Information Spillovers and Sovereign Debt: Theory Meets the Eurozone Crisis

Harold Cole, Daniel Neuhann, and Guillermo Ordoñez

2022 NBER Summer Institute

Motivation

- Sovereign bonds are typically sold in sequences of auctions.
- The most commonly used protocol is the discriminatory-price protocol.
- Milton Friedman in 1959: a bad idea because of the winner's curse.
 - Discourages participation and lowers prices.

- Previous work: costs of asymmetric information in Mexican bond auctions.
- Missing aspects in that paper:
 - Bonds are sold in a multi-country world.
 - Investors can choose whether to acquire information.

• Today: information choice and spillovers (externalities on other countries).

- Two-country model: auctions, secondary markets, information choice.
 - 1. Winner's curse deters participation and raises required risk premium.
 - 2. Information acquisition occurs in bad times, strategic complementarity.
 - 3. Uninformed flee to "safe havens" \Rightarrow segmenation and information spillovers.
 - 4. Effects of information are *amplified* by liquid secondary markets.

- Use the model to explore key observations from the Eurozone crisis.
 - 1. Yields of fundamentally different countries co-moved then decoupled.
 - 2. Higher information content of auction prices (relative to secondary markets).
 - 3. Integration and then persistent segmentation of European bond markets.
 - 4. Primary market discount relative to secondary market.

(Important: asymmetric behavior of the "core" and "periphery.")

- Sovereign debt: focus on investors (not default decisions), primary markets.
- Contagion: force against diversification with a common pool of investors.
 - Endogenous winner's curse can break this link.

- Auctions: multi-unit + common value + CRRA + asymmetric information + interaction with an aftermarket. Trick to make it work: many bidders.
- Information acquisition: auctions, not competitive centralized markets.
 - "Grossman Stiglitz but with strategic complementarities."

Model

Model

- Two dates. Investment at beginning; default and payoffs at the end.
- Unit mass of investors with CRRA utility u(c) and endowment W.
- Investors buy bonds or invest in risk-free storage. No borrowing.
- Two governments indexed by $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Must raise **revenue** D_j .
- Simultaneously auction bonds with exogenous default risk and zero recovery.

- Given state $\theta_j \in \{b, g\}$, default probability is $\kappa_j(\theta_j)$ with $\kappa_j(g) < \kappa_j(b)$.
- Unconditional default probability is $\bar{\kappa}_j = f_j(b)\kappa_j(b) + f_j(g)\kappa_j(g)$.
- All investors have common prior about the states.
- Can learn the realization of θ_1 and/or θ_2 by paying a utility cost.
- Assume investors split into two traders; one for each *j*. No communication.

• Primary market is run as a multi-unit discriminatory (pay your bid) auction.

- Each investor can submit any number of bids {P̃, B̃} with B̃ ≥ 0.
 (a commitment to buy B̃ bonds at P̃, should government accept).
- Government accepts bids in decreasing price order until it raises D_j.
- Given many bidders, a unique marginal price for each state.
 - If only uninformed investors, a single price in each country, P_j .
 - If there are informed investors, prices are state-contingent, $P_j(\theta_j)$.
- WLOG: only bid at *possible* marginal prices (but still pay bid price).

Investors can anticipate marginal prices, but cannot adjust bids ex-post.

- Competitive market with a single market-clearing price.
- Symmetric information because auction results are observable.
- No short sales, zero net supply.

Only trading motive: rebalancing portfolios after auctions.

Theory: Auction only

Given an information choice, what are the optimal bids?

- Large auction: bidding strategies are solutions to a portfolio problem.
 - Simple for the informed: bid only at the correct marginal price.
 - Hard for the uninformed: bids at high prices accepted even when state is bad.
- Marginal incentive to bid captured by MRS across default/repay:

$$M_j^i(\theta_j) = \frac{\sum_{\text{ feasible } \theta_j \text{ with weakly lower prices}} \operatorname{Prob}(\theta_j) u'(c^i(\theta_j) \text{ after default})}{\sum_{\text{ feasible } \theta_j \text{ with weakly lower prices}} \operatorname{Prob}(\theta_j) u'(c^i(\theta_j) \text{ after repayment})}$$

- Equilibrium bidding and participation:
 - Uninformed investors bid less at the high price, may drop out entirely.
 - All investors bid at the low price (no winner's curse). Informed spend less.
- Marginal investor's MRS is equal to the equilibrium yield:

$$rac{1-P_j(heta_j)}{P_j(heta_j)}=M_j^*(heta_j)$$

Graphical Illustration: Prices



Figure 1: Prices in Country 1 as a function of n_1 given a fixed portfolio in Country 2.

Endogenous Information Acquisition

- The value of information is the utility difference $\Delta V = V^{\text{Informed}} V^{\text{Uninformed}}$.
- It is driven by the winner's curse $(\approx P(g) P(b))$ and the level of prices.
- Fundamental factors: fixing information choices, ΔV is increasing in
 - (i) High debt levels relative to wealth.
 - (ii) Higher average default risk.
 - (iii) Higher variance of default probabilities.
 - \Rightarrow Fundamental shocks can trigger information acquisition.
- Endogenous factors: Winner's curse increasing in informed investor share.
 - \Rightarrow Strategic complementarity that can lead to large changes in information.

Illustration: Strategic Complementarity



Figure 2: The value of information in Country 1 as a function of n_1 .

- 1. Symmetric information: auction is irrelevant, obtain "standard" spillovers.
 - Yields co-move if u''' > 0, investors hold symmetric portfolios.
 - Cannot speak to segmentation or asymmetric effects during the Eurozone.
- 2. Asymmetric information: segmentation and information spillovers:
 - Informed exploit their information, uninformed "flee" to safe havens.
 - Poor diversification and less participation: higher price of risk.
 - Portfolio concentration raises value of information in uninformed countries.
- 3. But it depends on fundamentals: reverse spillovers to safe countries.
 - Allows us to speak to divergent paths of core and periphery.

Theory: Effects of Secondary Markets

- Investors could wait to trade in a rather liquid secondary market.
- This turns out to raise rather than reduce the value of information.
 - Informed: can exploit information advantage without holding default risk.
 - Uninformed: avoid winner's curse at auction.
 - Information rents from buying low at auction and selling high in SM.
- Informed equilibrium more likely to exist with liquid secondary markets.
- Nice empirical upshot: predictable profits from buying at auction.
- Use the primary-secondary spread as a measure of asymmetric information.

Application: Eurozone Debt Crisis

Key Facts from the Eurozone Crisis

• Focus on three large countries that use DP auctions: POR, ITA, GER.

DP auctions also used in BEL, FRA, IRE, SWE, TUR... Hybrids used in ESP, AUS, FIN)

- Data from primary and secondary markets. Focus on 1-year bonds.
- Turbulent Times: Portugal hit in 2010, Italy later.

A taxonomy of key facts from the crisis:

	ITA/POR		GER	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
Yields	Low, stable	High, volatile	Low, stable	Low stable
Auct. Informativeness	No	Yes	No	No
PM-SM spread	Zero	Positive	Zero	Zero
Non-res share	High	Decreasing	Medium	Increasing

Accounting for the Facts

- Consider repeated version of the basic model.
- Three groups of investors: core, periphery, global.
 - Differ only in information cost. Low at home, high abroad.
- Assume countries transition across different public "regimes."

	Tranquil regime	Alarming regime	Crisis regime
$\kappa(g)$	0.1%	0.5%	3%
κ(<i>m</i>)	0.5%	3%	7%
$\kappa(b)$	1.25%	7%	25%

f(g) = 0.6 and f(m) = 0.3 in all regimes.

- Conduct two "event studies" in the model:
 - 1. Within-periphery: spillovers from Portugal to Italy
 - 2. Core-periphery: reverse spillovers from periphery to Germany.

• Feed in the following regime shifts:

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
Portugal	Tranquil	Alarming	Crisis
Italy	Tranquil	Tranquil	Alarming

• Information choice: ITA becomes informed only because POR does.

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
Portuguese	Uninformed.	Uninformed	Informed in Portugal
Italian	Uninformed	Uninformed	Informed in Italy
Foreign	Uninformed	Uninformed	Uninformed

• Now assume there is an always stable country (i.e. GER).

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
Periphery	Tranquil	Alarming	Crisis
Germany	Tranquil	Tranquil	Tranquil

• Information choice: Germany too safe to induce information acquisition.

Investor type	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
Periphery	Uninformed.	Uninformed	Informed in Periphery
German	Uninformed	Uninformed	Uninformed
Foreign	Uninformed	Uninformed	Uninformed

New multi-country model of primary/secondary markets for sovereign debt.

- Multi-unit auctions with discriminatory protocol and secondary markets.
- Common pool of risk averse investors.
- Endogenous information acquisition and information complementarities.
- Walrasian price-taking allows equilibrium determination.

Eurozone crisis provides nice validation of the basic mechanism.

Theory and data highlight cross-country externalities of auction design.