### Temporary work and fertility

Sara Cools<sup>a</sup> Hans Henrik Sievertsen<sup>b</sup> Marte Strøm<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Social Research, <sup>b</sup>VIVE and University of Bristol

June 2023

## Fertility is declining



Figure: Total fertility rates across the world

Notes: Data source the World Bank. The line shows the total fertility rate

### ...especially in recent years



Figure: Total fertility rates in NO and the US

Notes: Data source the World Bank.

## Alternative work arrangements are increasing

- Alternative work arrangements on the rise across all OECD countries (Katz and Krueger, 2019, Boeri et al., 2020)
- Increasing share of workforce is self-employed, temping or on temporary contracts
- Young workers overrepresented in these alternative work arrangements (OECD, 2002, 2020)

#### Norway

- Increasing share of workforce is on a temporary contract or working through a temp help agency
- Deregulation during 2013–2021 (right-wing government)
- Stricter regulation from 2021– (left-wing government)

## Temporary work is correlated with reduced fertility



#### Figure: Cross-country correlation in temporary work share and fertility

Notes: Data sources OECD (work shares) and the World Bank (fertility). Markers show the total fertility rates and temporary employment shares for all workers across gender and age in the most recent year after 2014.

## Previous literature

#### Temporary work is negatively correlated with fertility

- France (Landaud, 2021)
- Germany (Auer and Danzer, 2016)
- Portugal (Lopes, 2020)
- Spain (Rica and Iza, 2005, Guner et al., 2021, Martinez-Jimenez and Vall Castelló)

#### Life-cycle models predict that temporary jobs reduce fertility

- Portugal (Lopes, 2020)
- Spain (Guner et al., 2021)

Research question: How does employment protection deregulation affect fertility? DiD estimation:

- A reform in Norway in 2015 lifted restrictions on temporary contracts
- Not all municipalities adopted the policy change
- Fertility rates decreased more in municipalities that adopted the policy change

## Norwegian data and sample specification

- ▶ Main sample is all individuals aged 25–45 in the period 2011–2019
  - ▶ ages 18–70 for municipality employment analysis
- Fertility from birth registry
- Labour market attachment from employer-employee registry
- Education from education registry
- Demographic information from the National Population Register
- Links between registers by personal identifiers

Main issue:

- Temporary work not well-defined in register data
- $\rightarrow\,$  Merge with Labor Force Survey to get measure for subsample.

### Main outcome variables

#### Fertility outcomes

- ▶ "Have children": indicator variable equal to 1 if a woman has children
- "Birth first child": indicator variable equal to 1 if she gives birth to her first child that year
- "Birth second child" and "Birth third child"

#### Labor market attachment

- "Temporary job": indicator variable equal to 1 if an individual is in a work relationship lasting shorter than a year
- "Permanent job": indicator variable equal to 1 if an individual is in a work relationship lasting longer than a year

## Who works in a temporary job?

	Permanent job		Temp	orary job
	mean	sd	mean	sd
Age	42.14	(12.73)	32.22	(11.76)
Young, age $18-24$	0.10	(0.30)	0.33	(0.47)
Prime age, age 25 — 54	0.70	(0.46)	0.60	(0.49)
Senior, age $55 - 70$	0.20	(0.40)	0.06	(0.24)
Woman	0.48	(0.50)	0.49	(0.50)
Immigrant	0.20	(0.40)	0.30	(0.46)
Low or no education	0.03	(0.18)	0.07	(0.26)
Lower secondary education	0.21	(0.41)	0.23	(0.42)
Upper secondary education	0.35	(0.48)	0.34	(0.47)
Higher education	0.40	(0.49)	0.35	(0.48)
Hourly wage	347.30	(202.89)	274.67	(188.25
Contracted weekly hours	32.72	(8.76)	26.23	(12.19)
Labor income	570460.28	(378352.45)	339016.83	(316119.9
N	2181026.00		113536.00	

#### Table: Descriptive statistics, register data

# Age profiles, temporary employment and parenthood



Figure: Probabilities of having a temporary job and having children across age and cohorts

## Is temporary work correlated with fertility?

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Temporary employed	-0.16***	-0.16***	-0.058***	-0.044***	-0.044***	-0.041***
	(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.00092)	(0.00093)	(0.00093)	(0.00091)
N	4938029	4938029	4938029	4733557	4733557	4733557
Year		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Age			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Education				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Immigrant					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Municipality						$\checkmark$

Table: Regression on lagged temporary job status, register data

## The Norwegian reform

▶ July 2015: Norwegian government lifted restrictions on temporary contracts

- ▶ Before reform: Temporary contracts only allowed under special circumstances
- After reform: Temporary contracts (up to one year) allowed for all regular jobs
- ► National legislation, but local variation in implementation
  - Municipal political majorities could overrule the national policy
  - "Refusing municipalities" did not allow free use of temporary contracts
- $\longrightarrow$  Quasi-experimental variation in change in temporary job share between municipalities

## Identification and estimation

$$y = \alpha + \beta \operatorname{Treated} \times \operatorname{After} + \gamma' \mathbf{M} + \eta' \mathbf{T} + \lambda' \mathbf{X} + e \tag{1}$$

- y is a measure of fertility
- Treated  $\times$  After is 1  $\ge$  2015 for individuals who lived in a treated municipality in 2015.
- ▶ *M* and *T* are municipality and year indicators
- **X** are age, origin, education, indicators

## Did the reform affect temporary employment?



Figure: Share with temporary contract in treatment and control ("refusing") municipalities

## Did the reform affect temporary employment?

Table: DiD estimates of reform treatment on employment variables, women and men

	Wo	men	Men		
	Permanent job	Temporary job	Permanent job	Temporary job	
Treated post reform	-0.0044**	-0.00015	-0.0057*	0.0022***	
	(0.0021)	(0.00057)	(0.0031)	(0.00081)	
ymean	0.69	0.039	0.73	0.037	
ysd	0.46	0.19	0.44	0.19	
N	5565758	5565758	5835196	5835196	

The reform (potentially) affected

- Actual individual employment status
- Local employment and perceived uncertainty

We are interested in the combined effect of these factors  $\rightarrow$  the reduced form effect

### Reduced form event study results



Figure: The probability of having children, women

## Reduced form event study results



Table: DiD estimates of reform treatment on employment variables, women

	Have children	Birth first child	Birth second child	Birth third child
Treated post reform	-0.019*	-0.0057***	-0.0058***	-0.00080***
	(0.011)	(0.0020)	(0.0021)	(0.00024)
ymean	0.71	0.026	0.027	0.011
ysd	0.45	0.16	0.16	0.11
Ν	5755299	5755299	5755299	5755299



- Temporary employment is common at childbearing ages and more so in younger cohorts in Norway
- In July 2015, the Norwegian government lifted restrictions on temporary employment
- Temporary work increased after the reform
- ► Fertility declined

#### References

- W. Auer and N. Danzer. Fixed-term employment and fertility: Evidence from german micro data. CESifo Economic Studies, 62(4): 595–623, 2016.
- T. Boeri, G. Giupponi, A. B. Krueger, and S. Machin. Solo self-employment and alternative work arrangements: A cross-country perspective on the changing composition of jobs. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(1):170–195, 2020.
- N. Guner, E. Kaya, and V. S. Marcos. Labor market institutions adn fertility. working paper, 2021.
- L. F. Katz and A. B. Krueger. The rise and nature of alternative work arrangements in the united states, 1995–2015. *ILR review*, 72 (2):382–416, 2019.
- F. Landaud. From employment to engagement? stable jobs, temporary jobs, and cohabiting relationships. Labour Economics, 73: 102077, 2021.
- M. Lopes. Job security and fertility decisions. Available at SSRN 3543204, 2020.
- M. Martinez-Jimenez and J. Vall Castelló. Temporary employment, fertility and health at birth. Fertility and Health at Birth.
- OECD. OECD Employment Outlook 2002. 2002. doi: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/empl\\_outlook-2002-en. URL https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/publication/empl\_outlook-2002-en.
- OECD. OECD Employment Outlook 2020. 2020. URL https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/publication/1686c758-en.
- S. d. l. Rica and A. Iza. Career planning in spain: Do fixed-term contracts delay marriage and parenthood? Review of Economics of the Household, 3:49–73, 2005.

## Where in the economy are temporary jobs?



Figure: Shares of temporary jobs across industries, register

## Where in the economy are temporary jobs?



Figure: Shares of temporary jobs across industries, Labor Force Survey

## Who works in temporary work?

Table: Descriptive statistics,	Labor Force Survey
--------------------------------	--------------------

	Permanent job		Tempo	orary job
	mean	sd	mean	sd
Age	43.38	(13.21)	31.73	(13.18)
Young, age $18-24$	0.09	(0.28)	0.35	(0.48)
Prime age, age $25-54$	0.67	(0.47)	0.52	(0.50)
Senior, age $55-70$	0.23	(0.42)	0.07	(0.26)
Woman	0.47	(0.50)	0.59	(0.49)
Immigrant	0.17	(0.37)	0.23	(0.42)
Low or no education	0.02	(0.15)	0.03	(0.18)
Lower secondary education	0.22	(0.41)	0.28	(0.45)
Upper secondary education	0.35	(0.48)	0.30	(0.46)
Higher education	0.41	(0.49)	0.39	(0.49)
Fulltime, 37+ hours	0.76	(0.42)	0.49	(0.50)
Long parttime, 20-37 hours	0.12	(0.32)	0.21	(0.41)
Short parttime, 1-20 hours	0.11	(0.32)	0.28	(0.45)
Labor income	574255.41	(420700.00)	295762.86	(219477.95)
Ν	11541.00		938.00	

## Is temporary work correlated with fertility?

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Temporary employed	-0.12***	-0.12***	-0.056***	-0.047***	-0.047***	-0.048***
	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
N	12266	12266	12266	12266	12266	12266
Year			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Age			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Education				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Immigrant					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Municipality						$\checkmark$

Table: Regression on lagged temporary job status, LFS

## Did the reform affect temporary employment?



Figure: Share with temporary contract in treatment and control ("refusing") municipalities, LFS