

Health and labor market consequences of low-value care: The role of practice style

Mattia Albertini, USI Pieter Bakx, Erasmus University of Rotterdam Fabrizio Mazzonna, USI and IZA

CEPRA-NBER workshop on Aging and Health Lugano, June 19, 2023

(日)

Motivation

- Mental health problems are a leading cause of disability and suicide worldwide
- Increasing awareness of the social and economic burden of mental illnesses has contributed to growing mental health care costs:
 - Increasing consumption of psychotropic drugs
 - Treatment practice hardly follows guidelines (Currie and McLoad, 2020, Cuddy and Currie 2021)
 - Concerns about overdiagnosis and overtreatment (e.g., Hertzberg et al., 2021)
- Physician role in determining the right treatment
 - Substantial variation in the intensity of health care services driven by differences in physician practice style (e.g., Cutler et al. 2019)
 - Primary care is the most frequently utilized health service and often determines the initial treatment

A D A A B A A B A A B A B A

Benzodiazepine: an example of low-value care treatment

- Benzodiazepines (e.g., Valium, Xanax) are part of the symptomatic management of mental health disorders
- Choosing Wisely often lists them among low-value care treatments
 - Cheap drug that provides short-term relief from insomnia and anxiety symptoms
 - Highly addictive with important side-effects
 - Less effective than CBT (e.g., Baranov et al. 2020)
- Still among the most prescribed drugs in primary care
 - Adult prevalence is high (e.g., US 12%) and increases sharply with age
 - "Hidden ingredient" in the opioids epidemics (Park et al. 2015)

This paper

Evaluate the effects of GPs practice style in prescribing benzodiazepines on long-term health and labour market outcomes:

- Step 1: Using administrative data about 1.2 million patients in Dutch general practitioner (GP) practices, we estimate an arguably exogenous GP propensity to prescribe benzodiazepines
- Step 2: Dynamic DiD that compares the health and labor market outcomes of patients treated by GPs with different propensities after an exogenous mental health shock: the death of a close relative
- After the shock, patients enrolled in more "lenient" GP practices:
 - are more likely to get a benzodiazepine prescription
 - most prescriptions are against Dutch guidelines
 - have higher health care expenditure
 - shows worse labor market trajectories (income ↓, employment ↓, disability and unemployment benefits ↑)

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日) ・ (日)

Related literature

- Variation in health care and physicians' practice style (Skinner, 2011, Finkelstein et al. 2016, Cutler et. al., 2019)
 - Quasi-random assignment in ambulance referrals and to doctor in emergency departments (Doyle et al. 2011 and 2015, Eichmeyer and Zhang, 2021)
 - Doctor's adherence to guidelines (Abaluck et al., 2020, Currie and MacLeod, 2020, Finkelstein et al., 2022)
- Mental health related studies:
 - Antidepressant (AD) for adolescent and children (Cuddy and Currie 2020 and 2021)
 - AD for post-partum depression (Currie and Zwiers 2021)
 - Labour market impacts of ADs (Biasi et al., 2021; Butikofer et al., 2020; Masiero et al., 2020; Shapiro, 2022)

Studies on benzodiazepines in control settings or observational studies

- associated with increasing falls (Luta et al. 2020), emergency visits (Hampton et al., 2014), dementia (De Gage et al., 2014)
- Increasing overdose mortality (Bachhuber et al. 2016)

Background: Dutch Healthcare System

Primary care

- Gatekeeper GP system, where GP care is free for the patient
- ► GP work in 'practices'
- ► GP can be freely chosen, but:
 - Practice must be within 15 minutes driving distance
 - Practice must be accepting patients
 - \Rightarrow leaves limited space for doctor shopping

Mental health care

- The starting point of mental health treatment in the Netherlands is the GP
- ► For severe cases need referral from GP
- Waiting lists: for anxiety, waiting times are around 12-15 weeks in 2019-2021
- Since 2009, benzodiazepines are no longer reimbursed

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Data

- ▶ GP data
 - Subsample of around 500 Dutch GP practices covering 1.2 million patients (2009-2019): prescriptions and diagnoses (Nivel data)
 - Link this via social security number
- Administrative data (CBS)
 - Labour market outcomes
 - Health insurance expenditure
 - Demographics
 - Address data
- From GP data we can identify 5 potentially inappropriate prescriptions (Red-Flags):
 - Iong treatment period (> 3 months)
 - no mental health diagnoses
 - no therapy after the first diagnosis
 - treatment for light anxiety
 - joint prescription with opioids.

Research Design

- Ideal experiment: compare outcomes of (otherwise identical) individuals treated for an anxiety or insomnia issues by doctors with different practice style. In practice:
 - Selection of worsts into high prescribers
 - Different trajectories before treatment
 - Pre-treatment effect due to previous interactions
- How we address these issues:
 - The Dutch context mitigates the selection concerns (Currie and Zwiers 2023)
 - We focus on patients with a common (exogenous) mental health trigger: relative's death (child, partner, parents or siblings) between 2010-2019
 - We focus on patients with no benzo, anxiety, insomnia, depression before shock (3 years)

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日) ・ (日)

Relative's death, mental health and benzodiazepines



(8/25)

Step 1: Practice Propensity to Prescribe

On the whole sample of Nivel patients, we estimate our prescribing propensity measure using a leave-out (jackknife) residualized approach:

$$prescribed_{ijt} = \delta_0 + \gamma_t + \gamma_{wc} + \gamma_d + \delta x_{it} + \varepsilon_{ijt}$$

 \blacktriangleright γ_t : time fixed effects

- ▶ γ_{wc} : neighborhood fixed effects
- ▶ γ_d : MH diagnoses fixed effect
- x_{it}: gender, nationality, marital status, 5-years age bins

Practice propensity to prescribe benzodiazepines:

$$pp_{i}^{j} = \frac{1}{N_{-i, i}^{j}} \sum_{i' \neq i} \sum_{t} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i'jt}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

- Rescale: $\frac{pp_i^j}{p(90)-p(10)}$
- Interpetation: moving from the 10th (low-prescribing) to the 90iest percentile (high-prescribing)

Step 1: Practice Propensity to Prescribe



Balancing test: unconditional



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

(11/25)

Balancing test: Conditional on neighborhood FE



Balancing test: Conditional on neighborhood FE and SES



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

(13/25)

Step 2: Main Estimating Equation

Staggered Event Time DiD:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_i + \alpha_t + \sum_{k=-3}^{6} \delta^k \tau_{it}^k + \sum_{k=-3}^{6} \gamma^k \tau_{it}^k \cdot pp_i^j + \delta x_{it} + \varepsilon_{ijt}$$

- α_i, α_t : individual and time fixed effects
- x_{it}: 5 years age bins
- Coefficient of interest: γ^k
- Event-time τ : time away from the relative loss
- Main outcomes: Benzodiazepine Prescriptions, Healthcare Expenditures, Income, employment, social assistance

Benzodiazepine Take Up



▶ Red flags

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Healthcare Costs



(16/25)

Main results: benzodiazepine and health expenditure

	Benzo	Any red flag	Health	Mental health	
	prescription	prescription	expenditure	expenditure	
Short (0–1 years)	0.0304***	0.0072***	144.91**	22.68	
	(0.0036)	(0.0011)	(67.11)	(30.62)	
Medium (2–3 years)	0.0247***	0.0102***	139.40*	40.91	
	(0.0035)	(0.0016)	(84.53)	(37.16)	
Long (\geq 4 years)	0.0268***	0.0075***	218.21**	36.92	
	(0.0040)	(0.0017)	(103.30)	(52.69)	
N	760'087	760'087	760'087	760'087	
Pre Period Mean	0	0	2061.32	184.53	

э

・ロト ・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Summing up I

- We find a clear increase in benzodiazepine prescription of patients treated by lenient GPs relative to their counterparts treated by strict physicians
 - The difference in prescription rates is remarkably stable over time
 - A large share of these prescriptions are against current guidelines
- People treated by lenient GPs also experience a large increase in health care expenditure that increase over time
- Such increase is mainly driven by hospital expenditure (both inpatient and outpatient care)

Individual income and employment



Probability of social assistance and disability benefits



(20/25)

Main results: labor market outcomes

	Income	Employment	Social Ass.	Disability	
Short (0–1 years)	152.42	-0.0017	0.0011	0.0021	
	(201.51)	(0.0022)	(0.0026)	(0.0014)	
Medium (2–3 years)	-136.31	-0.0052*	0.0064*	0.0024	
	(312.82)	(0.0029)	(0.0033)	(0.0017)	
Long (\geq 4 years)	-759.01**	-0.0091**	0.0107**	0.0061***	
	(366.51)	(0.0043)	(0.0042)	(0.0023)	
Ν	533'264	533'264	533'264	533'264	
Pre Period Mean	37'552	0.8673	0.1037	0.0402	

・ロト ・ ロト ・ 田 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ の へ の

Summing up II

- Individuals treated by lenient GPs also experience a gradual deterioration of their labor market trajectories
 - Negative effects on income start to materialize after three years from the shock
 - This is partially driven by a decrease in employment probabilities
 - Social assistance benefits increase significantly after 2 years
 - We also find a remarkable increase of people ending up in disability schemes

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日下

Results interpretation

- Are these "reduced form effects" due to differences in benzodiazepine prescription rates across GPs, or due to other GPs differences correlated with prescribing leniency?
 - We check whether there are similar increases in other drugs AD and opioid
 - We construct a leniency measures based on antibiotics
 AB leniency
 - Placebo exercise using a different shock (tbd)

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日下

Heterogeneity and Robustness checks

Heterogeneity by sex and set

mostly driven by the older subsample, not clear by gender

TWFE bias: Sun and Abraham estimator Ink

- More balanced cohorts wink
- Excluding cancer deaths wink.com

(日)

Conclusions

- We find that doctors prescribing style strongly influences individual health and labor trajectories after a common mental health shock
- This doctors are more like to prescribe benzodiazepine to their patients often for too long, out of current guidelines
- We cannot exclude that some of the effect is also due to other treatments behaviors associated with their leniency to prescribe benzodiazepines
- Future research will devoted to:
 - better pin down the exact mechanisms behind the health and labor market effects
 - explain the determinants of the variation in prescribing behavior and its correlation with diagnosis skills

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ 日ト ・ 日下

Appendix



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

	Mean	SD	25 th	75 th
Share of patients with a benzo prescription	0.0815	0.0738	0.0096	0.1426
RF 1: 1 st prescription and no justifying diagnosis	0.0576	0.0302	0.0448	0.0683
RF 2: 1 st prescription and only light anxiety	0.0304	0.0166	0.0170	0.0416
RF 3: prolonged prescriptions (more than 3 months)	0.0978	0.0335	0.0763	0.1271
RF 4: 1 st prescription with anxiety but no therapy	0.0128	0.0101	0.0059	0.0192
RF 5: benzo and opioids in the same month	0.0625	0.0205	0.0506	0.0762
Any RF prescription	0.2204	0.0509	0.1892	0.2596

Red flags (RF) benzodiazepine prescriptions

・ロト ・御 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Red Flag prescriptions



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

Decomposing health care expenditure •••••





(a) Mental health care







(d) GBs < ≡ > < ≡ > =

(c) Drugs

Antidepressants and Opioids prescriptions •••••







(b) Opioids prescriptions

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Antibiotics leniency





(a) Benzodiazepine prescriptions



(b) Health care expenditure



(d) Social assistance

(c) Income

(25/25)

э

Gender heterogeneity Back





(a) Benzodiazepine prescriptions



(b) Health care expenditure



(d) Social assistance

(c) Income

(25/25)

Age heterogeneity





(a) Benzodiazepine prescriptions



(b) Health care expenditure



(d) Social assistance

(c) Income

(25/25)

Sun and Abraham Back



(25/25)

Balancing cohorts (2011–2018) • Back



(a) Benzodiazepine prescriptions



(c) Income



(b) Health care expenditure



(d) Social assistance

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

(25/25)

Excluding cancer Back



(a) Benzodiazepine prescriptions



(c) Income



(b) Health care expenditure



(d) Social assistance

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Relative's death heterogeneity: benzodiazepine • Back



(25/25)