Why Is This Paper In This Conference?

General principle of scientific method:

- Study same question using as many different tools as possible
- There’s only one reality
- Where tools overlap they should agree

Methodology of economists is too often:

- Use one source of data and one theory
- Ignore everything we know from any other source
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Impossible to measure every last expenditure

When would undermeasurement NOT be a problem:

- Every HH of type $k$ underreports $c$ by same proportion
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Ideal Case

Ideal Plausible Measurement

Actual Measurement

Where Are We?

Dynamic Budget Constraint

\[ a_{t+1} - a_t = a_t r_{t+1} + y_{t+1} - c_{t+1} \]

Haig-Simons Saving

where

\[ a_t \] — Total net worth at end of period \( t \)

\[ r_{t+1} \] — Portfolio-weighted return between \( t \) and \( t + 1 \)

\[ y_{t+1} \] — Noncapital income (labor, transfer, etc)

\[ c_{t+1} \] — Total expenditures in period \( t + 1 \)

implies

\[ c_{t+1} = a_t r_{t+1} + y_{t+1} - (a_{t+1} - a_t) \]
Household balance sheet in $t$

- $a_t^j$ where $j$ are asset categories (debt is negative asset),

$$a_t = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_t^j$$

- $r_t^j$ (return including capital gains/losses) for the $j$ categories

$$r_{t+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{a_t^j}{a_t} \right] r_{t+1}^j$$
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We will punt:

- Focus on stable categories of HH’s
- Period \( t \) sample: Middle-aged married couples
- Period \( t + 1 \) sample: Middle-aged couples married for at least 3 years
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Method

- Assume growth rate of $\bar{c}$ matches aggregate:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{c}_{t+1}}{\bar{c}_t} = \chi_{t+1}
  \]

- Assume noncapital income growth between $t$ and $t + 3$ matches surveys:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{y}_{s+1}}{\bar{y}_s} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{2007}}{\bar{y}_{2004}}\right)^{1/3} \quad \forall \ s \in \{t, t + 2\}
  \]

- Alternative 1: Make annual growth match NIPA DPI
- Alternative 2: Use DPI growth for year-to-year, but SCF for endpoints

- FoF for returns by asset category.
Motivation
Ideal Case
Ideal Plausible Measurement
Actual Measurement
Where Are We?

Target: Representative Middle-Aged Married Couple

Method

- Assume growth rate of $\bar{c}$ matches aggregate:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{c}_{t+1}}{\bar{c}_t} = \chi_{t+1}
  \]

- Assume noncapital income growth between $t$ and $t+3$ matches surveys:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{y}_{s+1}}{\bar{y}_s} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{2007}}{\bar{y}_{2004}}\right)^{1/3} \quad \forall \ s \in \{t, t+2\}
  \]

- Alternative 1: Make annual growth match NIPA DPI
- Alternative 2: Use DPI growth for year-to-year, but SCF for endpoints

- FoF for returns by asset category.
Method

- Assume growth rate of $\bar{c}$ matches aggregate:
  \[ \frac{\bar{c}_{t+1}}{\bar{c}_t} = \chi_{t+1} \]

- Assume noncapital income growth between $t$ and $t + 3$ matches surveys:
  \[ \frac{\bar{y}_{s+1}}{\bar{y}_s} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{2007}}{\bar{y}_{2004}}\right)^{1/3} \quad \forall \ s \in \{t, t + 2\} \]

- Alternative 1: Make annual growth match NIPA DPI
- Alternative 2: Use DPI growth for year-to-year, but SCF for endpoints

- FoF for returns by asset category.
Method

- Assume growth rate of $\bar{c}$ matches aggregate:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{c}_{t+1}}{\bar{c}_t} = \chi_{t+1}
  \]

- Assume noncapital income growth between $t$ and $t + 3$ matches surveys:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{y}_{s+1}}{\bar{y}_s} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{2007}}{\bar{y}_{2004}}\right)^{1/3} \quad \forall \ s \in \{t, t + 2\}
  \]

- Alternative 1: Make annual growth match NIPA DPI
- Alternative 2: Use DPI growth for year-to-year, but SCF for endpoints

- FoF for returns by asset category.
Method

- Assume growth rate of $\bar{c}$ matches aggregate:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{c}_{t+1}}{\bar{c}_t} = \chi_{t+1}
  \]

- Assume noncapital income growth between $t$ and $t + 3$ matches surveys:
  \[
  \frac{\bar{y}_{s+1}}{\bar{y}_s} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{2007}}{\bar{y}_{2004}}\right)^{1/3} \forall s \in \{t, t + 2\}
  \]

  - Alternative 1: Make annual growth match NIPA DPI
  - Alternative 2: Use DPI growth for year-to-year, but SCF for endpoints

- FoF for returns by asset category.
Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_{t+1} &= \bar{a}_t (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1}) + \hat{y}_{t+1} - \bar{c}_{t+1} \\
\hat{a}_{t+2} &= \hat{a}_{t+1}(1 + \hat{r}_{t+2}) + \hat{y}_{t+2} - \chi \bar{c}_{t+1} \\
\hat{a}_{t+3} &= \hat{a}_{t+2}(1 + \hat{r}_{t+3}) + \hat{y}_{t+3} - \chi^2 \bar{c}_{t+1}
\end{align*}
\]

but given the assumptions above, there will be a unique value of \( \bar{c}_{t+1} \) such that

\[
\hat{a}_{t+3} = \bar{a}_{t+3}
\]

which will be our estimate of spending, \( \hat{c}_{t+1} \).
We are now in a position to compare the expenditures that (under our assumptions) satisfy the dynamic budget constraint with expenditures as measured by the CE survey over the corresponding period. Neglecting the role of interest rates, and using $\tilde{c}$ for the measure of expenditures in the CE survey, we can calculate a ratio of ‘SCF’ expenditures to ‘CE’ expenditures directly as

$$\Omega = \left( \frac{\hat{c}_{t+1}(1 + \chi + \chi^2)}{\tilde{c}_{t+1} + \tilde{c}_{t+2} + \tilde{c}_{t+3}} \right)$$

which measures the scaling factor necessary to ‘blow up’ CE expenditures so that they are consistent with wealth accumulation as measured in the SCF.
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