



university of
 groningen

faculty of behavioural and
 social sciences

sociology

GWAS Fertility Phenotypes

Melinda Mills, Nicola Barban, Jornt
Mandemakers, Harold Snieder, Dalton Conley,
SSGAC

Department of Sociology,
University of Groningen, The Netherlands

Motivation for fertility GWAS

- evidence genetic component to biological fecundity (menopause, menarche) (Stolk et al. 2009; He et al. 2009)
- heritability age at first birth (twin-design) & number of children ~40% (Kohler et al. 1999)
- no research on genetic influences on reproductive choice
- fertility widely measured, highly harmonized, comparable traits

Trait definitions

Number of children ever born (NEB)

- continuous measure
- example of question:
 - *How many children have you given birth to?*
 - Often possible to distinguish between biological, adopted or step-children
 - Several cohorts only asked of women

Age at first birth (AFB)

- continuous measure
- example of question:
 - *How old were you when you had your first child?*
 - *What is the date of birth of your first child?*

Sample inclusion criteria

Number of children ever born (NEB)

- a. Assessed for NEB at or after age 45 for women, age 55 for men
- b. have given birth to a child (parous) and who have not (nulliparous);

Age at first birth (AFB)

- a. Assessed for AFB and have given birth to a child (parous);

For both NEB & AFB:

- European ancestry;
- All relevant covariates are available for the individual;
- successfully genotyped genome-wide (recommended individual genotyping rate >95%)
- passed the cohort-specific standard quality controls, e.g., excluding individuals who are genetic outliers in the cohort.

Models

NEB / AFB: (OLS) regression for men

NEB / AFB: (OLS) regression for women

Family-based studies:

NEB / AFB: (OLS) regression for pooled sample

Covariates:

- The **number of reference alleles of each SNP**;
- Additional covariates necessary to **control for population stratification**, for example ancestry principle components. (Price *et al.*, 2006) ;
- **Birth year of the cohort member**, represented by: $[(\text{birth year} - 1900)/10]$, $[(\text{birth year} - 1900)/10]^2$ and $[(\text{birth year} - 1900)/10]^3$ to control for non-linear birth cohort effects.
- **Extra study specific covariates** such as discrete site indicator variables included if appropriate.

Current progress

- Analysis plan distributed April 2012
- Deadline uploading:
 - descriptives May 2012
 - GWAS results June 2012
- Future work: Quality control

Current progress (May 15 2012)

Total cohorts:

STATUS	N	%
contacted	162	
confirmed participation	45	27.8
confirmed <u>NOT</u> participating	42	25.9
in replication sample	9	5.6
sent reminder	66	40.7

Estimated sample size: ~100,000+

Meta-analysis

- **Analysts**
 - Nicola Barban & Jornt Mandemakers (Sociology, University of Groningen)
 - Ilja Nolte (Unit of Genetic Epidemiology & Bioinformatics, University Medical Center Groningen)
 - Niels Rietveld (Economics, University of Rotterdam)
- **Methodological advisor:** Harold Snieder (Unit of Genetic Epidemiology & Bioinformatics, University Medical Center Groningen)
- **Quality control:** Barban, Mandemakers & Nolte

Questions, discussion

- Additive allelic effect may be small even with large N
- Potential follow-up study:
 - go beyond additive GWAS models, to run **dominant models**
 - **estimate polygenic heritability** (Yang et al 2011; So et al. 2011); use GCTA (Yang et al. 2010/Nat Gen) – but requires raw data – possible?