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## Appendix I: Equilibrium Analysis

## NL-Economy

We solve the equilibrium by first guessing a regime, solving the system of equations that characterize it and finally, showing the equilibrium is genuine. The equilibrium regime in the $N L$-economy is characterized by the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p=q D_{H}^{S}+(1-q) D_{L},  \tag{1}\\
p y=m^{B}+p a^{B} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (1) states that Sellers are willing to hold the asset since its price equals their asset valuation. Equation (2) states that Buyers choose zero final cash holdings and spend all their endowments on buying the asset. We solve these two equations for the two variables $p$ and $y$. Finally, we need to check that the solution to the system is a genuine equilibrium. Given the equilibrium values and the parameters in Table 1, this is the case since the Buyers' expected asset valuation is greater than the equilibrium price, $q(750)+(1-q) 100 \geq 190$, (so it is optimal for Buyers to spend all the cash on the asset).

## L-Economy

The equilibrium regime in the $L$-economy is characterized by the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p y=m^{B}+p a^{B}+\varphi,  \tag{3}\\
y=100  \tag{4}\\
\omega=0  \tag{5}\\
 \tag{6}\\
\varphi=100 y
\end{gather*}
$$

Sellers sell all their asset endowment. Buyers choose zero final cash holdings, spend all their endowments on buying all the assets in the economy, and borrow to the maximum amount. Given the equilibrium values and the parameters in Table 1, this is a genuine equilibrium since Sellers' expected asset valuation is smaller than the equilibrium price, $q(250)+(1-q) 100 \leq 250$, (Sellers do not wish to hold the asset); and Buyers' expected asset valuation is greater than the equilibrium price, $q(750)+(1-q) 100 \geq 250$, that is, Buyers strictly prefer to hold the asset than cash (both types of agents are in a corner).

## Appendix II: Parameter Choice

In this Appendix, we explain the choice of parameters in Table 1. These parameters ensure that there is a spread between equilibrium prices between the $N L$ and the $L$-economy. More precisely the parameters ensure that the set of agents determining the price in the two economies is different. For example, if Buyers have a large enough cash endowment, $m^{B}$, so that they can afford to buy all the assets even in the $N L$-economy, obviously leverage would be irrelevant and asset prices could be the same across economies, regardless of the possibility of leverage. Moreover, if the leverage constraint is so tight (i.e., $D_{L}$, the maximum agents can borrow per asset, is small) that even if the Buyers borrow the maximum amount allowed, they are not able to afford all the assets in the economy, then the prices in both economies would
be equal to the Sellers valuation. Since we are interested in the effect of leverage on prices we chose our parameters so that leverage would be relevant in the theoretical model. Obviously, as shown by the theoretical literature, this choice of parameters is robust (see for example, Fostel and Geanakoplos (2012, 2014).

We parameterized the model with large cash and asset endowments in order to generate differences in behavior across treatments that can be detectable in the laboratory.

Finally, the extreme choice of endowments simplifies the laboratory implementation considerably and is standard practice in the literature, see for instance Smith (1962). Note that since agents are risk neutral, the only relevant heterogeneity in order to generate our results is the difference in asset valuations not in initial endowments. We would obtain the same results (i.e, leverage increases asset prices) without giving all the cash to Buyers and all the assets to Sellers; whereas leverage would be irrelevant without difference in asset valuations.

## Appendix III: The Matrix of Prices Faced by Subjects

Table A1 contains the price matrix that subjects faced in each round of the experiment (each column, containing 10 prices, corresponds to one round of the experiment). The same price matrix was used across the different treatments and sessions of the experiment.

Table A1: Price vector round by round

|  | Round |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 1 | 130 | 140 | 120 | 145 | 130 | 140 | 120 | 145 | 115 | 135 | 125 | 130 | 140 | 120 | 145 |
| 2 | 160 | 170 | 150 | 175 | 160 | 170 | 150 | 175 | 145 | 165 | 155 | 160 | 170 | 150 | 175 |
| 3 | 190 | 200 | 180 | 205 | 190 | 200 | 180 | 205 | 175 | 195 | 185 | 190 | 200 | 180 | 205 |
| 4 | 210 | 220 | 200 | 225 | 210 | 220 | 200 | 225 | 195 | 215 | 205 | 210 | 220 | 200 | 225 |
| 5 | 240 | 250 | 230 | 255 | 240 | 250 | 230 | 255 | 225 | 245 | 235 | 240 | 250 | 230 | 255 |
| 6 | 300 | 310 | 290 | 315 | 300 | 310 | 290 | 315 | 285 | 305 | 295 | 300 | 310 | 290 | 315 |
| 7 | 330 | 340 | 320 | 345 | 330 | 340 | 320 | 345 | 315 | 335 | 325 | 330 | 340 | 320 | 345 |
| 8 | 360 | 370 | 350 | 375 | 360 | 370 | 350 | 375 | 345 | 365 | 355 | 360 | 370 | 350 | 375 |
| 9 | 370 | 380 | 360 | 385 | 370 | 380 | 360 | 385 | 355 | 375 | 365 | 370 | 380 | 360 | 385 |
| 10 | 390 | 400 | 380 | 405 | 390 | 400 | 380 | 405 | 375 | 395 | 385 | 390 | 400 | 380 | 405 |

## Appendix IV: Aggregate Results Including All the 15 Rounds of the Experiment

In this appendix we replicate Tables $6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}$ including the data of the all the 15 rounds of the experiment.

Table A2: Average Equilibrium Prices in the Bullish Parameterization

|  | Average | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 220 | 223 | 205 | 215 | 208 | 230 | 208 | 224 | 232 | 236 |
| $L$ | 259 | 244 | 254 | 260 | 242 | 256 | 256 | 287 | 266 | 268 |
| Spread | 39 | 21 | 49 | 45 | 34 | 26 | 48 | 63 | 34 | 32 |

Table A3: Average Equilibrium Prices in the Bearish Parameterization

|  | Average | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 192 | 185 | 186 | 207 | 191 | 181 | 199 | 178 | 207 | 197 |
| $L$ | 232 | 234 | 233 | 238 | 237 | 238 | 248 | 238 | 208 | 268 |
| Spread | 40 | 49 | 47 | 31 | 46 | 57 | 49 | 60 | 1 | 71 |

Table A4: Per-subject Average Transactions in the Bullish Parameterization

|  | Average | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 53 | 54 | 45 | 62 | 66 | 49 | 56 | 52 | 45 | 49 |
| $L$ | 68 | 73 | 61 | 70 | 78 | 69 | 70 | 56 | 70 | 68 |

Table A5: Per-subject Average Transactions in the Bearish Parameterization

|  | Average | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 55 | 53 | 54 | 61 | 69 | 59 | 53 | 46 | 52 | 50 |
| $L$ | 68 | 77 | 60 | 75 | 76 | 70 | 70 | 64 | 66 | 57 |

Table A6: Buyers' Final Cash Holdings and Borrowings in the Bullish Parameterization

|  | Final Cash | Borrowing per Widget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 3,388 | - |
| $L$ | 1,417 | 47 |

Table A7: Buyers' Final Cash Holdings and Borrowings in the Bearish Parameterization

|  | Final Cash | Borrowing per Widget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N L$ | 4,414 | - |
| $L$ | 2,504 | 36 |

## Appendix V: Per-Round Prices and Quantities

This appendix reports the per-round equilibrium quantities and prices for the 9 sessions of the experiment.

Table A8: Round by Round Prices for the Bullish Parameterization

| Session 1 |  |  |  | Session 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 | 5 | 210 | 300 | 90 |
| 6 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 6 | 200 | 220 | 20 |
| 7 | 200 | 230 | 30 | 7 | 200 | 320 | 120 |
| 8 | 225 | 255 | 30 | 8 | 175 | 255 | 80 |
| 9 | 195 | 285 | 90 | 9 | 195 | 225 | 30 |
| 10 | 195 | 245 | 50 | 10 | 245 | 245 | 0 |
| 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 | 11 | 235 | 295 | 60 |
| 12 | 240 | 240 | 0 | 12 | 240 | 240 | 0 |
| 13 | 200 | 250 | 50 | 13 | 200 | 250 | 50 |
| 14 | 230 | 230 | 0 | 14 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 15 | 225 | 225 | 0 | 15 | 175 | 255 | 80 |


| Session 3 |  |  |  | Session 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 240 | 300 | 60 | 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 6 | 200 | 250 | 50 | 6 | 200 | 250 | 50 |
| 7 | 230 | 230 | 0 | 7 | 230 | 230 | 0 |
| 8 | 205 | 225 | 20 | 8 | 175 | 255 | 80 |
| 9 | 225 | 285 | 60 | 9 | 195 | 285 | 90 |
| 10 | 245 | 305 | 60 | 10 | 215 | 245 | 30 |
| 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 | 11 | 235 | 235 | 0 |
| 12 | 210 | 300 | 90 | 12 | 240 | 240 | 0 |
| 13 | 220 | 250 | 30 | 13 | 200 | 220 | 20 |
| 14 | 200 | 230 | 30 | 14 | 200 | 230 | 30 |
| 15 | 225 | 255 | 30 | 15 | 205 | 225 | 20 |
| Session 5 |  |  |  | Session 6 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 240 | 300 | 60 | 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 6 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 6 | 200 | 250 | 50 |
| 7 | 200 | 290 | 90 | 7 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 8 | 225 | 225 | 0 | 8 | 205 | 255 | 50 |
| 9 | 225 | 285 | 60 | 9 | 225 | 285 | 60 |
| 10 | 245 | 245 | 0 | 10 | 215 | 245 | 30 |
| 11 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 |
| 12 | 240 | 300 | 60 | 12 | 190 | 240 | 50 |
| 13 | 220 | 250 | 30 | 13 | 220 | 250 | 30 |
| 14 | 230 | 290 | 60 | 14 | 200 | 290 | 90 |
| 15 | 225 | 255 | 30 | 15 | 255 | 255 | 0 |


| Session 7 |  |  |  | Session 8 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | d $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 240 | 240 | 0 | 5 | 240 | 240 | 0 |
| 6 | 220 | 310 | 90 | 6 | 250 | 250 | 0 |
| 7 | 200 | 230 | 30 | 7 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 8 | 255 | 315 | 60 | 8 | 225 | 255 | 30 |
| 9 | 225 | 315 | 90 | 9 | 225 | 285 | 60 |
| 10 | 215 | 305 | 90 | 10 | 215 | 305 | 90 |
| 11 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 11 | 235 | 295 | 60 |
| 12 | 240 | 300 | 60 | 12 | 240 | 300 | 60 |
| 13 | 220 | 250 | 30 | 13 | 250 | 250 | 0 |
| 14 | 200 | 230 | 30 | 14 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 15 | 225 | 315 | 90 | 15 | 225 | 255 | 30 |
| Session 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rou | nd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $L$ L ${ }^{\text {Sp }}$ | Spread |  |  |
|  |  | 5 | 240 | 240 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | 220 | 310 | 90 |  |  |
|  |  | 7 | 230 | 230 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 8 | 225 | 255 | 30 |  |  |
|  |  | 9 | 285 | 315 | 30 |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 245 | 305 | 60 |  |  |
|  |  | 11 | 235 | 295 | 60 |  |  |
|  |  | 12 | 240 | 300 | 60 |  |  |
|  |  | 13 | 250 | 250 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 14 | 230 | 290 | 60 |  |  |
|  |  | 15 | 225 | 255 | 30 |  |  |

Table A9: Round by Round Prices for the Bearish Parameterization

| Session 1 |  |  |  | Session 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | NL | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 190 | 240 | 50 | 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 6 | 200 | 220 | 20 | 6 | 170 | 200 | 30 |
| 7 | 150 | 230 | 80 | 7 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 8 | 205 | 225 | 20 | 8 | 145 | 255 | 110 |
| 9 | 175 | 225 | 50 | 9 | 195 | 225 | 30 |
| 10 | 165 | 215 | 50 | 10 | 215 | 195 | -20 |
| 11 | 185 | 235 | 50 | 11 | 155 | 235 | 80 |
| 12 | 210 | 240 | 30 | 12 | 130 | 190 | 60 |
| 13 | 170 | 220 | 50 | 13 | 200 | 220 | 20 |
| 14 | 180 | 230 | 50 | 14 | 230 | 320 | 90 |
| 15 | 175 | 225 | 50 | 15 | 175 | 255 | 50 |
| Session 3 |  |  |  | Session 4 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 | 5 | 190 | 210 | 20 |
| 6 | 200 | 220 | 20 | 6 | 170 | 250 | 80 |
| 7 | 230 | 290 | 60 | 7 | 180 | 230 | 50 |
| 8 | 175 | 205 | 30 | 8 | 175 | 255 | 80 |
| 9 | 225 | 225 | 0 | 9 | 195 | 195 | 0 |
| 10 | 245 | 245 | 0 | 10 | 195 | 245 | 50 |
| 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 | 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 |
| 12 | 190 | 210 | 20 | 12 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 13 | 200 | 220 | 20 | 13 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 14 | 180 | 230 | 50 | 14 | 200 | 230 | 30 |
| 15 | 175 | 205 | 30 | 15 | 205 | 225 | 20 |


| Session 5 |  |  |  | Session 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | NL | $L$ | Spread | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 190 | 240 | 50 | 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 6 | 140 | 220 | 80 | 6 | 200 | 220 | 20 |
| 7 | 180 | 290 | 110 | 7 | 230 | 290 | 60 |
| 8 | 205 | 205 | 0 | 8 | 205 | 225 | 20 |
| 9 | 175 | 225 | 50 | 9 | 225 | 225 | 0 |
| 10 | 195 | 215 | 20 | 10 | 215 | 245 | 30 |
| 11 | 185 | 235 | 50 | 11 | 185 | 235 | 50 |
| 12 | 160 | 210 | 50 | 12 | 190 | 210 | 20 |
| 13 | 140 | 220 | 80 | 13 | 220 | 250 | 30 |
| 14 | 180 | 230 | 50 | 14 | 180 | 230 | 50 |
| 15 | 175 | 205 | 30 | 15 | 205 | 255 | 50 |
| Session 7 |  |  |  | Session 8 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread | Round | NL | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 160 | 240 | 80 | 5 | 210 | 240 | 30 |
| 6 | 220 | 310 | 90 | 6 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 7 | 120 | 230 | 110 | 7 | 200 | 230 | 30 |
| 8 | 225 | 315 | 90 | 8 | 225 | 225 | 0 |
| 9 | 175 | 285 | 110 | 9 | 195 | 225 | 30 |
| 10 | 195 | 215 | 20 | 10 | 195 | 245 | 50 |
| 11 | 185 | 235 | 50 | 11 | 205 | 235 | 30 |
| 12 | 190 | 240 | 50 | 12 | 190 | 300 | 110 |
| 13 | 170 | 220 | 50 | 13 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 14 | 120 | 230 | 110 | 14 | 180 | 230 | 50 |
| 15 | 225 | 255 | 30 | 15 | 205 | 225 | 20 |


| Session 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Spread |
| 5 | 190 | 190 | 0 |
| 6 | 200 | 170 | -30 |
| 7 | 180 | 200 | 20 |
| 8 | 205 | 205 | 0 |
| 9 | 225 | 225 | 0 |
| 10 | 165 | 195 | 30 |
| 11 | 205 | 205 | 0 |
| 12 | 190 | 190 | 0 |
| 13 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 14 | 180 | 200 | 20 |
| 15 | 205 | 225 | 20 |

Table A10: Round by Round Quantities for the Bullish Parameterization

| Session 1 |  |  |  | Session 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 60 | 79 | 19 | 5 | 51 | 51 | 0 |
| 6 | 54 | 84 | 30 | 6 | 43 | 64 | 21 |
| 7 | 49 | 79 | 30 | 7 | 52 | 43 | -9 |
| 8 | 57 | 79 | 22 | 8 | 43 | 58 | 15 |
| 9 | 55 | 49 | -6 | 9 | 43 | 57 | 14 |
| 10 | 64 | 70 | 6 | 10 | 48 | 64 | 16 |
| 11 | 67 | 68 | 1 | 11 | 31 | 53 | 22 |
| 12 | 58 | 76 | 18 | 12 | 39 | 60 | 21 |
| 13 | 53 | 76 | 23 | 13 | 50 | 59 | 9 |
| 14 | 56 | 82 | 26 | 14 | 55 | 61 | 6 |
| 15 | 52 | 83 | 31 | 15 | 51 | 79 | 28 |


| Session 3 |  |  |  | Session 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 56 | 68 | 12 | 5 | 67 | 78 | 11 |
| 6 | 63 | 79 | 16 | 6 | 62 | 81 | 19 |
| 7 | 61 | 67 | 6 | 7 | 56 | 78 | 22 |
| 8 | 70 | 77 | 7 | 8 | 80 | 75 | -5 |
| 9 | 60 | 69 | 9 | 9 | 69 | 64 | -5 |
| 10 | 53 | 62 | 9 | 10 | 62 | 70 | 8 |
| 11 | 69 | 63 | -6 | 11 | 62 | 87 | 25 |
| 12 | 64 | 60 | -4 | 12 | 59 | 80 | 21 |
| 13 | 63 | 83 | 20 | 13 | 65 | 81 | 16 |
| 14 | 70 | 74 | 4 | 14 | 66 | 66 | 0 |
| 15 | 61 | 74 | 13 | 15 | 56 | 80 | 24 |
| Session 5 |  |  |  | Session 6 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 41 | 47 | 6 | 5 | 55 | 63 | 8 |
| 6 | 48 | 61 | 13 | 6 | 52 | 75 | 23 |
| 7 | 57 | 72 | 15 | 7 | 46 | 66 | 20 |
| 8 | 58 | 76 | 18 | 8 | 55 | 79 | 24 |
| 9 | 31 | 68 | 37 | 9 | 50 | 81 | 31 |
| 10 | 50 | 66 | 16 | 10 | 49 | 78 | 29 |
| 11 | 57 | 60 | 3 | 11 | 53 | 74 | 21 |
| 12 | 43 | 68 | 25 | 12 | 68 | 68 | 0 |
| 13 | 48 | 79 | 31 | 13 | 55 | 68 | 13 |
| 14 | 55 | 69 | 14 | 14 | 60 | 66 | 6 |
| 15 | 48 | 61 | 13 | 15 | 55 | 71 | 16 |


| Session 7 |  |  |  |  | Session 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Differ | ence |  | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 55 | 61 | 6 |  |  | 5 | 45 | 66 | 21 |
| 6 | 58 | 52 | -6 |  |  | 6 | 48 | 72 | 24 |
| 7 | 49 | 70 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 60 | 65 | 5 |
| 8 | 48 | 58 | 10 |  |  | 8 | 28 | 78 | 50 |
| 9 | 53 | 57 | 4 |  |  | 9 | 46 | 64 | 18 |
| 10 | 60 | 55 | -5 |  |  | 10 | 40 | 64 | 24 |
| 11 | 45 | 68 | 2 |  |  | 11 | 42 | 66 | 24 |
| 12 | 58 | 59 | 1 |  |  | 12 | 38 | 59 | 21 |
| 13 | 46 | 67 | 2 |  |  | 13 | 51 | 78 | 27 |
| 14 | 50 | 65 | 15 |  |  | 14 | 46 | 69 | 23 |
| 15 | 52 | 52 | 0 |  |  | 15 | 37 | 76 | 39 |
| Session 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ound | $N L$ | $L$ | Diffe | rence |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 54 | 65 |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | 57 | 55 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | 45 | 63 |  | 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | 51 | 66 |  | 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9 | 50 | 64 |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | 49 | 65 |  | 6 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11 | 58 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | 43 | 56 |  | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 | 58 | 68 |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | 44 | 61 |  | 7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 | 33 | 92 |  | 9 |  |  |

Table A11: Round by Round Quantities for the Bearish Parameterization

| Session 1 |  |  |  | Session 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 59 | 67 | 8 | 5 | 61 | 61 | 0 |
| 6 | 34 | 77 | 43 | 6 | 55 | 58 | 3 |
| 7 | 48 | 65 | 17 | 7 | 62 | 58 | -4 |
| 8 | 41 | 81 | 40 | 8 | 59 | 59 | 0 |
| 9 | 48 | 74 | 26 | 9 | 54 | 69 | 15 |
| 10 | 55 | 73 | 18 | 10 | 51 | 64 | 13 |
| 11 | 46 | 85 | 39 | 11 | 52 | 59 | 7 |
| 12 | 52 | 69 | 17 | 12 | 48 | 48 | 0 |
| 13 | 54 | 68 | 14 | 13 | 61 | 52 | -9 |
| 14 | 62 | 97 | 35 | 14 | 43 | 54 | 11 |
| 15 | 45 | 82 | 37 | 15 | 66 | 88 | 22 |
| Session 3 |  |  |  | Session 4 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | NL | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 62 | 70 | 8 | 5 | 62 | 90 | 28 |
| 6 | 64 | 88 | 24 | 6 | 76 | 71 | -5 |
| 7 | 48 | 68 | 20 | 7 | 72 | 82 | 10 |
| 8 | 66 | 85 | 19 | 8 | 74 | 68 | -6 |
| 9 | 65 | 69 | 4 | 9 | 67 | 72 | 5 |
| 10 | 57 | 66 | 9 | 10 | 61 | 87 | 26 |
| 11 | 60 | 76 | 16 | 11 | 67 | 85 | 18 |
| 12 | 61 | 69 | 8 | 12 | 61 | 88 | 27 |
| 13 | 53 | 80 | 27 | 13 | 59 | 80 | 21 |
| 14 | 75 | 76 | 1 | 14 | 65 | 83 | 18 |
| 15 | 78 | 88 | 10 | 15 | 66 | 79 | 13 |


| Session 5 |  |  |  | Session 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 56 | 58 | 2 | 5 | 55 | 77 | 22 |
| 6 | 73 | 76 | 3 | 6 | 62 | 81 | 19 |
| 7 | 63 | 64 | 1 | 7 | 45 | 55 | 10 |
| 8 | 61 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 48 | 69 | 21 |
| 9 | 64 | 84 | 20 | 9 | 50 | 77 | 27 |
| 10 | 61 | 75 | 14 | 10 | 56 | 67 | 11 |
| 11 | 65 | 83 | 18 | 11 | 42 | 59 | 17 |
| 12 | 51 | 75 | 24 | 12 | 52 | 74 | 22 |
| 13 | 73 | 73 | 0 | 13 | 58 | 76 | 18 |
| 14 | 50 | 83 | 33 | 14 | 48 | 63 | 15 |
| 15 | 55 | 80 | 25 | 15 | 48 | 65 | 17 |
| Session 7 |  |  |  | Session 8 |  |  |  |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference | Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 37 | 54 | 17 | 5 | 51 | 71 | 20 |
| 6 | 41 | 54 | 13 | 6 | 49 | 73 | 24 |
| 7 | 41 | 76 | 25 | 7 | 56 | 70 | 14 |
| 8 | 54 | 55 | 1 | 8 | 54 | 57 | 3 |
| 9 | 42 | 54 | 12 | 9 | 64 | 64 | 0 |
| 10 | 49 | 39 | -10 | 10 | 57 | 67 | 10 |
| 11 | 59 | 73 | 14 | 11 | 53 | 51 | -2 |
| 12 | 53 | 67 | 14 | 12 | 57 | 52 | -5 |
| 13 | 43 | 76 | 33 | 13 | 48 | 62 | 14 |
| 14 | 51 | 74 | 23 | 14 | 50 | 65 | 15 |
| 15 | 35 | 63 | 28 | 15 | 55 | 70 | 15 |


| Session 9 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round | $N L$ | $L$ | Difference |
| 5 | 57 | 46 | -11 |
| 6 | 62 | 75 | 13 |
| 7 | 57 | 44 | -13 |
| 8 | 63 | 54 | -9 |
| 9 | 53 | 60 | 7 |
| 10 | 61 | 67 | 6 |
| 11 | 51 | 54 | 3 |
| 12 | 53 | 47 | -6 |
| 13 | 59 | 54 | -5 |
| 14 | 46 | 19 | -27 |
| 15 | 55 | 66 | 11 |

## Appendix VI: Risk Aversion and Shift in Demand

In this appendix, we prove that if agents are interior when borrowing is not available, they are also interior when borrowing is available.

Consider the following two problems. The first problem, $N L$, is the problem that a Buyer faces in the $N L$-economy.

$$
\begin{cases}\max _{y} & U(y) \\ \text { s.t. } & p y \leq m \\ & y \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

The second problem, $L$, is the one that a Buyer faces in the $L$-economy.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\max _{y, \phi} & U(y, \phi) \\
\text { s.t. } & p y \leq m+\phi \\
& \phi \leq D_{\text {Low }} y \\
& \phi \geq 0 \\
& y \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that if $y^{*}$ is an interior solution to $N L$ and if $U$ is strictly concave, $y^{*}$ also solves $L$. From the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for the $N L$ problem, we have that $U^{\prime}\left(y^{*}\right)=0$.

Since in the $L$-problem $\phi \geq 0, y^{*}$ is feasible. By concavity, it is also optimal. Note that since we have only one good (cash), concavity can be interpreted as risk-aversion, even if subjects are not expected-utility maximizers. Therefore, risk-averse behavior cannot explain the shift in demand. Additionally, if $U$ were strictly convex (riskloving behavior), $y^{*}$ cannot be an interior solution to the $N L$-problem. Therefore, risk-loving behavior cannot explain the shift in demand either.

## Appendix VII: Statistical Tests on Quantities and Prices

In this Appendix, we report statistical tests on the difference between quantities and prices across treatments. We report two sets of tests, non-parametric (Appendix VII.I) and parametric (Appendix VII.2). The parametric tests are carried out through a panel regression; the results of the panel are also used to test for order effects.

## VII.I: Non-Parametric Tests

For each session of each treatment, we compute the average price and quantity; and we obtain a sample of 9 observations (the per-session averages) for each treatment (4 samples overall). We compare these samples with a Wilcoxon sign-rank test and with a sign test. Table A12 reports the hypotheses being tested (columns 2 and 3) and the $p$-values for the two tests (columns 4 and 5).

Table A12: Non-Parametric Tests on Prices and Quantities.

|  | $H_{0}$ | $H_{1}$ | Wilcoxon signrank $p-$ value | signtest $p-$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bullish | $P_{N L}=P_{L}$ | $P_{N L}<P_{L}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Bearish | $P_{N L}=P_{L}$ | $P_{N L}<P_{L}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| $L$ | $P_{\text {Bull }}=P_{\text {Bear }}$ | $P_{\text {Bull }}>P_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| NL | $P_{\text {Bull }}=P_{\text {Bear }}$ | $P_{\text {Bull }}>P_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Bullish | $Q_{N L}=Q_{L}$ | $Q_{N L}<Q_{L}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Bearish | $Q_{N L}=Q_{L}$ | $Q_{N L}<Q_{L}$ | 0.0039 | 0.0195 |
| $L$ | $Q_{\text {Bull }}=Q_{\text {Bear }}$ | $Q_{\text {Bull }}<Q_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.3672 | 0.2539 |
| NL | $Q_{\text {Bull }}=Q_{\text {Bear }}$ | $Q_{\text {Bull }}<Q_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.1797 | 0.5000 |

## VII.2: The Panel Regression and the Parametric Tests on Prices and Quantities

In this Appendix, we show the results of a fixed-effect panel estimation on per-round quantities and prices. The purpose of the panel analysis is threefold: i) testing for differences in prices and quantities between $N L$ and $L$ treatments (that is, replicating the results of Appendix VII. 1 through a parametric method); ii) testing for learning in the experiment; iii) testing for the presence of order effects.

We first describe the results for quantities (Table A13). The dependent variable is the per-round per-capita quantity across all sessions and treatments. ${ }^{1}$ The independent variables are: 1) a set of 10 -round dummies (for rounds 5 to 15 of each session; coefficient omitted in the tables); 2) a set of 4 treatment-specific dummy variables; 3) an "NL-first" order-effect dummy, capturing those sessions in which the $N L$ treatment was run on the first day of the experiment; 4) a "Bull-first" order-effect dummy capturing those sessions in which, on both days of the experiment, the Bull treatments were run first. Errors are clustered at the session level.

Several comments are in order. First, there is no evidence of learning: all the round coefficients are insignificant (the $p$-value ranges from 0.11 to 0.84 ). Second, there is no evidence of order effects: both order dummies are insignificant, with a $p$-value of 0.54 ( $N L$-first) and 0.83 (Bull first). Third, as table A14 shows, $t$-tests on the equality of the treatment dummies give us similar results to those we obtained with the Wilcoxon sign-rank tests. In particular, in both the Bear and Bull parametrization, the quantity increases significantly when we move from $N L$ to $L$; in contrast, there is no shift in quantities in either $L$ or $N L$ when we move from Bull to Bear.

In Table A15 we report similar results for prices. The dependent variable is the perround price. The dependent variables are the same as those in the quantity panel. As was the case for quantities, round effects are largely insignificant (the only exception is round 5 where the $p$-value is 0.07 ). Moreover, the two order effect dummies are not significant ( $p$-values are 0.91 and 0.86 respectively); that is, there is no evidence of order effects in the panel. Finally, as table A16 shows, t-tests on the equality of the treatment dummies give us similar results to those we obtained with the Wilcoxon sign-rank tests. In particular, in both the Bear and Bull parametrization, the price

[^0]increase significantly when we move from $N L$ to $L$; the price also increases, in both $N L$ and $L$ when we move from Bear to Bull.

Table A13: Panel Regression, Per-Round Quantities

| $Q_{\text {eq }}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p-$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bull $-N L$ | 57.2853 | 4.2361 | 0.000 |
| Bear - NL | 60.0058 | 5.1370 | 0.000 |
| Bull $-L$ | 72.2634 | 4.0050 | 0.000 |
| Bear $-L$ | 72.7651 | 4.4441 | 0.000 |
| NLFirst $_{\text {order }}$ | -2.4969 | 3.9058 | 0.541 |
| BullFirst $_{\text {order }}$ | -0.8302 | 3.8397 | 0.834 |
| Rounds $^{\text {Number of Obs }=396}$ | omitted |  |  |

Table A14: Test for Differences in Treatment Dummies

| $H_{0}$ | $H_{1}$ | $p-$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bull $-N L=$ Bull $-L$ | Bull $-N L<$ Bull $-L$ | 0.0000 |
| Bear $-N L=$ Bear $-L$ | Bear $-N L<$ Bear $-L$ | 0.0009 |
| Bear $-N L=$ Bull $-N L$ | Bear $-N L<$ Bull $-N L$ | 0.8419 |
| Bear $-L=$ Bull $-L$ | Bear $-L<$ Bull $-L$ | 0.5940 |

Table A15: Panel Regression, Per-Round Prices

| $P_{\text {eq }}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p-$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bull $-N L$ | 218.921 | 6.7580 | 0.000 |
| Bear $-N L$ | 190.0321 | 6.2996 | 0.000 |
| Bull $-L$ | 259.5271 | 7.7681 | 0.000 |
| Bear $-L$ | 228.921 | 8.3239 | 0.000 |
| NLFirst $_{\text {order }}$ | 0.5165 | 4.5313 | 0.912 |
| BullFirst $_{\text {order }}$ | -0.8471 | 4.5987 | 0.858 |
| Rounds | omitted |  |  |
| Number of Obs $=396$ |  | $R^{2}=0.9880$ |  |

Table A16: Test for Differences in Treatment Dummies

| $H_{0}$ | $H_{1}$ | $p-$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bull $-N L=$ Bull $-L$ | Bull $-N L<$ Bull $-L$ | 0.0000 |
| Bear $-N L=$ Bear $-L$ | Bear $-N L<$ Bear $-L$ | 0.0001 |
| Bear $-N L=$ Bull $-N L$ | Bear $-N L<$ Bull $-N L$ | 0.0003 |
| Bear $-L=$ Bull $-L$ | Bear $-L<$ Bull $-L$ | 0.0007 |

## Appendix VIII: Statistical Tests on Aggregate Demand and Supply Schedules

In the paper, we make statements about the relative position of aggregate demand and supply across treatments. We back these statements by conducting two sets of statistical tests: a set of non-parametric tests (Appendix VIII.I) and a set of parametric tests carried out through a panel data regression (Appendix VIII.2). The results of the two sets of tests are largely the same.

## VIII.I: Non Parametric Test

In order to test for shifts of the demand curve across treatments, we first compute for each round of the experiment the sum of the quantities demanded by all Buyers for the prices for which Buyers' demand was elicited; for example, for the $N L$ Bull treatment, denote this sum by $Q_{i, j}^{D, \text { Bull-NL }}$, where $(i, j)$ denotes the round and session. For each session, we compute the sum of $Q_{i, j}^{D, N L}$, across the 11 rounds; denote this quantity by $Q_{j}^{D, N L}$, where $j$ denotes the session. Analogously, we compute $Q_{j}^{D, \text { Treatment }}$ for each of the other three treatments. In this way, we obtain a sample of nine observations (the per-session averages) for each treatment (four samples overall). We compare these samples with a Wilcoxon sign-rank test and with a sign test. We proceed in a similar way to test for shifts of the supply curve. Table A17 reports the hypotheses being tested (columns 2 and 3) and the $p$-values for the two tests (columns 4 and 5).

Table A17: Tests for Shifts in the Demand and Supply curves

|  | $H_{0}$ | $H_{1}$ | Wilcoxon signrankp-value | signtestp-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bullish | $D_{L}=D_{N L}$ | $D_{L}>D_{N L}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| Bearish | $D_{L}=D_{N L}$ | $D_{L}>D_{N L}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| $L$ | $D_{\text {Bull }}=D_{\text {Bear }}$ | $D_{\text {Bull }} \sim=D_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0040 | 0.0039 |
| NL | $D_{\text {Bull }}=D_{\text {Bear }}$ | $D_{\text {Bull }} \sim=D_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0078 | 0.0391 |
| Bullish | $S_{L}=S_{N L}$ | $S_{L} \sim=S_{N L}$ | 0.4258 | 0.5078 |
| Bearish | $S_{L}=S_{N L}$ | $S_{L} \sim=S_{N L}$ | 0.3008 | 0.1797 |
| $L$ | $S_{\text {Bull }}=S_{\text {Bear }}$ | $S_{\text {Bull }}<S_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |
| $N L$ | $S_{\text {Bull }}=S_{\text {Bear }}$ | $S_{\text {Bull }}<S_{\text {Bear }}$ | 0.0020 | 0.0020 |

## VIII.2: Panel Regression, Parametric Tests for Shift in Demand and Supply, and Tests for Order Effects

We first describe the parametric tests for the demand curves; the tests for the supply curve are similar. In order to test for a shift of the demand curve through a parametric method, we run the following panel-data regression:
$q_{i, j, z}^{n}=\alpha+\beta p_{i, j, z}^{n}+\gamma\left(p_{i, j, z}^{n}\right)^{2}+\delta L_{d u m m y}+\epsilon_{i, j, z}^{n}$,
where $q_{i, j, z}^{n}$ is the $n^{t h}$ choice of subject $i$ in round $j$ of session $z ; p_{i, j, z}^{n}$ is the corresponding price, $L_{d u m m y}$ is a leverage dummy and $\epsilon_{i, j, z}^{n}$ is the error term. We estimate the model separately for the Bull and Bear parameterization. We cluster all the standard errors at the session level. In addition to the regressors above, we add as controls the two order-effect dummy variables described in Appendix VI. 2 and 10 round-specific fixed effects.

In other words, we fit a quadratic demand function across all the observation in a given parameterization, and we test whether there is a statistically significant shift in the curve $\left(H_{0}: \delta=0\right)$ from the $N L$ to the $L$ treatment. We proceed in a similar way to test for shifts in the supply curve. Although we do not report all the regression's result for the sake of brevity, it is worth mentioning that: 1) $\beta$ is always of the expected sign (negative for demand; positive for supply) and significant; 2) the round fixed-effects are never significant; and 3) the order-effect dummies are never significant. Tables A18-A21 show the results.

Table A18: Shift in the Aggregate Demand, the Bullish Parameterization

| $Q_{S}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P$ | -3.0685 | 0.1411 | 0.000 |
| $P^{2}$ | 0.0046 | 0.0002 | 0.000 |
| $L_{\text {dummy }}$ | 58.0511 | 2.6041 | 0.000 |
| Number of Obs $=1980$ |  |  |  |

Table A19: Shift in the Aggregate Demand, the Bearish Parameterization

| $Q_{D}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | -2.6563 | 0.1918 | 0.000 |
| $p^{2}$ | 0.0040 | 0.0003 | 0.000 |
| $L_{\text {dummy }}$ | 45.3559 | 4.2317 | 0.000 |
| Number of Obs $=1980$ |  |  |  |

Table A20: Shift in the Aggregate Supply, the Bullish Parameterization

| $Q_{S}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P$ | 0.5460 | 0.0455 | 0.000 |
| $P^{2}$ | -0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.000 |
| $L_{\text {dummy }}$ | 1.1668 | 1.1507 | 0.340 |
| Number of Obs $=1980$ |  | $R^{2}=0.7359$ |  |

Table A21: Shift in the Aggregate Supply, the Bearish Parameterization

| $Q_{D}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P$ | 0.5118 | 0.0557 | 0.000 |
| $P^{2}$ | -0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.000 |
| $L_{\text {dummy }}$ | 1.2057 | 1.1441 | 0.323 |
| Number of Obs $=1980$ |  |  |  |

## Appendix IX: Statistical Test for the Position of the Empirical Demand with Respect to its Theoretical Counterpart

In the paper, we state that the empirical demand is to the left of its theoretical counterpart. In order to test for the statistical significance of the distance between empirical and theoretical demands, we ran a panel regression where the dependent variable is the difference between a Buyer's choice and its theoretical prediction. In
the panel, we have one observation for each price presented to each Buyer in each round of each session; four panel regressions are ran separately for each treatment. ${ }^{2}$. The independent variables are: 1) a set of 10 -round dummies (for rounds 5 to 15 of each session); 2) an " $N L$-first" order-effect dummy, capturing those sessions in which the $N L$-treatment was run on the first day of the experiment; 3) a "Bullfirst" order-effect dummy capturing those sessions in which, on both days of the experiment, the Bull treatments were run first. Errors are clustered at the session level and are assumed to be negative log normal, to account for the fact that the empirical demand is always by construction to the left of the theoretical one (and so, the difference between the empirical demand and its theoretical counterpart is always negative). The constant in the panel regression measures the shift of the empirical demand with respect to its theoretical counterpart. Table A22 reports the constant for each of the treatment, and the $p$-value for the null that it is equal to zero.

[^1]Table A22: Panel Regression on the Distance between Empirical and Theoretical Demand.

| Treatment | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bull $-N L$ | 1.6598 | 0.3352 | 0.001 |
| Bear $-N L$ | 1.8512 | 0.4038 | 0.002 |
| Bull $-L$ | 2.5157 | 0.2228 | 0.000 |
| Bear $-L$ | 3.6399 | 0.1707 | 0.000 |

## Appendix X: Scatter-Plot Regressions

Table A23 reports the results of a regression of each subject's average borrowing per asset in the $L$-treatments (both Bullish and Bearish) on his average final cash holdings in the $N L$-treatments. Standard errors are clustered at the session level.

Table A23: Borrowing per Asset in the Bull- $L$ treatment

| Loan $_{\text {widget }}$ | Coefficient | Standard Error | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash $_{f}$ | -0.00399 | 0.00079 | 0.001 |
| Number of Obs $=108$ | $R^{2}=0.1727$ |  |  |

## Appendix XI: Instructions

Thank you for participating in today's experiment. You have earned a $\$ 5$ show-up bonus for arriving on time. Whatever you earn in this session will be in addition to this $\$ 5$. If you read the instructions below carefully, you have the potential to earn significantly more.

The experiment will be run over two days, today and tomorrow. You will be paid in cash at the end of tomorrow's experiment.

In the experiment you will earn Experimental Dollars (E\$), which will be converted into cash (US Dollars) at the end of the experiment. For every $20,000 \mathrm{E} \$$ you have at the end of the experiment you will be paid 1 US Dollar in cash.

You will participate in the experiment along with 11 other students. Neither before nor after the experiment will you receive any information about the identity of other participants. During the experiment, you are not allowed to talk to other participants or to use cell phones. If you have any questions, please raise your hand, and an experimenter will assist you.

The experiment consists of four parts: Part A, B, C and D. We will first distribute the instructions for Part A. You will read them, answer a brief questionnaire, and then you will start playing. After you finish playing part A, we will distribute the instructions for part B, and you will play part B. Tomorrow, you will play Part C and Part D.

## Instructions for Part A

## Overview

In today's experiment, you will buy and sell a product that we will call from now on a "widget." You will be able to buy or sell the widgets, by trading with the other participants.

You will play 15 rounds with the same procedures. The first 4 rounds are for practice only, whereas the remaining 11 rounds will determine your final payment.

## Description of the each round

## The Final Value of the Widgets

The final value of the widgets can be High or Low. This is determined by randomly choosing a ball from a box with $\mathbf{6}$ red balls and $\mathbf{4}$ green balls. If the ball turns out to be red, the value of the widget is High; if the ball turns out to be green, the value of the widget is Low. Since there are 6 red and 4 green balls in the box, the chance of the value of the widgets being High is $\mathbf{6 0}$ percent; the chance of the value of the widgets being Low is $\mathbf{4 0}$ percent.

We can represent the final value of the widgets by the following picture:


The ball is extracted from the box at the end of each round.
In each round we choose the ball from a new box. There are always 6 red and 4 green balls in the box, so the chance of the final value of the widgets being High or Low does not depend on whether it was High or Low in the previous round.

## Buyers and Sellers

At the beginning of each round, you are randomly assigned to be either a Buyer or a Seller. Half of the participants ( 6 students) will be Buyers, and half of the participants ( 6 students) will be Sellers. In each round, you see whether you are a Buyer or a Seller by looking at the left column in your screen.

Here is why whether you are a Buyer or a Seller matters.
a) At the beginning of the round Buyers are given cash and Sellers are given widgets:

If you are a Buyer, you are given $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{E \$}$
If you are a Seller, you are given $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 0}}$ widgets
b) Whether you are a Buyer or a Seller also determines the final value of the widgets for you.

When the value of the widget is Low, its final value is $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{E \$}$ for both Buyers and Sellers.
However, when the value of the widget is High, its final value is $\mathbf{7 5 0} \mathbf{E S}$ for Buyers and $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{E S}$ for Sellers. We can represent the final value of the widgets by the following picture:

Buyers: 750 ES


Sellers: 250 E $\$$

Buyers and Sellers: 100 E\$

When the final value of the widgets is Low, it is the same value for both Buyers and Sellers; but when the value of the widgets is High, widgets pay more to Buyers than to Sellers.

## How to buy or sell widgets

The column labeled "Price" of your computer screen displays an array of prices. For each of those prices, Buyers should indicate the number of widgets they want to buy and Sellers should indicate the number of widgets they want to sell. After you made your choices, you should press OK. You can see how the screenshot appears for both buyers and sellers in the attached leaflet.

The computer requires you to be consistent in your choices. For instance, if you write that you want to buy 40 widgets at the price of 300 , you are not allowed to buy more than 40 widgets at a price of 330 . The opposite is true for a Seller: if you want to sell 40 widgets at the price of 300 , you are not allowed to sell more than 40 widgets at the price of 270 .

How Buyers pay for widgets

In each round, Buyers are allowed to buy widgets with the cash that they have.
In the computer screen, to the right of the price column there is a column that shows the maximum number of widgets Buyers can buy for each price.

Suppose you are a Buyer and are deciding how many widgets to buy at the price 300 . You can at most buy 50 widgets $(300 * 50=15,000 \mathrm{E}$, which is the cash Buyers have at the beginning of the round).

## The final price

At which price does trading occur? For each price, we will sum up the number of widgets that all Buyers want to buy, and the number of widgets that all Sellers want to sell. We will choose the price for which the difference between these two numbers is the smallest. This is the final price in the round.

Example: Suppose that at the price of 300 , each Buyer wants to buy 40 widgets, and each seller wants to sell 10 . Therefore, at 300 , all Buyers together want to buy $40 * 6=240$ widgets, and all sellers together want to sell $10 * 6=60$ widgets. The difference between amount bought and amount sold is $240-60=180$. We compute this difference for all the other prices in the list, and we choose the price for which the difference is the smallest (that is, the price for which the difference is the closest to zero). This is the final price in the round.

You learn which is the final price only after all participants have made their choices. Therefore, at each price, you should indicate the number of widgets you want to buy or sell as if that price were the one at which transactions occur.

At the final price, each Buyer will buy (at most) the number of widgets he/she indicated he/she would buy at that price. Each Seller will sell (at most) the number of widgets he/she indicated he/she would sell at that price.

Why at most? Because sometimes you may not be able to buy or sell exactly the quantity you had indicated. It may happen that, at the final price, the number of widgets Buyers want to buy is larger (or smaller) than the number of widgets Sellers want to sell. In this case, we will reduce the widgets bought by Buyers (or sold by Sellers) by the same proportional amount. For instance, if the final price is 300 and the number of widgets sold by Sellers is $10 \%$ higher than the number of widgets that Buyers want to buy at this price, we will reduce the sale of each Seller by $10 \%$.

## The bonus

In each round, you are given a per-round bonus of $10,000 \mathrm{E} \$$. The extra bonus is given only at the end of the round, and cannot be used to buy widgets. This bonus is in addition to the show-up bonus you received for arriving on time.

## The end of the Round

After the final price is determined, buying and selling occurs automatically. A summary on your screen will indicate the price for the widget, and how many widgets you bought or sold. Then, the value of the widgets will be extracted from the box, and your payoff for the round will appear on the computer screen.

Your payoff is computed in the following way:

1) If you are a Buyer

Your payoff = your remaining cash

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +(\text { number of widgets you bought)*(final value of the widgets) } \\
& + \text { bonus }
\end{aligned}
$$

2) If you are a Seller

Your payoff = the cash from selling the widgets

+ (number of widgets you did not sell)*(final value of the widgets)
+ bonus


## Examples

1) Let's say the final value of the widgets is High, you are Buyer, and you bought 30 widgets at a price of 300 . Your payoff is going to be

Cash $=15,000-300 * 30=15,000-9,000=6,000$
Final value of the widgets $=750 * 30=22,500$
Bonus $=10,000$
Final payoff in the round $=22,500+6,000+10,000=38,500 \mathrm{E} \$$

Note that you made money out of the purchase of widgets, since you bought for 300 something that is worth 750 .
2) Let's say now you are a Seller and you sold 90 widgets (and kept 10) at a price of 150 . The final value of the widgets is 100 . Your payoff is going to be

$$
\text { Cash }=90 * 150=13,500
$$

Final value of the remaining widgets $=100 * 10=1,000$
Bonus $=10,000$
Final payoff in the round $=13,500+1,000+10,000=24,500 \mathrm{E} \$$
Note that you made money out of the sale, since you sold for 150 something that is worth to you only 100 .

## The new round

After the first round ends, you will move to round 2, then to round 3 and so on. At the beginning of each round, you will be told whether you are a Buyer or a Seller and you will be given cash (if a buyer) or widgets (if a seller) to play in the round. Each round is independent: you will never be able to use the widgets or cash from previous rounds. Your per-round payoff only matters to compute your final payment in dollars.

## After the game ends

After you have seen the payoff of the round, a new round starts. At the end of the $15^{\text {th }}$ round, part A ends, and we will distribute the instructions for part B.

How is your final payment determined? For both part A and part B, we will discard the first 4 rounds, which are only for practice. Out of the remaining 22 rounds ( 11 for part A and 11 for part B), we will randomly draw 10 rounds ( 5 from part A and 5 from part B) and we will add your earnings from these randomly chosen 10 rounds. Finally, we will convert the earnings from E\$ into US Dollars at the exchange rate of 20,000.

Tomorrow, after playing the second day of the experiment, you will be paid the sum of today's and tomorrow's earnings. This is the end of the instructions for part A. If you have any questions, please raise your hand and an experimenter will assist you.

## Instructions for Part B

The experiment is exactly the same as in part A . The only difference is in the proportion of red and green balls that determine the final value of the widgets in each round. Now, there are 4 red ball and 6 green balls in the box.

Since there are 4 red and 6 green balls in the box, the chance of the value of the widgets being High is 40 percent (when before it was 60 percent); the chance of the value of the widgets being Low is 60 percent (when before it was 40 percent). We can represent this by the following picture:


As for Part A, Part B last for $15^{\text {th }}$ rounds. When it ends, for both part A and part B, we will discard the first 4 rounds, which are only for practice. Out of the remaining 22 rounds ( 11 for part A and 11 for part B), we will randomly draw 10 rounds ( 5 from part A and 5 from part B) and we will add your earnings from these randomly chosen 10 rounds. Finally, we will convert the earnings from E\$ into US Dollars at the exchange rate of 20,000.

Tomorrow, after playing the second day of the experiment, you will be paid the sum of today's and tomorrow's earnings. This is the end of the instructions for part B. If you have any questions, please raise your hand and an experimenter will assist you.

This is the second day of the experiment. Today you will play parts C and D.

As in yesterday's experiment, today you will earn Experimental Dollars (E\$), which will be converted into cash (US Dollars) at the end of the experiment. For every 20,000 E\$ you have at the end of the experiment you will be paid 1 US Dollar in cash.

You will participate in the experiment along with 11 other students. Neither before nor after the experiment will you receive any information about the identity of other participants. During the experiment, you are not allowed to talk to other participants or to use cell phones. If you have any questions, please raise your hand, and an experimenter will assist you.

## Instructions for Part C

## Overview

In today's experiment, you will buy and sell a product that we will call from now on a "widget." You will be able to buy or sell the widgets, by trading with the other participants.

You will play 15 rounds with the same procedures. The first 4 rounds are for practice only, whereas the remaining 11 rounds will determine your final payment.

## Description of the each round

The Final Value of the Widgets
The final value of the widgets can be High or Low. This is determined by randomly choosing a ball from a box with $\mathbf{6}$ red balls and $\mathbf{4}$ green balls. If the ball turns out to be red, the value of the widget is High; if the ball turns out to be green, the value of the widget is Low. Since there are 6 red and 4 green balls in the box, the chance of the value of the widgets being High is $\mathbf{6 0}$ percent; the chance of the value of the widgets being Low is $\mathbf{4 0}$ percent.

We can represent the final value of the widgets by the following picture:


The ball is extracted from the box at the end of each round.
In each round we choose the ball from a new box. There are always 6 red and 4 green balls in the box, so the chance of the final value of the widgets being High or Low does not depend on whether it was High or Low in the previous round.

## Buyers and Sellers

At the beginning of each round, you are randomly assigned to be either a Buyer or a Seller. Half of the participants ( 6 students) will be Buyers, and half of the participants ( 6 students) will be Sellers. In each round, you see whether you are a Buyer or a Seller by looking at the left column in your screen.

Here is why whether you are a Buyer or a Seller matters.
a) At the beginning of the round Buyers are given cash and Sellers are given widgets:

If you are a Buyer, you are given $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{E \$}$
If you are a Seller, you are given $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 0}}$ widgets
b) Whether you are a Buyer or a Seller also determines the final value of the widgets for you.

When the value of the widget is Low, its final value is $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{E \$}$ for both Buyers and Sellers.
However, when the value of the widget is High, its final value is $\mathbf{7 5 0} \mathbf{E \$}$ for Buyers and $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ E\$ for Sellers. We can represent the final value of the widgets by the following picture.


High
Sellers: 250 E $\$$

Buyers and Sellers: 100 E\$

When the final value of the widgets is Low, it is the same value for both Buyers and Sellers; but when the value of the widgets is High, widgets pay more to Buyers than to Sellers.

## How to buy or sell widgets

The column labeled "Price" of your computer screen displays an array of prices. For each of those prices, Buyers should indicate the number of widgets they want to buy and Sellers should indicate the number of widgets they want to sell. After you made your choices, you should press OK. You can see how the screenshot appears for both buyers and sellers in the attached leaflet. The computer requires you to be consistent in your choices. For instance, if you write that you want to buy 40 widgets at the price of 300 , you are not allowed to buy more than 40 widgets at a price of 330 . The opposite is true for a Seller: if you want to sell 40 widgets at the price of 300, you are not allowed to sell more than 40 widgets at the price of 270 .

## How Buyers pay for widgets

In each round, Buyers are allowed to buy widgets not only with the money that they have, but also by borrowing from a bank.

How does borrowing work? For each widget that a Buyer buys, the bank is going to lend him/her up to $100 \mathrm{E} \$$. Hence, for any given price, borrowing allows the Buyers to buy more widgets than if they could not borrow. At the end of the round Buyers will have to return what they borrowed.

In the computer screen, to the right of the price column, there are 4 columns that show the maximum number of widgets Buyers can buy for each price if: $i$ ) they do not want to borrow ii) if they want to borrow the maximum ( $100 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget), iii) if they want to borrow only $30 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget and $i v$ ) if they want to borrow only $60 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget.

Buyers will indicate on the screen how many widgets they want to buy for each of the prices in the list. Obviously you are not limited to borrowing $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{6 0}$ or $\mathbf{1 0 0}$. Suppose the computer tells you that you can buy 150 widgets when borrowing 30 and 214 when borrowing 60 , you can decide to buy a number between 150 and 214. In that case, you will borrow something between 30 and 60 per widget.

The following example shows how borrowing increases how many widgets Buyers can buy. Suppose you are deciding how many widgets to buy at the price 300. If you do not borrow, you could at most buy 50 widgets $(300 * 50=15,000 \mathrm{E} \$$, which is the cash Buyers have at the beginning of the round).

If you borrow, for each widget you buy you can get up to $100 \mathrm{E} \$$ in loans. Suppose you borrow $100 \mathrm{E} \$$, i.e. the maximum amount per widget. This means that for each widget you buy, you only need to put down $300-100=200 \mathrm{E} \$$ of your own cash. So with your $15,000 \mathrm{E}$ of cash, you can now afford to buy 75 widgets $(200 * 75=15,000 \mathrm{E} \$), 25$ more than if you did not borrow.

At the end of the round, you will have to repay your loan. Since you bought 75 widgets, you will have to repay $75^{*} 100=7,500 \mathrm{E}$. If the value of the widgets turns out to be High ( 750 E ) , your payoff is $750 * 75=56,250 \mathrm{E} \$$ minus your $7,500 \mathrm{E} \$$ loan, that is, $56,250-7,500=48,750 \mathrm{E} \$$.

If instead you only borrow $60 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget, then for each widget you buy you need to put down $300-60=240 \mathrm{E} \$$; with your $15,000 \mathrm{E} \$$ of cash, you can now afford to buy 62 widgets $(240 * 62=14,880 \mathrm{E} \$$; you are left with $120 \mathrm{E} \$$ of cash, which are not enough to be an additional widget), 12 more than if you were not allowed to borrow (but 12 less than if you had borrowed the maximum amount of $100 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget).

## The final price

At which price does trading occur? For each price, we will sum up the number of widgets that all Buyers want to buy, and the number of widgets that all Sellers want to sell. We will choose the price for which the difference between these two numbers is the smallest. This is the final price in the round.

Example: Suppose that at the price of 300 , each Buyer wants to buy 40 widgets, and each seller wants to sell 10 . Therefore, at 300 , all Buyers together want to buy $40 * 6=240$ widgets, and all sellers together want to sell $10 * 6=60$ widgets. The difference between amount bought and amount sold is $240-60=180$. We compute this difference for all the other prices in the list, and we choose the price for which the difference is the smallest (that is, the price for which the difference is the closest to zero). This is the final price in the round.

You learn which is the final price only after all participants have made their choices. Therefore, at each price, you should indicate the number of widgets you want to buy or sell as if that price were the one at which transactions occur.

At the final price, each Buyer will buy (at most) the number of widgets he/she indicated he/she would buy at that price. Each Seller will sell (at most) the number of widgets he/she indicated he/she would sell at that price.

Why at most? Because sometimes you may not be able to buy or sell exactly the quantity you had indicated. It may happen that, at the final price, the number of widgets Buyers want to buy is larger (or smaller) than the number of widgets Sellers want to sell. In this case, we will reduce the widgets bought by Buyers (or sold by Sellers) by the same proportional amount. For instance, if the final price is 300 and the number of widgets sold by Sellers is $10 \%$ higher than the number of widgets that Buyers want to buy at this price, we will reduce the sale of each Seller by $10 \%$.

## The bonus

In each round, you are given a per-round bonus of $10,000 \mathrm{E} \$$. The extra bonus is given only at the end of the round, and cannot be used to buy widgets. This bonus is in addition to the show-up bonus you received for arriving on time.

## The end of the Round

After the final price is determined, buying and selling occurs automatically. A summary on your screen will indicate the price for the widget, and how many widgets you bought or sold. Then, the value of the widgets will be extracted from the box, and your payoff for the round will appear on the computer screen.

Your payoff is computed in the following way:

1) If you are a Buyer

Your payoff = your remaining cash

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { + (number of widgets you bought)*(final value of the widgets) } \\
& \text { + bonus } \\
& \text { - loan repayment }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the final term is there because you need to repay the amount you borrowed for each widget you bought.
2) If you are a Seller

Your payoff = the cash from selling the widgets

+ (number of widgets you did not sell)*(final value of the widgets)
+ bonus


## Examples

1) Let's say the final value of the widgets is High and you are Buyer. You bought 60 widgets at a price of 300 , and you borrowed $50 \mathrm{E} \$$ per widget. Your payoff is going to be

Cash $=15000-(300-50) * 60=15,000-15,000=0$
Loan repayment $=50 * 60=3,000$
Final value of the widgets $=750 * 60=45,000$
Bonus $=10,000$
Final payoff in the round $=45,000-3,000+10,000=52,000 \mathrm{E} \$$
2) Let's say now you are a Seller and you sold 90 widgets (and kept 10) at a price of 150 . The final value of the widgets is 100 . Your payoff is going to be

Cash $=90 * 150=13,500$
Final value of the remaining widgets $=100 * 10=1,000$
Bonus $=10,000$
Final payoff in the round $=13,500+1,000+10,000=24,500 \mathrm{E} \$$
Note that you made money out of the sale, since you sold for 150 something that is worth to you only 100 .

## The new round

After the first round ends, you will move to round 2, then to round 3 and so on. At the beginning of each round, you will be told whether you are a Buyer or a Seller and you will be given cash (if a buyer) or widgets (if a seller) to play in the round. Each round is independent: you will never be able to use the widgets or cash from previous rounds. Your per-round payoff only matters to compute your final payment in dollars.

## After the game ends

After you have seen the payoff of the round, a new round starts. At the end of the $15^{\text {th }}$ round, part C ends, and we will distribute the instructions for part D .

How is your final payment determined? For both part C and part D , we will discard the first 4 rounds, which are only for practice. Out of the remaining 22 rounds ( 11 for part C and 11 for part D), we will randomly draw 10 rounds ( 5 from part $C$ and 5 from part $D$ ) and we will add your earnings from these randomly chosen 10 rounds. Finally, we will convert the earnings from E\$ into US Dollars at the exchange rate of 20,000.

After playing part D, yesterday's and today's earnings will be summed up, and you will be paid in cash. This is the end of the instructions for part C. If you have any questions, please raise your hand and an experimenter will assist you.

## Instructions for Part D

The experiment is exactly the same as in part C . The only difference is in the proportion of red and green balls that determine the final value of the widgets in each round. Now, there are 4 red ball and 6 green balls in the box.

Since there are 4 red and 6 green balls in the box, the chance of the value of the widgets being High is 40 percent (when before it was 60 percent); the chance of the value of the widgets being Low is 60 percent (when before it was 40 percent). We can represent this by the following picture:


As for Part C, Part D last for $15^{\text {th }}$ rounds. When it ends, for both part C and part D , we will discard the first 4 rounds, which are only for practice. Out of the remaining 22 rounds ( 11 for part C and 11 for part D ), we will randomly draw 10 rounds ( 5 from part C and 5 from part D ) and we will add your earnings from these randomly chosen 10 rounds. Finally, we will convert the earnings from E\$ into US Dollars at the exchange rate of 20,000.

After finishing playing part D , we will sum today's and yesterday's dollar earnings and pay you in cash. This is the end of the instructions for part D. If you have any questions, please raise your hand and an experimenter will assist you.

## The Buyers' Screenshot



## The Sellers' Screenshot



The Buyers' Screenshot


The Sellers' Screenshot



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As a robustness check we also ran two separate panels, one for the Bullish and one for the Bearish parameterization. The results are largely in line with those described in this appendix, and we do not report them for brevity's sake.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As a robustness check, we also ran one panel pooling all the observations from each treatment.

