020)
	has railroad access	
Canal	Dummy for whether a county	Bazzi et al. (2020)
	has canal access	
Manufacturing establishments	County-level share of manufac-	US census, Haines (2010)
	turing establishments	
Share literate	Share of literate in the county	US census
Labor force participation rate	Share of county population in	US census
	labor force	
Occupational income score	County-level average occupa-	US census
	tional income score	
Share of Democrat votes	County-level Democrat con-	Clubb et al. (2006)
	gressional vote share	
Share of Republican votes	County-level Republican con-	Clubb et al. (2006)
	gressional vote share	
Turnout	County-level turnout	Clubb et al. (2006)
Number of party switches	Share of elections between	Clubb et al. (2006), own calcu-
	1872-1882 in which county's	lation
	majority party changes	

Table B1: Description of baseline covariates

Variable	Description	Source
Workers in education	County-level share of work- ers in educational services (IND1950=888)	US census
Workers in federal government	County-level share of workers in federal public administra- tion (IND1950=916, excluding postal service)	US census
Workers in state government	County-level share of workers in state public administration (IND1950=926)	US census
Workers in telephone	County-level share of workers in telecommunications – tele- phone (IND1950=578)	US census
Workers in telegraphy	County-level share of workers in telecommunications – tele- graph (IND1950=579)	US census
Workers in railway	County-level share of workers in railroads and railway express service (IND1950=506)	US census
Workers in post office	County-level share of workers in postal service (IND1950=906)	US census

Notes: Summary description of all covariates (see also Table I and Table AI) and their data sources.

Table B2: Post-double-selection covariates for each regression

Table	Column	Baseline covariates selected via Post-Double-selection (Total #)
Table II	Column 3	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), Share literate, Land-grant univer-
		sities, Western Union office, Year post office opened, Foreign-born share (7)
Table III	Column 2	Log(City-level population), Urban share, Share literate, IHS(Workers in edu-
		cation), Log(Mean occupational income score), IHS(Workers in telegraphy),
		IHS(Workers in railway), Land-grant universities, Frontier county, Year post
		office opened, Foreign-born share, Manufacturing establishments, Longitude,
		Turnout (14)
Table III	Column 4	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), Western Union office (4)
Table V	Columns 2-4	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), Manufacturing establishments (3)
Table VI	Column 2, 4	Post office staff, Manufacturing establishments (2)
Table VII	Columns 2–3, 5	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), Western Union office (3)
Table VIII	Column 1	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), Western Union office,
		Log(Distance to D.C.) (4)
Table VIII	Columns 2–3	Post office staff, Log(City-level population), IHS(Workers in education) (3)
Table X	Columns 1, 3–4	Post office staff, Log(City-level population) (2)
Table X	Column 2	Post office staff (1)

Notes: Covariates selected via Post-Double-selection (Belloni et al., 2014) for each regression specification.

C Census linking

We match the personnel records from the Official Registers of the United States (the "Registers") to the U.S. full count Decennial Census to obtain additional individual background characteristics. Each postal worker is matched based on the full name (first name, middle name/initial, last name), birth state, and current state of employment. We proceed by using different combinations, successively relaxing the matching restrictions:

- Step 1: First name + middle name + last name + birth state + current state
- Step 2: First name + middle name initial + last name + birth state + current state
- Step 3: First name + last name + birth state + current state
- Step 4: First name + last name + birth state

Given the limited number of variables that are available for matching, we pursue a conservative approach to ensure we do not overmatch by linking incorrect individuals (i.e., false positives). To start with, we always match individual names exactly. Second, we discard candidate matches of Census respondents who were younger than 18 and older than 65 when they are observed in the personnel records. Third, we restrict our matches to only individuals who are uniquely matched to the Census.

Although the Census data should, in principle, allow nearly every postal worker to be matched, match rates obtained through automated linking methods during this historical period rarely exceed 30–40% (Abramitzky et al., 2021). In this setting, there are multiple reasons why a postal worker may not be matched to the Decennial Census. First, transcription errors may occur both in the personnel records and the historical census data. Second, name variations may exist in the Decennial Censuses (e.g., Rick vs. Richard). Third, postal workers with common names residing in populous states will often have multiple potential counterparts in the census (e.g., John Smith from New York), making it difficult to identify the correct individual in the absence of unique identifiers such as social security numbers. Fourth, since the Decennial Census data is only available at a decadal frequency, individuals may have passed away or migrated between the year they were recorded in the personnel records and the year the census was taken. To increase the odds of finding individuals in the census data, we thus link each individual observed in the personnel record to the U.S. Decennial Censuses of 1880 and 1900.⁴³ Overall, we obtain a match rate of 34%. This match rate is comparable to those obtained in related census-linking exercises (Abramitzky et al., 2021; Aneja and Xu, 2021; Moreira and Perez, 2020, 2022)

⁴³While aggregate data exists for the 1890 Decennial Census, the micro-level data for the 1890 U.S. Decennial Census is unavailable as the records were destroyed in a fire in 1921.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
	Mean characteristics		Differences						
	Matched	Unmatched	Raw	Conditional					
Panel A: Individual characteristics									
Log(Salary)	5.83	5.85	-0.017**	0.021***					
			(0.007)	(0.007)					
Clerk	0.58	0.59	-0.012***	-0.007***					
			(0.002)	(0.002)					
Same state	0.52	0.66	-0.143***	-0.149***					
			(0.002)	(0.002)					
Foreign-born	0.11	0.10	0.008***	0.011***					
			(0.001)	(0.001)					
German	0.02	0.02	0.000	0.002***					
			(0.000)	(0.001)					
Irish	0.023	0.021	0.001	0.002***					
			(0.001)	(0.001)					
Panel B: City-level characteristics									
Post office staff	160.73	202.87	-42.142***	-29.103***					
			(0.603)	(1.634)					
Log(City population)	10.62	10.82	-0.205***	-0.125***					
			(0.011)	(0.009)					
Observations	78,058	125,460	203,518	203,518					

Table C1: Characteristics of Census-linked vs. non-linked workers

Notes: Column 1 shows the mean for the postal workers who could be matched to the Decennial Census. Column 2 shows the mean for the postal workers who could not be matched to the Census. (control) cities. Column 3 shows the raw mean difference between matched vs. unmatched cities. Column 4 shows the mean difference between matched vs. unmatched cities. Column 4 shows the mean difference between matched vs. unmatched cities. Column 4 shows the mean difference between matched vs. unmatched cities, conditional on year FEs. Observation counts report the maximum number of observations. See Appendix B for a description of the data sources. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

In Table C1, we compare the traits of Census-linked individuals to those who were not linked. We report differences in means both unconditionally (column 3) and conditional on year FEs (column 4). While matched and unmatched postal workers significantly differ on many observable characteristics, these differences are, in terms of magnitude, relatively small. For example, the raw mean salary difference between matched and unmatched workers is only 1.7%, and differences across other individual characteristics are likewise economically small (Panel A). In Panel B, we report the mean characteristics of the cities in which the matched and unmatched postal workers work. Match rates are significantly higher in smaller post offices and cities.

The observed differences in the characteristics of matched and unmatched officers shown in Table C1 raise the question whether selection can affect our findings on worker quality (Table IV). If the match rate is significantly associated with the reform rollout, for example, differences in match rates may partly mask any actual change in the characteristics of post-reform hires. Reassuringly, however, we do not find that the match rate is significantly correlated with the rollout of the civil service reform (Table AVIII). Finally, we can use inverse probability weights (IPW). IPW is a non-parametric procedure by which individual observations are re-weighted according to the estimated probability that they are part of the matched sample. IPW purges estimates of selection bias

provided that selection is well captured by observable characteristics. Table C2 shows the reweighted results based on the observed characteristics of Table C1. As the table shows, the results remain comparable – aside from the marginally significant effect on literacy, we do not observe significant changes in the characteristics of hired officers post-reform. In terms of point estimates, the magnitudes remain economically small.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Age	Literacy	Female	White
Mean of dep. var	28.72	0.890	0.0956	0.965
Reform \times Post	0.215	0.050*	0.002	-0.012
	(0.985)	(0.026)	(0.025)	(0.013)
Reform wave \times Year \times State FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Year \times Job FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times City FEs	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Reform wave \times Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Observations	35,945	35,945	35,945	35,945

Table C2: Individual-level characteristics of hires and civil service reform - IPW reweighting

Notes: Relating individual-level characteristics of recruited civil servants to the implementation of the civil service reform, using inverse probability weights (IPW). The Census-linked sample is reweighted to be representative of the population in terms of salary, occupation (clerk/carrier), being born in the same state as the state of service, and being foreign-born. Newly recruited civil servants are identified as workers first observed in the personnel data. To avoid truncation (since all workers are first observed in the earliest year of our data), we exclude the first year of our personnel records, thus covering 1879–1901. The unit of observation is an individual × reform wave × city × year. Reform is a dummy that is 1 if the city was covered by the civil service reform in the reform wave, and 0 otherwise. Post is a dummy that is 1 if the year is after the reform year of interest. All specifications include (time-interacted) total postal employment and (log) city population as controls. Standard errors clustered at the city × reform-wave level. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.