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Background

- Widely-observed negative correlation between female occupational shares and wages of men and women in cross-sections and time-series, even conditional on numerous controls
  (e.g. England et al., 1988, 2007, MacPherson and Hirsch, 1995, Levanon et al., 2009, Murphy and Oesch, 2015, Addison et al., 2017, Harris, 2020)

- Sociological literature uses specific term: “devaluation”
  (e.g. England, 1992)

- No causal evidence
  - Recent developments in female labor supply that has changed the female share in a large number of occupations
  - Widespread political effort to encourage (young) women to enter male-dominated, high-paying occupations, e.g. in STEM fields
Is There a Causal Link?

- Causality is difficult to establish
- Changing occupational employment by gender is driven by both supply and demand forces
- Reverse causality also possible:
  - Women value certain amenities/non-pecuniary features more than men (e.g. flexibility)
  - Occupations that offer those amenities attract more women
  - In competitive markets, occupations that increase amenities lower wages in turn (compensating wage differentials)
The Fall of the Berlin Wall as Natural Experiment

- We analyze the causal impact of the gender composition of an occupation on the wages of men and women in West German labor market.

- Establish causality by leveraging the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989, and the collapse of the German Democratic Republic (GDR).
  - Events triggered sudden, unexpected and gendered shock in potential labor supply to the West German labor market, spanning all occupations.
  - Importantly for identification, distribution of women across occupations was very different in the GDR and FRG for political and institutional reasons.
  - We apply an IV approach, in which pre-1989 occupational fields of training of East German men and women serve as instruments.
What We Find

- Increase in the female share in an occupation causally leads to lower wages for both men and women working in that occupation.
- Evidence is in line with the “devaluation hypothesis” developed in the sociological literature.
- Quantitative importance of effects are larger for men than for women.
- Because gender composition of occupations at the median evolved in opposite direction for men and women, it contributed to the closing of gender pay gap in recent decades.
Sociology: Devaluation Hypothesis

- “Value of work” as social construct
- Historically conditioned patriarchal systems generated gender-bias in social construction of value of occupations
  - Society perceives female skills (e.g. “emotional” or “caring” work) as less valuable than male skills
  - Female-dominated occupations pay less for both men and women in the occupation
- Change in the gender composition of an occupation leads to a change in its pay, again for both genders
- Because the female-dominated occupations vary over time, “devaluation” requires that the definition of “female” skills is changing over time
Suggestive Evidence on Female Share Effects

Evolution of Normalized Average Wages, 1985–1999

Sample: “Native” men in West German labor market, aged 25-54.
Data

1. **Outcome Data (Wage): German Social Security Record**
   - 2 percent sample of workers covered by social security
   - Daily wage information in main job as of June 30 each year
   - Detailed individual background information, e.g. gender, age, education, occupation, industry, and employer size
   - Main sample: medium-educated West German workers, employed full-time in West German labor market, aged 25 to 54

   - Independent, representative cross-sections of labor force (including self-employed, unemployed)
   - Allows identification of East Germans as individuals who grew up in the GDR, independent of their current residence in East and West Germany
   - Detailed retrospective information on occupation in which East Germans were trained when they were young during GDR-times (i.e. pre-1989)
“Devaluation” theory operates on occupation (-time) level

We use age-occupation-time cell means:

- valuation of amenities/flexibility may be age-specific, e.g. if firms want to attract young women into male-dominated tech occupations
- basic mechanism that fosters occupational gender integration is entry of new cohorts of women (e.g. Blau, Brummund and Liu, 2013)
- evidence that people within the same occupation but with different age are imperfect substitutes in production (e.g. Prantl and Spitz-Oener, 2020)
- allows us to control for occupation-time fixed-effects (capture occupation-specific unobserved factors that may change over time, e.g. amenities)
Empirical Specification

\[ \log w_{iaote} = \beta_0 f_{aot} + \beta_1 L_{aot} + \varrho_{ao} + \varsigma_{ot} + \tau_{at} \]
\[ + \theta_i + \eta_e + \nu_{iaote} \]

(1)

With:

- \( f_{aot} \): Share of women in each individual’s age-occupation-time cell in West Germany

- Subscripts indicate individual \( i \), employer \( e \), age group \( a \), occupation \( o \), and time \( t \)

- Estimate separately by gender
Empirical Specification

\[
\log w_{iaot} = \beta_0 f_{aot} + \beta_1 L_{aot} + \varphi_{ao} + \varsigma_{ot} + \tau_{at} \\
+ \theta_i + \eta_e + \nu_{iaot}
\]

With:

- \( f_{aot} \): Share of women in age-occupation-time cell in West Germany
- \( \beta_0 \): Coefficient of interest

\( \rightarrow \) OLS estimates identified by differential changes in female shares across age-occupation cells over time
Empirical Specification

\[
\log w_{iaote} = \beta_0 f_{aot} + \beta_1 L_{aot} + \varsigma_{ao} + \varsigma_{ot} + \tau_{at} + \theta_i + \eta_e + \nu_{iaote}
\]

→ control for \( L_{aot} \): size of each individual’s age-occupation-time cell
→ control for occupation-time fixed-effects \( \varsigma_{ot} \)
→ control for individual fixed-effects \( \theta_i \)
→ control for employer fixed-effects \( \eta_e \)
### Dependent variable: Log real daily wages of West Germans ($w_{iaote}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Share ($f_{aot}$)</td>
<td>-0.591*** (0.073)</td>
<td>-0.448*** (0.051)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual F.E.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour supply</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer F.E.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>817,088</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All specifications include age-occupation-time main and second order effects.
Instrumental Variables Estimates

- There might still be age-occupation specific unobserved factors that change over time and that might drive the results, e.g. amenities provided only to the young.
- Design an IV approach based on the gendered potential labor supply shock owing to the Fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989, and the associated merging of the East and West German labor market.
- The instrumental variables use the pre-1989 occupational fields of training of East German men and women residing in East or West Germany in 1991/92.
Validity of Instrumental Variables

- From 1949 to 1989, the GDR was a state socialist society and a centrally-planned economy, the FRG was a social-market economy: very different political and economic systems.

- Differences in labor scarcity, gender ideology, family policy, industry structure, as well as educational and vocational training systems in the “two parts of Germany” produced stark differences in the gendered nature of work (e.g. larger labor market attachment of East German women than West German women).

- Importantly for this study, it also resulted in different pre-1989 distribution of men and women across occupations in the GDR and the FRG.
East-West Difference in Occupational Female Shares, 1991/92

Occupational groups (ranked by size of occupation, descending order)

- Auditors/Accountants
- Security Personnel
- Office workers
- Technicians
- Pastors/Spiritual Advisors
Instrument Construction: Step 1

Gender-Specific Pool of East Germans

\[ L_{g,aot}^* = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \omega_{g,aokt} L_{g,akt} & \text{if } t = 1991/92 \\ \text{artificial ageing of } 1991/92 \text{ values if } t=1998/99 & \end{cases} \]

with

\[ \omega_{g,aokt} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = o \\ \frac{L_{g,aokt}}{L_{g,aot}} & \text{if } k \neq o \end{cases} \]

- \( g := \text{gender (f or m)} \)
- \( k \) indicates the occupation in which East Germans were trained during GDR times, i.e. before 1989.
- Pool includes all East Germans those who migrated to West Germany and those who stayed in East Germany.
Instrument Construction: Step 2

\[ f_{aot}^{IV} = \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{L_f^* + L_{West}^f}{L_f^* + L_m^* + L_{West}} \right)_{aot} & \text{if } t = 1991/92, 1998/99 \\
\left( \frac{L_{West}}{L_{West}} \right)_{aot} & \text{if } t = 1985/86 
\end{cases} \]
Female Share: Graphical Illustration of First Stage

Men

Women

Gender and Wages
Instrumental Variable for $L_{aot}$

\[
L^{IV}_{aot} = \begin{cases} 
(L_f^* + L_m^* + L^{West})_{aot} & \text{if } t = 1991/92, 1998/99 \\
L^{West}_{aot} & \text{if } t = 1985/86 
\end{cases}
\]
Feminization of Occupations and the Effect on Wages


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable: Log real daily wages of West Germans ($w_{ioote}$)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Share ($f_{aot}$)</td>
<td>-0.369***</td>
<td>-0.874***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.054)</td>
<td>(0.174)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual F.E.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor supply</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer F.E.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1st stage

| Female Share Instrument ($f_{aot}^{IV}$)                       | 0.109*** | 0.123*** |
|                                                              | (0.025)  | (0.032)  |
| Labor supply instrumented                                     | Yes     | Yes     |
| Control variables as in 2nd stage                             | Yes     | Yes     |
| SW F-test: $\beta^{excl.instr.} = 0$ (p-value)                | 20.39 (0.000) | 15.58 (0.000) |

Note: All specifications include age-occupation-time main and second order effects.
**Effect Size**

- 1 percentage point increase in female share leads to 0.87 percent lower wages for men and 0.23 percent lower wages for women.
- Change in female share between 1985/86 and 1998/99 contributed to closing of gender wage gap:
  - Female share of men increased by 2.6 percentage points and declined by 0.55 percentage points for women.
  - Change in female share led to a 2.24 percent decrease in male wages and a 0.13 percent increase for females.
Heterogeneity


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable: Log real daily wages of West Germans ($w_{iaote}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female Share ($f_{aot}$)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1$(Older than 39 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1$(medium tercile tenure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1$(upper tercile tenure)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fuchs-Schündeln & Spitz-Oener
## Heterogeneity/Robustness


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable: Log real daily wages of West Germans ($w_{iaote}$)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Share ($f_{aot}$)</td>
<td>-1.009***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.112)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1(0.25-0.5 \text{ female share in base year})$</td>
<td>0.140***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1(0.5-0.75 \text{ female share in base year})$</td>
<td>0.117***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{aot} \times 1(\geq 0.75 \text{ female share in base year})$</td>
<td>0.074**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.034)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Share ($f_{ot}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.774***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.391)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fuchs-Schündeln & Spitz-Oener*
Ongoing Analyses

- Heterogeneity in effects for stayers and newcomers in occupations
- Occupational inflow and outflow analyses (Pan, 2015)
- Organizational structure of occupation: share part-time/full-time workers, extent of overtime work etc.
- Event-study set-up
Conclusions

- We find strong causal evidence in support of “devaluation” hypothesis, and the notion that the value of work is a social construct.
- Society seems to value jobs carried out by women less; this has repercussions on the wages of men.
- Results are important in context of political goal to increase female labor supply in certain occupational fields.
- Results have implications for the attractiveness of occupations and for the incentives of men to have women enter previously male dominated occupations (Goldin, 2014).
Thank You!
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## Feminization of Occupations and the Effect on Wages


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable:</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Stages</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Share</td>
<td>0.109***</td>
<td>-0.000***</td>
<td>-0.094***</td>
<td>0.123***</td>
<td>-0.000***</td>
<td>-0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.032)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument $IV_{oat}$</td>
<td>-0.020***</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td>-0.026***</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Full set of oat F.E. interactions | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Individual F.E. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Labour supply | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Employer F.E. | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| SW F-test (oat SE cluster) | 20.39 | 114.54 | 15.58 | 119.88 |
| $R^2$ adjusted | 0.89 | | | | 0.86 |