Bank Funding Risk, Reference Rates, and Credit Supply

Harry Cooperman,¹ Darrell Duffie,² Stephan Luck,¹ Zachry Wang², Yilin Yang²

July 2022, NBER SI Corporate Finance/Risk

The views expressed here do not necessarily represent those of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or the Federal Reserve System

¹Federal Reserve Bank of New York

²Graduate School of Business, Stanford University

Introduction

- Historically, the majority of C&I loans in the U.S. is indexed to LIBOR.
 - $\approx 80\%$ floating rate
 - $\approx 70\%$ indexed to LIBOR
- LIBOR is now being replaced with SOFR.

London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)

- LIBOR includes changes in bank credit spreads.
- \rightarrow Increases during times of distress.

Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR)

- SOFR is a risk-free rate.
- \rightarrow Falls during times of distress.
- LIBOR mitigates impact of potential funding shocks.
 - $\rightarrow~$ Bank interest income rises when funding costs increase.
 - $\rightarrow~$ Reduces incentives to draw revolving credit.
- How will the transition from LIBOR to SOFR affect credit supply?

C&I lending and reference rates during COVID recession



Data sources: FRED, Bloomberg.

C&I lending and reference rates during COVID recession



Data sources: FRED, Bloomberg.

Research objective

1. Theoretically

• Under which conditions do reference rates matter for credit supply?

2. Empirically

• Does revolving credit plausibly represent a funding risk for banks?

3. Calibration

• What is the impact of the LIBOR-SOFR transition on credit supply?



2 Empirical Facts about Bank Funding Risk from Credit Line Drawdowns

3 Quantifying the Effect of the LIBOR-SOFR Transition on Credit Supply

1 A Model of Credit Line Provision

2 Empirical Facts about Bank Funding Risk from Credit Line Drawdowns

3 Quantifying the Effect of the LIBOR-SOFR Transition on Credit Supply

Simplified model of a credit line



Simplified model of a credit line



Bank:

· Risk-neutral

· Offers menu of line limits and spreads

· Bertrand competition

· Exogenous default risk

Simplified model of a credit line



Bank:

· Risk-neutral

- \cdot Offers menu of line limits and spreads
- · Bertrand competition
- · Exogenous default risk

Borrower:

- · Risk-neutral
- \cdot Chooses line limit and drawn amount
- · Liquidity shocks correlate with bank funding spread
- · No default risk



• Key friction: bank funding spread above the risk-free rate



• Under risk-sensitive reference rate: spread s = 0, credit limit L is indeterminate



• Under risk-sensitive reference rate: spread s = 0, credit limit L is indeterminate



• Thought experiment: reference rate cannot vary across states



• Thought experiment: Assume s = E[LIBOR - OIS]



 \rightarrow Borrower will draw more when bank funding costs are high



 \rightarrow Expected debt-overhang wedge increase



 \rightarrow Bank will adjust menu: increase spread *s* for a given limit *L*



 \rightarrow Credit limit emerges, higher cost of credit $s^* > E[LIBOR - OIS]$



• Thus far, we assumed that all draws need to be funded at LIBOR-OIS



• Thought experiment: draws in bad states are precautionary (on deposit)



 \rightarrow Reduction in debt-overhang wedge



 \rightarrow Bank willing to provide more credit



2 Empirical Facts about Bank Funding Risk from Credit Line Drawdowns

3 Quantifying the Effect of the LIBOR-SOFR Transition on Credit Supply

Data

- FR2052a:
 - Detailed BHC-level information for assets and liabilities.
 - Frequency: Monthly (banks > \$100B in assets) and daily (GSIBs).
- Y14-Q Schedule H1:
 - Detailed loan-level information for C&I larger than \$1 million.
 - All BHCs with more than \$100B in assets.
- FR2420:
 - Wholesale funding and corporate deposit rates.
- Other publicly available data:
 - Bloomberg, FR-Y9C, RateWatch, FHLB Des Moines historical rates file, Compustat, Capital IQ, etc.

Substantial bank funding risk from pre-committed credit

Loan Type	Util (\$B)	Comm (\$B)	% Utilized	No. Banks
Credit Line	543.76	1876.39	28.98	20
Term Loan	310.37	375.26	82.71	20

Source: FR Y-14Q Schedule H1 B as of 2019q4.

- Largest 20 BHCs alone have \$1.3 trillion unfunded commitmens
- During stress periods, when bank credit spreads are high, corporations draw down on credit lines.
 - Around 7% increase of total CI lending during GFC
 - Around 20% increase during COVID recession
- Acharya, Engle, and Steffen (2022).

Drawdowns during COVID were precautionary.

Bank-level evidence:

- \$1 increase in drawdowns → 89 cents increase in corporate deposits.
- Remaining amount raised via FHLB advances.
- No use of unsecured wholesale funding.



Binned scatterplot. 4 bins in each series across 24 banks which implies 6 banks per bin. Data Source: FR2052a.

Unlike during COVID, drawdowns during GFC were bank-funded.

Borrower-level evidence:

- COVID:
 - \$1 increase in drawdown → 84 cents increase in deposits.
- GFC:
 - \$1 increase in drawdown → 0 cents increase in deposits.
 - In line with Ivashina and Scharfstein (2010) and Acharya and Mora (2014).



Data Source: FR Y14Q, Compustat, Capital IQ.



2 Empirical Facts about Bank Funding Risk from Credit Line Drawdowns

3 Quantifying the Effect of the LIBOR-SOFR Transition on Credit Supply

The effect of the LIBOR-SOFR transition on credit line prices and quantities.



Parameterization

Key Findings

1. Theoretically:

- Debt-overhang wedge implies a risk-free borrower pays a premium on revolving credit indexed to SOFR (compared to LIBOR) but not on term loans.
- Mitigated to the extent drawdowns are pre-cautionary as opposed to bank-funded

2. Empirically:

- (i) Bank funding risk from pre-committed credit is substantial.
- (ii) Drawdowns during COVID were precautionary; drawdowns required bank funding during GFC.

3. Quantifying the effect of the LIBOR-SOFR transition:

- Moderate increase in expected funding cost.
 - Approx. 5-15 bps higher spread in normal times.
 - Approx. 50-100 bps lower spread during distress.
 - \rightarrow But less funding available during distress.

Parameterization

- $C = 0.06, \alpha = 0.25, r = 0, f = 0.0003.$
- $S = \theta W, \theta = 1, \psi = K(W).$
- $b(q,S) = \psi \log(q-\underline{q}) + (1-\Phi(W))q.$
- $\Phi = \frac{D}{1 + e^{-m(x-w_0)}}$, with D = 1, m = 0.223, and $w_0 = 130$ basis points.
- $K(w) = \delta(w + s(L))(\lambda e^{\eta w} \underline{q})$, with $\lambda = 465.71$, $\eta = 0.003089$, and $\underline{q} = 430$ (where q is measured in billions of dollars and w is measured in basis points).
- $\log S$ is Gaussian with mean -6.416 and standard deviation 0.892.
- Vertical gray dotted lines are shown at the sample average of LIBOR-OIS (28 basis points) and at the 140 bps level of LIBOR-OIS reached in the COVID shock of March, 2020.