

“Foreign Shocks as Granular Fluctuations”  
di Giovanni, Levchenko, Mejean

Discussion by Ariel Burstein

UCLA

July 2020

## Summary

- ▶ How are foreign shocks transmitted to the domestic economy?
- ▶ Empirical fact: in response to increase in foreign GDP, value added rises by more in larger firms
  - ▶ how does response vary with openness, given size?
- ▶ Tractable quantitative model to evaluate role of firm heterogeneity for aggregate impact of foreign shocks

# Summary

- ▶ How are foreign shocks transmitted to the domestic economy?
- ▶ Empirical fact: in response to increase in foreign GDP, value added rises by more in larger firms
  - ▶ how does response vary with openness, given size?
- ▶ Tractable quantitative model to evaluate role of firm heterogeneity for aggregate impact of foreign shocks
- ▶ My discussion:
  - ▶ what does granular residual capture?
  - ▶ why does firm heterogeneity dampen aggregate response of measured TFP?
  - ▶ additional dampening from variable markups and heterogeneous firms

## Granular residual

$$dy = \sum_f \omega_f dy_f$$

$$dy = d\bar{y}_f + \sum_f \omega_f (dy_f - d\bar{y}_f) = \mathcal{E} + \Gamma$$

## Granular residual

$$dy = \sum_f \omega_f dy_f$$

$$dy = d\bar{y}_f + \sum_f \omega_f (dy_f - d\bar{y}_f) = \mathcal{E} + \Gamma$$

►  $dy_f = \frac{dy_f}{\varepsilon_f} \times \varepsilon_f \equiv \eta_f \times \varepsilon_f$

## Granular residual

$$dy = \sum_f \omega_f dy_f$$

$$dy = d\bar{y}_f + \sum_f \omega_f (dy_f - d\bar{y}_f) = \mathcal{E} + \Gamma$$

- ▶  $dy_f = \frac{dy_f}{\varepsilon_f} \times \varepsilon_f \equiv \eta_f \times \varepsilon_f$
- ▶  $\eta_f = \eta$  and  $\varepsilon_f$  i.i.d (Gabaix 2011)
  - ▶  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (continuum of firms)  $\implies \Gamma = 0$
  - ▶  $N$  large and  $\omega_f$  fat tailed  $\implies \Gamma \neq 0$

## Granular residual

$$dy = \sum_f \omega_f dy_f$$

$$dy = d\bar{y}_f + \sum_f \omega_f (dy_f - d\bar{y}_f) = \mathcal{E} + \Gamma$$

- ▶  $dy_f = \frac{dy_f}{\varepsilon_f} \times \varepsilon_f \equiv \eta_f \times \varepsilon_f$
- ▶  $\eta_f = \eta$  and  $\varepsilon_f$  i.i.d (Gabaix 2011)
  - ▶  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (continuum of firms)  $\implies \Gamma = 0$
  - ▶  $N$  large and  $\omega_f$  fat tailed  $\implies \Gamma \neq 0$
- ▶  $\eta_f$  varies with  $f$  size and  $\varepsilon_f$  correl. across  $f$  (aggreg. shocks)
  - ▶  $\Gamma \neq 0$  even if  $N \rightarrow \infty$
  - ▶  $\Gamma$  combines heterogeneity and finite sample

## Granular residual

$$dy = \sum_f \omega_f dy_f$$

$$dy = d\bar{y}_f + \sum_f \omega_f (dy_f - d\bar{y}_f) = \mathcal{E}^o + \Gamma$$

- ▶  $dy_f = \frac{dy_f}{\varepsilon_f} \times \varepsilon_f \equiv \eta_f \times \varepsilon_f$
- ▶  $\eta_f = \eta$  and  $\varepsilon_f$  i.i.d (Gabaix 2011)
  - ▶  $N \rightarrow \infty$  (continuum of firms)  $\implies \Gamma = 0$
  - ▶  $N$  large and  $\omega_f$  fat tailed  $\implies \Gamma \neq 0$
- ▶  $\eta_f$  varies with  $f$  size and  $\varepsilon_f$  correl. across  $f$  (aggreg. shocks)
  - ▶  $\Gamma \neq 0$  even if  $N \rightarrow \infty$
  - ▶  $\Gamma$  combines heterogeneity and finite sample
- ▶ Gaubert-Itskhoki (2020) granular residual
  - ▶ wght. small sample mean - wght. population mean



## Foreign productivity shocks and measured TFP

- ▶  $\Delta \text{ real GDP} = \Delta \text{ labor supply} + \Delta \text{ measured TFP}$ 
  - ▶ suggestion: report separately
- ▶ If no distortions,  $\Delta \text{TFP} \approx 0$  (Kehoe-Ruhl, Burstein-Cravino)
  - ▶ changes in inputs have no first-order effects on measured TFP

## Foreign productivity shocks and measured TFP

- ▶  $\Delta \text{ real GDP} = \Delta \text{ labor supply} + \Delta \text{ measured TFP}$ 
  - ▶ suggestion: report separately
- ▶ If no distortions,  $\Delta \text{TFP} \approx 0$  (Kehoe-Ruhl, Burstein-Cravino)
  - ▶ changes in inputs have no first-order effects on measured TFP
- ▶ With distortions (e.g. mkups),  $\Delta \text{TFP} \neq 0$ 
  - ▶ “mismeasurement”: contribution of imports to production measured by cost share, but netted-out by GDP share

## Foreign productivity shocks and measured TFP

- ▶  $\Delta \text{ real GDP} = \Delta \text{ labor supply} + \Delta \text{ measured TFP}$ 
  - ▶ suggestion: report separately
- ▶ If no distortions,  $\Delta \text{TFP} \approx 0$  (Kehoe-Ruhl, Burstein-Cravino)
  - ▶ changes in inputs have no first-order effects on measured TFP
- ▶ With distortions (e.g. markups),  $\Delta \text{TFP} \neq 0$ 
  - ▶ “mismeasurement”: contribution of imports to production measured by cost share, but netted-out by GDP share
- ▶ Suppose single sector, single imported intermediate input (generalization in Baqaee-Farhi 2020)

$$d\text{TFP} = \omega^m (\mu - 1) (dm - d\omega^m)$$

- ▶  $\omega^m$  = share of imported intermediate inputs in GDP
- ▶  $\mu$  constant markup
- ▶  $dm > 0, d\text{TFP} > 0$

## Heterogeneity and measured TFP

- ▶ In response to change in foreign technologies:

$$dTFP = \omega^m (\mu - 1) (dm - d\omega^m)$$

- ▶  $\omega^m$  = share of imported inputs in GDP
- ▶ Suppose  $Y_f = Z_f M_f^{\gamma_f} L_f^{1-\gamma_f}$

## Heterogeneity and measured TFP

- ▶ In response to change in foreign technologies:

$$dTFP = \omega^m (\mu - 1) (dm - d\omega^m)$$

- ▶  $\omega^m$  = share of imported inputs in GDP
- ▶ Suppose  $Y_f = Z_f M_f^{\gamma_f} L_f^{1-\gamma_f}$
- ▶ If single  $\gamma_f$ , then  $d\omega^m = 0$

## Heterogeneity and measured TFP

- ▶ In response to change in foreign technologies:

$$dTFP = \omega^m (\mu - 1) (dm - d\omega^m)$$

- ▶  $\omega^m$  = share of imported inputs in GDP
- ▶ Suppose  $Y_f = Z_f M_f^{\gamma_f} L_f^{1-\gamma_f}$
- ▶ If single  $\gamma_f$ , then  $d\omega^m = 0$
- ▶ If heterogeneous  $\gamma_f$ , then reallocation of production toward high  $\gamma_f$  producers,  $d\omega^m > 0$ 
  - ▶ dampens increase in measured  $TFP$

## Heterogeneity and measured TFP

- ▶ In response to change in foreign technologies:

$$dTFP = \omega^m (\mu - 1) (dm - d\omega^m)$$

- ▶  $\omega^m$  = share of imported inputs in GDP
- ▶ Suppose  $Y_f = Z_f M_f^{\gamma_f} L_f^{1-\gamma_f}$
- ▶ If single  $\gamma_f$ , then  $d\omega^m = 0$
- ▶ If heterogeneous  $\gamma_f$ , then reallocation of production toward high  $\gamma_f$  producers,  $d\omega^m > 0$ 
  - ▶ dampens increase in measured  $TFP$
- ▶ What is the interaction between heterogeneity and
  - ▶  $\Delta$  factor supply?
  - ▶ welfare?

## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Suppose markup  $\mu_f$  increasing in market share within sector  $s_f$
- ▶ If all firms within a sector are subject to same cost change, then market shares and markups are constant,  $dp_f = dc_f$



## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Suppose markup  $\mu_f$  increasing in market share within sector  $s_f$
- ▶ If all firms within a sector are subject to same cost change, then market shares and markups are constant,  $dp_f = dc_f$
- ▶ If heterogeneous changes in cost changes:

$$dp_f = \alpha_f dc_f + (1 - \alpha_f) dp$$

## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Suppose markup  $\mu_f$  increasing in market share within sector  $s_f$
- ▶ If all firms within a sector are subject to same cost change, then market shares and markups are constant,  $dp_f = dc_f$
- ▶ If heterogeneous changes in cost changes:

$$dp_f = \alpha_f dc_f + (1 - \alpha_f) dp$$

- ▶ Change in sectoral price,  $dp$  (determines sectoral output):

$$dp = \frac{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f dc_f}{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f}$$

## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Suppose markup  $\mu_f$  increasing in market share within sector  $s_f$
- ▶ If all firms within a sector are subject to same cost change, then market shares and markups are constant,  $dp_f = dc_f$

- ▶ If heterogeneous changes in cost changes:

$$dp_f = \alpha_f dc_f + (1 - \alpha_f) dp$$

- ▶ Change in sectoral price,  $dp$  (determines sectoral output):

$$dp = \frac{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f dc_f}{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f}$$

- ▶ If  $\alpha_f = \alpha$ :  $dp$  same as under constant mkups
  - ▶ as  $\alpha$  falls, larger markup change by a firm to own shock exactly offset by larger change in markup, in the opposite direction, of its competitors

## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Suppose markup  $\mu_f$  increasing in market share within sector  $s_f$
- ▶ If all firms within a sector are subject to same cost change, then market shares and markups are constant,  $dp_f = dc_f$

- ▶ If heterogeneous changes in cost changes:

$$dp_f = \alpha_f dc_f + (1 - \alpha_f) dp$$

- ▶ Change in sectoral price,  $dp$  (determines sectoral output):

$$dp = \frac{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f dc_f}{\sum_f s_f \alpha_f}$$

- ▶ If  $\alpha_f = \alpha$ :  $dp$  same as under constant mkups
  - ▶ as  $\alpha$  falls, larger markup change by a firm to own shock exactly offset by larger change in markup, in the opposite direction, of its competitors
- ▶ If  $\alpha_f \searrow$  size,  $dp$  smaller than under constant mkups iff  $s_f > \bar{s}_f$

## Dampening due to incomplete pass-through

- ▶ Variance of sectoral price assuming iid shocks with variance  $\sigma^2$
- ▶ Constant markups (Gabaix 2011)

$$\text{Var}[dp] = \sigma^2 \sum_f (s_f)^2 = \sigma^2 HHI$$

- ▶ Variable markups (Burstein-Carvalho-Grassi 2020)

$$\text{Var}[dp] = \sigma^2 \sum_f \left( \frac{\alpha_f s_f}{\sum_{f'} \alpha_{f'} s_{f'}} \right)^2$$

- ▶ If  $\alpha_f \searrow$  in  $s_f$ , variance is lower under variable markups
- ▶ Intuition: pass-through rates are lower for larger firms, effectively reducing weight of large firms in the price index