Preliminary draft Please do not cite

Non-Cognitive Skills as Human Capital*

Shelly Lundberg

University of California, Santa Barbara University of Bergen IZA

October 3, 2015

Abstract: In recent years, a large number of studies have shown strong positive associations between socalled "non-cognitive skills"—a broad and ill-defined category of metrics encompassing personality, socioemotional skills, and behaviors—and economic success and wellbeing. These skills appear to be malleable early in life, raising the possibility of interventions that can decrease inequality and enhance economic productivity. In this paper, I discuss the extensive practical and conceptual barriers to using noncognitive skill measures in studies of economic growth, as well as to developing or evaluating relevant policies. I demonstrate several of these problems through a set of empirical analyses based on the noncognitive measures available in NLSY97 and AddHealth data. There is a lack of general agreement on what non-cognitive skills are and how to measure them across developmental stages, and the reliance on behavioral measures of skills ensures that both skill indicators themselves, and their payoffs, will be contextdependent. The empirical examples show that indicators of adolescent skills have strong associations with educational attainment, but not subsequent labor market outcomes, and illustrate some problems in interpreting apparent skill gaps across demographic groups.

Contact: Shelly Lundberg, Department of Economics, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara CA 93106-9210; slundberg@ucsb.edu.

* Prepared for the NBER/CRIW Conference on Education, Skills, and Technical Change, October 16-17, 2015. I appreciate the excellent assistance I've received from Sarah Bana.

1. Introduction

Human capital plays a central role in all models of economic growth. In empirical growth models, the standard proxy for human capital is educational attainment, but this is a very indirect and imperfect measure of labor skills. It is also a skill measure that is not comparable across nations (or, indeed, over time) due to variation in educational quality. Hanushek and Kimko (2000) found that scores on international examinations are more important than years of attainment for economic growth and a robust literature concerning the role of cognitive skills in economic development has accumulated (Hanushek and Woessmann, 2008). As evidence grows that other, so-called non-cognitive, skills have large and significant impacts on individual earnings and other economic outcomes, the research on growth may need to incorporate another dimension in human capital. We are far, however, from a clear understanding of how to define and measure these skills in a way that comparable international data could be collected.

The idea that non-cognitive skills are important, and productive, outcomes of the educational process has a long history in economics. Bowles and Gintis (1976), in their classic study of the American education system, assert that "employer-valued attributes," including perseverance and punctuality, were important products of schooling. Weiss (1988) shows that nearly all of the relationship between high school graduation and earnings can be explained by the lower quit propensities and lower rates of absenteeism of high school graduates. Heckman and a number of collaborators have worked to incorporate non-cognitive skills into the economic analysis of individual achievement, noting that "personality, persistence, motivation, and charm matter for success in life" (Heckman, Stixrud, and Urzua, 2006). There is now considerable evidence that these traits, in addition to measures of cognitive ability and academic achievement, are important determinants of economic success. Socioeconomic gaps in non-cognitive traits at early ages are implicated in the intergenerational transmission of inequality. This represents an important shift in economists' concept of human capital, moving beyond brains and brawn to incorporate a broad set of psychosocial capabilities.

In a very short period of time, a substantial literature has emerged that focuses on non-cognitive skills--their economic payoffs, the sources of socioeconomic disparities in skill levels, and the possible role of early investments in augmenting non-cognitive skills and reducing these disparities. A recent OECD report by Kautz, Heckman, Diris, ter Weel, and Borghans (2015) reviews much of this literature, with a particular focus on the outcomes of early interventions, and reaches the following conclusions: 1. Non-cognitive skills are valuable in school and in the labor market. 2. Reliable measures of non-cognitive skills are available. 3. Individual skills are stable at a point in time, but can be shaped in the early years of life.

The first of these conclusions is undoubtedly true, and the evidence for the third is accumulating rapidly. The second conclusion is perhaps premature—the measurement of non-cognitive skills, and especially the estimation of skill disparities between groups, is problematic. One issue is a lack of consensus about what non-cognitive skills are, and the absence of a consistent set of metrics that can be applied across studies. In Kautz et al. non-cognitive skills are defined as "personality traits, goals, character, motivations, and preferences that are valued in the labour market, in school, and in many other domains", which is an astonishingly broad field. A second issue is the widespread use of behavior as a pure indicator of skill, rather than as an outcome that also depends on incentives, beliefs, and situation. The comparability of such measures across groups defined by gender, ethnicity, or socio-economic status or across nations is highly-suspect.

The label "non-cognitive" is a controversial one, and psychologists disapprove of its popularity among economists. Alternative terms have been used--socioemotional skills, soft skills, personality skills, and, most recently-character-but I will use "non-cognitive" consistently because it is familiar and a clearly superior alternative has not emerged. Indices of children's non-cognitive skills are usually based on teacher and parent reports of the child's behavior, including their ability to focus attention on tasks, social skills, and externalizing (disruptive or aggressive) behavior. Adult skills are sometimes measured on the basis of behavioral assessments (or records such as criminal histories) but more commonly on self-reports of the individual's behavioral tendencies, feelings, or beliefs, including their self-esteem, conscientiousness, and persistence.

In this paper, I review some of the recent literature on the association between measures of noncognitive skills and important economic outcomes such as educational attainment and earnings and illustrate some characteristic patterns of effects using two longitudinal surveys that track recent cohorts from adolescence to young adulthood. Some measures of social and emotional problems in early adolescence have strong negative associations with educational attainment, while others do not. All have weak effects on earnings conditional on education. Parental and youth reports of the same behaviors have independent influences on education outcomes. Though this is a standard empirical exercise, the results are not easy to interpret, though they suggest that adolescent non-cognitive skills may be particularly important in navigating the path through school. I also show, using an example involving impulsivity and crime, that measurement and endogeneity problems make one common empirical exercise—the documentation of skill gaps between groups and assessments of the contribution of these gaps to inequality—extremely problematic.

The research agenda that emerges from this is rather daunting. First, we need some agreement on what constitute non-cognitive skills at different levels of development. The early childhood intervention literature has been able to rely on measures used by developmental psychologists, but as we move through childhood to adolescence and adulthood, the situation becomes rather chaotic. Second, we need research that disentangles the effect of skills from other channels, parental and environmental, that have helped to shape them. Finally, evidence is emerging that the returns to traits that have been labeled non-cognitive skills is highly heterogeneous—traits that are useful in some social, economic, and cultural environments may be harmful in others. This complicates international comparisons in a way that does not arise with cognitive skills.

On the other hand, broadening the economic concept of human capital is an important exercise. Research in neurobiology and developmental psychology indicates that non-cognitive skills emerge from the same developmental processes as conventionally-measured cognitive abilities. Early interventions that enrich children's environments and reduce stress can lead to improvements in executive functioning that foster abilities to regulate emotions and attention as well as acquire vocabulary. These skills are strongly predictive of educational outcomes and attainment, and there may be complementarities between sets of skills in the human capital acquisition process. This implies that early investments in non-cognitive skills may have positive effects on growth, most narrowly through increases in returns to other educational inputs.

2. A Brief and Incomplete Literature Review

New studies documenting associations between early measures of psychosocial traits, behavioral tendencies, and adult outcomes, or of the impact of early treatments on these traits, emerge almost daily. Researchers have found that a variety of such indicators are significant predictors of economic outcomes:

wages, earnings, health, crime, relationship stability, and so on. One of the key features of this literature is the bewildering array of personal traits and actions that have been lumped under the "non-cognitive skill" category, including teacher assessments of social skills, parental reports of toddler temperament, selfreported beliefs about personal control, and administrative records of school suspensions. In general, these are measures of convenience, adopted by researchers because they happen to be available on surveys or administrative registers and turn out to be correlated with interesting outcomes. Non-cognitive metrics fall into three broad categories:

1. Self-assessments: "This is what I am like" or "This is what I believe." Personality traits are perhaps the most commonly-used forms of self-assessment used in the economic literature. For example, a positive response to "I sympathize with others' feelings" is one component of the Big 5 personality trait, Agreeableness, while agreeing with "When I make plans, I am almost certain that I can make them work" is indicative of an internal locus of control (or high self-efficacy).

2. Parent/teacher reports of a child's behavior, tendencies, or abilities. Behavior problem indices that include measures of externalizing and internalizing behavior, as well as reports of persistence, ability to focus, and social skills, have been extensively used by psychologists and education specialists, and are available in many large-scale datasets.

3. Administrative records of school disciplinary actions, criminal justice contacts, or military service, when these can be linked to economic outcomes.

The more recent economics literature on non-cognitive skills (including the controversial label) comes into prominence with two studies by James Heckman and co-authors, one of which relies on behavioral indicators of skills while the other uses self-assessments. Heckman and Rubinstein (2001) find that GED recipients are more likely to engage in drug use and to commit minor crimes than either conventional high school graduates or high school dropouts, and infer that the absence of a positive economic return to GED recipiency is due to a shortfall in non-cognitive skills among those who receive this credential. Heckman, Stixrud, and Urzua (2006), using adolescent measures of self-efficacy and self-esteem in the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 as indicators of non-cognitive abilities, find that non-cognitive skills are equally important in the determination of a variety of economic and social outcomes. Both of these papers have been influential in alerting economists to the potential significance of traits other than cognitive ability that contribute to economic success.

Personality, Self-control, and Social Skills

In the first decade of the century, many researchers took advantage of newly-available (self-assessed) personality inventories included in large longitudinal surveys, including the British Household Panel Study (BHPS), the German Socio-economic Panel Study (SOEP), and the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey. Most of these consisted of a 15-item short form of the "Big 5" personality inventory, which includes the traits openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism/emotional stability. The Big 5 has been developed and evaluated in psychology, and is broadly accepted there as a meaningful and consistent construct for describing human differences (Goldberg, 1981).

Economic studies of personality focused initially on the determinants of earnings and other labor market outcomes. In general, high emotional stability and low agreeableness have been found to be positively associated with earnings for men and in some cases for women as well (Mueller and Plug, 2006; Heineck, 2011; Nyhus and Pons 2005). Personality traits also influence the sorting of workers across occupations, and this is usually interpreted as the result of varying preferences over job attributes (Filer 1986, Krueger and Schkade 2008). Nandi and Nicoletti (2009) decompose the pay gaps between personality groups in the BHPS data into components that can be explained by personality-based differences in occupation, education, work experience and unexplained components. They find that the observed pay premium for openness can be explained by higher education and sorting into higher-paid occupations, but that the pay premium for extraversion and the penalties for neuroticism and agreeableness cannot. Another personality construct, self-efficacy or locus of control, has also been found to be positively related to a variety of labor market outcomes (Heineck and Anger, 2010; Cobb-Clark, Caliendo and Uhlendorff, 2015; Cobb-Clark, 2015).

Even though the study of personality originated as an attempt to understand why some highlyintelligent individuals perform well in school and in later life, while others do not, the relationship between personality and education has not received as much attention from economists as have personality effects on earnings. Pioneers in the development of IQ tests, such as Binet and Terman, were aware of the significance of qualities other than cognitive ability in determining success, and identified the key features of this dimension of 'character' as perseverance and attentiveness—aspects of the Big 5 trait, conscientiousness (Almlund et al., 2011). A large literature in psychology and education finds that conscientiousness and behaviors related to conscientiousness, such as persistence and self-control, are strongly predictive of grades in school, and other measures of educational success.

The use of self-assessed traits and beliefs as measures of non-cognitive skills cannot begin before middle childhood at the earliest. Assessments of younger children must rely on behavioral measures, and the "marshmallow studies" have produced the best-known of these. Beginning in the late 1960s, psychologist Walter Mischel led a series of studies that showed a strong association between the ability to delay gratification as a 4-year old and later test scores, educational attainment, and health (Mischel et al., 1972). Larger studies have used observational measures such as parent and teacher reports of externalizing behavior—arguing, fighting, acting impulsively or disruptively—and social skills. Children from disadvantaged backgrounds begin school well behind their peers in the ability to focus their attention and control their impulses and these gaps tend to persist as they progress through school. Some studies fail to find any relationship between school entry skills such as attention and later outcomes (Duncan and Magnuson, 2011), though teacher evaluations of 8th grade misbehavior are correlated with educational and labor market outcomes (Segal, 2013).

Recent years have seen many creative uses of administrative and survey data to infer non-cognitive skills and link them to later outcomes. A psychologist's assessment of the suitability of a young man for military service predicts his suitability for other jobs as well (Lindqvist and Vestman, 2011) and interviewer reports of survey respondent fidgeting are correlated with later economic outcomes (Cadena and Keys, forthcoming).

What Are Non-Cognitive Skills and Where Do They Come From?

Critics have objected to the use of the label "non-cognitive" skills to describe any productive characteristic that is not measured in standard cognitive batteries and academic achievement tests. This is because behaviors such as task persistence and effective social interaction require cognitive input in a way that is not clearly distinct from completing a Raven's Matrices test. The unifying principle in this view of human skills is the psychological concept of executive functioning, an umbrella term for the management of cognitive processes. A recent World Bank report on early development links cognitive and "non-cognitive" skills through the developmental process:

"The cognitive components of self-regulation, referred to as *executive function*, include the ability to direct attention, shift perspective, and adapt flexibly to changes (*cognitive flexibility*); retain information (*working memory*); and inhibit automatic or impulsive responses in order to achieve a goal such as problem solving (*impulse control*)... Self-regulation also includes emotional components such as regulating one's emotions, exhibiting self-control, and delaying gratification to enjoy a future reward." (World Bank, 2015)

Behavioral inhibition or self-regulation is at the core of most identified non-cognitive as well as cognitive skills. The ability to focus on schoolwork, get along with classmates, abstain from drugs, and persevere on tasks is a set of skills with the same developmental origins as the ability to read well and solve math problems. The skills that cognitive control facilitates are manifested in different ways depending on circumstances and developmental stages, but the inaptness of the term "non-cognitive" is clear.

The case for treating non-cognitive skills as a type of human capital is that many aspects, such as self-control, appear to be relatively stable, but augmentable, traits that enhance task performance, increase labor productivity, and contribute to positive economic outcomes. The question "where do they come from?" is only beginning to be answered. Personality traits are strongly heritable, and twin studies find that 40-60 percent of variation in personality is genetic (Bouchard and Loehlin, 2001, Anger, 2012). Advances in neuroscience, molecular biology, developmental psychology, and economics are beginning to link deficits in a broad range of behavioral, health, and cognitive abilities to early experiences and environmental influences, including toxic stress and pollution (Shonkoff et al., 2012; Currie, 2011). The implication is that the mental regulatory skills that the term executive functioning represents are affected by early life (or prenatal) conditions, though they also appear to be influenced by later interventions

Kautz et al. (2015) provide a very comprehensive survey of interventions designed to improve cognitive and non-cognitive skills at a variety of ages, from infancy through adolescence. For most programs, the evidence for an effect on non-cognitive skills is inferential: the intervention has no lasting impact on measured cognitive or academic abilities but does have a long-term positive effect on education, employment or crime. The best-known set of results is perhaps the impact of the Perry Preschool Programme, an intensive program for 3-4 year old low-income children with treatment and control groups that had long-term impacts on test scores, adult crime and male income, though no lasting effect on IQ. A recent paper bolsters the interpretation that these effects were due to a non-cognitive skill increase by showing that there were intermediate effects on indices of externalizing behavior and female academic

motivation (Heckman et al, 2013).¹ The Jamaican Supplementation Study provided two years of nutritional supplements and a parenting intervention that encouraged stimulation of stunted children aged 9-24 months at the beginning of the program. The stimulation treatment outperformed the nutritional treatment, with substantial effects on adult earnings and on cognitive and psychosocial skills in late adolescence (Gertler et al., 2013). There are few examples of interventions at later ages with long-term follow-up, but Project Star, in which children were randomly assigned to smaller kindergarten classes, had no lasting effect on test scores but appears to have led to higher earnings in early adulthood (Chetty et al., 2011).

Following the success of the Jamaican study, many recent interventions have focused on improving parenting as a way to reach children very early in life. These include programs that encourage parents to interact with children in developmentally-appropriate ways and changing the mental models of parents regarding child development and others that directly target maternal stress and mental health issues that may impact parenting quality.²

Treating non-cognitive skills as a form of human capital raises one rather confusing issue—is it more appropriate to think of the varied indicators that have appeared in the recent economics literature as skills, or as preferences? Referring to psychological traits as "skills" is an attempt to maintain the economic distinction between preferences and constraints, but in fact the line has become blurred. The personality trait "extraversion" reflect both social skills and an orientation towards social interaction. In their analysis of intergenerational mobility, Bowles, Gintis and Osbourne (2001) emphasize the role of parents and schools in passing on "incentive-enhancing preferences" (patience and self-control) as an important mechanism for transmitting economic privilege across generations. Intuitively, the self-regulation that leads to deferred gratification in the marshmallow test must be closely allied with our measures of time preference. Yet, the empirical associations between personality and economic preference parameters are very weak (Almlund et al., 2011; Rustichini et al., 2012) and the two sets of variables have largely independent effects on a large set of outcomes, including health, life satisfaction, wage, unemployment, and education (Becker et al., 2012). For non-cognitive skills, we have no conceptual framework comparable to the choice theory that informs our use of preference parameters, and this impedes any effort to move beyond a piece-meal approach to non-cognitive skills and developing a standardized set of instruments.

3. Some Examples

To illustrate some of the characteristics of early non-cognitive skill measures as predictors of future educational attainment, wages, and employment, I use data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997 (NSLY97) and the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health), which follow similar and recent cohorts from early adolescence to young adulthood. Their first wave measures of non-cognitive skills, which range from skimpy in NLSY97 to abundant in Add Health, have been relatively unutilized by economists. The purpose of this exercise is to choose, *a priori*, a promising and typical set of indicators of adolescent angst, confidence, and behavioral difficulties, to see whether they predict educational attainment and labor market outcomes, and to report all the results transparently. I find that

¹ Two older programs (Perry Preschool and Abecedarian) are positive outliers among the large set of early childhood education programs in their impacts on later human capital, and we know little about the connections between program components and particular sets of skills (Duncan and Magnuson, 2013).

² See the review in World Bank (2015, Chapter 5).

some plausible adolescent non-cognitive skills are significant predictors of educational attainment while others, equally promising, are not and that all are weak predictors of earnings and wages. For simplicity, I report only the results for the male subsamples, though the patterns in the female models are very similar.

National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, 1997 (NSLY97)

The NLSY97 began with a nationally-representative sample of 9,000 youths who were 12 to 16 years old at the first wave, and aged 26 to 32 when they were interviewed in 2011-12. In Round 1, a version of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) was administered, so we have a measure of academic skills and knowledge of the sort that is usually used as a measure of "cognitive skills," and also several indicators of non-cognitive skills. This is in no sense an extraordinary set of skill measures, but they do include a set of non-cognitive indicators that are asked of both parents and children, which is relatively rare. Also, the survey subjects are old enough in the last round that we have completed education and usable labor market information for almost all of them.

To measure behavioral and emotional problems in the first wave of the NLSY97, a set of six items developed as indicators of children's mental health for the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) were used. These items were, in turn, developed as part of the Child Behavior Checklist (Achenbach and Edelbrock, 1981). The items selected for the NHIS were those that provided the best discrimination between children who were referred or not referred for mental health services, by age category and gender. The NLSY97 uses items selected for boys and girls aged 12-17, and each is asked of the parent as well as the youth. The four items that are asked of boys are 1. has trouble concentrating or paying attention and 2. doesn't get along with other kids 3. lies and cheats and 4. unhappy, sad, or depressed. These Achenbach index items are coded as binary with "sometimes/somewhat true" combined with "often true" (a rare response). Factor analysis indicates that these measures cannot be combined into a mental health index, and so they are entered into the education and labor market outcome models separately. There is a general tendency for reports of problem behaviors to fall with mother's education, though there are exceptions (in mother reports that sons are depressed). The mean ASVAB percentile is strongly increasing in mother's education, as is the optimism index (constructed from four items such as "In uncertain times, I usually expect the best"). Youths report substantially higher rates of problem behaviors than do parents, and the correlation between parent and youth responses are relatively low for most items (.19 to .30).

Table 1 reports the results for ordered probit models of educational attainment and linear probability models of college graduation for men and women, respectively. One self-reported non-cognitive measure is significantly associated with educational attainment (trouble paying attention) as are two parent-reported items (lies or cheats and depressed). If both parent and youth reports are included in the model, the significance levels and magnitude of these coefficients change very little. These associations are substantial—a self-report of "trouble paying attention" by a teenager is equivalent to a decrease of 10 ASVAB percentiles in the categorical education model. The optimism index never has a significant association with education (or with other outcomes).

Table 2 shows that, for this particular set of non-cognitive indicators, there is little direct influence on wages and employment once educational attainment is controlled for. Personality studies usually find significant direct impacts of personality traits on earnings, conditional on education, but it is not uncommon for non-cognitive indicators based on early reports of emotional and behavioral problems to primarily affect the education process and have little direct association with later outcomes.³ In results not reported here, there are significant interactions between cognitive skills and some of the non-cognitive measures—the effect of the ASVAB percentile on college graduation is substantially attenuated for men whose parents reported that they "did not get along well with others" when young. One possible interpretation of this result is that social skills and self-control alter the human capital production function by enhancing the learning environment, but the potential endogeneity of these measures is worth pointing out—this response may be a signal of parental characteristics that affect school success rather than a valid measure of the child's non-cognitive skills.

National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health (Add Health)

The Add Health is a good companion to the NLSY97, since it surveys almost the same birth cohorts and follows them for a similar period, but provides a very different set of non-cognitive skill indicators in Wave I. The study began in 1994-95 with a nationally-representative, school-based survey of more than 90,000 students in grades 7 through 12. About 20,000 respondents were followed in subsequent surveys, the last of which (Wave IV) was conducted in 2007-08 when the respondents were between 24 and 32 years of age. At this point most, though not all, of these young men in this will have completed their formal education and acquired some work experience.

The Add Health data is very rich, and Wave I contains a wealth of questions about the adolescents' attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors that could be used to construct non-cognitive skill measures. I've chosen to include fairly standard indices of self-esteem and depression, and constructed a school problems index from youth reports that he experiences problems with classmates, teachers, or homework. Finally, I've included a positive response to the question "When making decisions, you usually go with your "gut feeling" without thinking too much about the consequences of each alternative" as a measure of impulsivity. Cognitive skills are measured with a computer-assisted version of the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test. Table 3 shows that depression and self-esteem have small and generally insignificant associations with educational attainment (or the probability of graduating from college), but the school problems index and impulsivity have large and significant associations, ranging from one-third to one-half of the magnitude of cognitive ability (all measures are standardized). As with the NLSY97 measures, there are no significant effects of non-cognitive skills measured in early and mid-adolescence on labor market outcomes (in this case log earnings) once educational attainment has been controlled for.

In many ways, this is a typical set of non-experimental non-cognitive skill results—we can show that some characteristics and behavioral tendencies measured relatively early in life have significant associations

³ In fact, Papageorge et al. (2015) find that childhood externalizing behavior, though it reduces educational attainment, has a positive association with adult earnings.

⁴ Add Health is a program project directed by Kathleen Mullan Harris and designed by J. Richard Udry, Peter S. Bearman, and Kathleen Mullan Harris at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and funded by grant P01-HD31921 from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with cooperative funding from 23 other federal agencies and foundations. Special acknowledgment is due Ronald R. Rindfuss and Barbara Entwisle for assistance in the original design. Information on how to obtain the Add Health data files is available on the Add Health website (http://www.cpc.unc.edu/addhealth). No direct support was received from grant P01-HD31921 for this analysis.

with later outcomes, particularly educational attainment. The interpretation of the results is difficult—clearly no causal statements would be appropriate. Problems in school can reflect deficiencies in parenting or an adverse school environment as well as adolescent skills, and it unlikely that we could control for school and parent characteristics well enough to eliminate omitted characteristics. It is not surprising that reported behavior now can be strongly predictive of behavior in the future, but that association may reflect continuity in either characteristics or in circumstances, and the identity of the reporter appears to be crucial, as the NLSY97 results indicate.

4. Measurement

To date, the economic literature on non-cognitive skills has made major contributions to our understanding of the production and the productivity of human capital. It has broadened our understanding of human capabilities and the multidimensional nature of productive skills, and has focused attention on the early stages of life, when executive functioning and the regulatory capacities that flow from it can be degraded or enhanced. There has been considerable progress towards theoretically modeling production of multidimensional forms of human capital (Cunha and Heckman, 2008). The development of a coherent body of empirical knowledge, however, has been hampered by the absence of a broadlyaccepted conceptual framework that maps developmental stages into identifiable skills and by an opportunistic approach to measurement. Summarizing the literature is difficult given the astonishing variety of skills proxies that economists tapping existing data have used. Particularly problematic is the use of behavior as a measure of skills in cross-sectional assessments, as opposed to randomized treatments where we can control for other influences.

The first issue an obvious one: skills, including non-cognitive ones, are endogenous. They are likely to be correlated with parental resources, environmental influences, and other skills that we don't happen to have measured, and so any causal interpretation of their apparent effects is inappropriate. The skepticism that we as a profession bring to interpreting a coefficient on IQ in an education or earnings equation seems to desert us occasionally when we are faced with a novel non-cognitive skill finding. The link between the self-control exercised by the patient children in the marshmallow experiment and their later successes may not reflect the return to developing patience early but rather the quality of their parenting by other pathways. An interesting concrete example of this can be found in Dohmen et al. (2010), who find substantial bias in the estimated "effects" of cognitive ability, risk aversion, and patience on key adult outcomes when all three measures are not included in the model.

Second, observed or reported behavior, while it may be reflective of non-cognitive skills, also depends on other traits, incentives, beliefs, and situational factors, which we unlikely to be able to control for. In the framework of Kautz et al. (2015), skills are measured based on task performance, which in turn depends on multiple skills and effort. They argue in favor of using behaviors as measures of skill, and attempting to control for other factors that influence performance, in order to avoid the reference bias that is likely to influence self-reported psychological scales.⁴

⁵ They show that average levels of conscientiousness across countries are not positively related to work hours, though there is a strong within-country correlation.

We now know that this identification problem affects standard measures of cognitive ability, since they depend on test performance. IQ tests, far from being pure indicators of intellectual ability, are influenced by personality and motivation. Borghans et al. (2011) find that substantial portions of variance in achievement test scores depend on personality, not cognitive ability and Segal (2008) shows that incentives increase performance on low-stakes cognitive tests. Invoking racial stereotypes can affect test performance (Steele and Aronson, 2005). Measures of children's non-cognitive skills that are based on teacher and parent reports of externalizing behavior, lying, or the child's ability to maintain focus on an assigned task are likely to be much more sensitive than cognitive test results to incentives, expectations, and peer effects. Particularly problematic is the interpretation of differences in test scores or behavior between children from high- and low-income families as pure differences in skills, when their environments are likely to vary substantially.

One important environmental factor is the intensity of other demands on a person's capabilities: an individual's reserves of self-control can be depleted by exertions of control (Muraven and Baumeister, 2000). Experiments have shown that resisting temptation leads to a weakened ability to resist subsequent temptations, and individuals who have to cope with stressors such as noise and crowding are less able to delay gratification. Mani et al. (2013) find that poverty appears to degrade cognitive functioning. The farmers in their study exhibit diminished cognitive functioning before the harvest, when they are poor, compared to after the harvest, when they are rich. The differences are not accounted for by nutrition or work effort, and appear to be due to poverty-related demands on mental resources. Poor children, who are likely to face more chaotic and stressful conditions at home, may be less able to muster the resources to maintain focus and control at school, even if their fundamental capabilities are identical to those of other children.

Children with identical levels of a trait such as self-control may also have different expectations about the payoffs to exerting control, and in fact these payoffs may be dependent on context. In a variant of the marshmallow test, researchers preceded the classic test with two sessions in which randomly-assigned children were primed to believe that their environment was reliable or unreliable (promised art supplies either did or did not show up). Children who had been exposed to the unreliability of the experimenters' promises scored substantially worse on the marshmallow gratification delay test (Kidd et al., 2013). The researchers conclude that differences in performance on the marshmallow test may be due, not just to differences in self-control capabilities, but also to experiences about the reliability of their environments.

The return to non-cognitive skills, in particular, seems to be highly context-dependent, and evidence of heterogeneity in returns is beginning to emerge. The positive effects of child externalizing behavior on adult earnings that Papageorge et al. (2015) report does not extend to individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds.⁶ Lundberg (2013) finds that the relationship between personality traits and college graduation in the United States varies by socioeconomic status, with conscientiousness having a substantial payoff only for youth with high-educated mothers. Heterogeneity in returns should affect investments in skills. In environments such as the unreliable marshmallow test, impulse control may not make much sense—and when such skills are not rewarded, they are not likely to be reinforced.

⁶ Note that there are two ways to interpret this result: one, as true heterogeneity in the results to skill or two, as instability in the mapping from skills to behavior across socioeconomic groups.

If observed behaviors depend not just on skills, but also on context—perceived payoffs, distractions, peer effects, or supportive surroundings—then difficulties arise in comparing non-cognitive skills that rely on behavioral assessments across groups. Early behavior can predict later behavior either because of persistent traits/skills or because of correlated circumstances. On the other hand, group disparities based on self-reports about behavioral tendencies and beliefs such as personality can be affected by reference bias. How you assess yourself and your behavior may depend on peer behavior or cultural norms. One way to proceed is to compare alternative indicators of the same underlying skill.

Male Impulsivity and Crime

Self-control is fundamental to many conceptualizations of non-cognitive skill, as the marshmallow tests illustrate, and crime is thought to be strongly associated with deficits in this capability. The criminology literature links early difficulties in self-regulation and a failure to consider long-term consequences with later criminal behavior (Gottfredson and Hirschi, 1990; Wright et al., 1999). Add Health includes several early indicators of impulsivity or low self-control that permit us to compare how well different measures predict later criminal behavior.

In the first wave of the study, when the Add Health subjects are in middle-school or the early years of high school, three possible measures of impulsivity are collected that correspond to three of the basic types of non-cognitive skill data: self-assessment, administrative records, and observed behavior:

- Self-assessment: "When making decisions, you usually go with your "gut feeling" without thinking too much about the consequences of each alternative". The youth is classified as impulsive if he or she responds "agree" or "strongly agree" to this question
- (Potential) administrative data: "Have you ever received an out-of-school suspension from school?" Since the majority of school suspensions are reported to be due to either disobedience or disruptive/disrespectful behavior, suspensions are likely to be strongly driven by individual impulsivity.
- Interviewer remarks: "Did the respondent ever seem bored or impatient during the interview?"

These three measures of impulsivity are positively, but not very strongly, correlated, with the strongest correlation being 0.12 between the self-assessment and report of school suspensions.

In Wave IV, when the subjects are age 26 to 32, several measures of criminal activity and criminal justice contact are collected. These include an indicator for ever having been arrested, and reports of whether, in the past 12 months, the individual has deliberately damaged property (Vandalism), got involved in a physical fight, used or threatened to use a weapon (Violence), hurt someone so badly they needed medical care or used a weapon (Severe violence) or engaged in any crime, including theft and selling drugs (Crime).

Table 5 reports results for overall crime and arrests, but the patterns are similar for other crime reports as well. All three impulsivity indicators predict crime and arrests with school suspensions having the strongest effect. When all are included in the models, self-assessed impulsivity is still significant but interviewer reports of impatience no longer significantly predict crime. The inclusion of family background variables such as mother's education and family structure reduce the impulsivity coefficients by about 9%.

Since school suspensions are most often triggered by disruptive behavior that suggests low self-regulation, it is plausible that they will be strongly predictive of future crime and criminal justice system contact. This predictive power is often used in non-cognitive skill papers to support the interpretation of a behavioral outcome as a valid skill measure. Kautz et al. (2015), for example, cite studies showing that behavioral measures are at least as good at predicting crime as self-reported psychological scales and conclude that behaviors can be used to infer a skill "as long as the measurement accounts for other skills and aspect of the situation."

Does this mean that a record of school suspensions is the best measure of crime-related impulsivity that is available in the Add Health Study? What we should be looking for is a measure of capabilities that is not also a proxy for other factors driving behavior (or, in this case, observed sanctions of behavior). In this respect, suspensions are a problematic measure of impulsivity. Table 6 reports the results from regressions that use other measures of non-cognitive skills, family background, and race to predict the three measure of impulsivity. Both suspensions and the self-report are correlated with personality traits, but only suspensions are strongly related to mother's education.

Most striking is the fact that being black increases school suspensions by 50%, does not change selfreported impulsivity, has a modest positive impact on interviewer reports of restlessness. In this case, other factors that drive behavior or school discipline are clearly relevant—school quality, racial bias in teacher and school responses to behavior, or even different expectations about the rewards of restraint in school are likely to be relevant. Clearly, race is an "aspect of the situation" that can be controlled for, but we are unlikely to be able to control consistently for home and neighborhood characteristics that affect behavior. Behavioral outcomes that depend on expected rewards, beliefs, other demands on a student's capabilities, or differential treatment by teachers and other authorities are going to be flawed measures of skill disparities across socioeconomic groups.

6. Non-cognitive human capital and growth

The case for broadening the concept of human capital to include non-cognitive skills is a strong one. Many studies have shown that enriched environments in early childhood lead to positive outcomes later in life beyond their influence on measured cognitive skills, but evidence of the impact of education (or educational quality) on non-cognitive skills is only beginning to emerge. Some personality traits are associated with positive outcomes in education and the labor market, though returns appear to vary by socioeconomic status (education) and occupation (earnings). Measures of adolescent emotional and behavioral problems, though they do not predict labor market outcomes conditional on education, do have strong associations with educational attainment.

Some interesting issues to explore in future research on non-cognitive skills concern possible complementarities between skills and externalities in educational and production processes. Non-cognitive skills such as attention and self-control can increase the productivity of educational investments. Disruptive behavior and crime impose negative externalities in schools and communities that increased levels of some non-cognitive skills could ameliorate. Aizer (2008) shows that diagnosis and treatment of ADD improves classroom peer behavior, which in turn increases student achievement. To indulge in speculation—

improvements in non-cognitive skills could potentially have positive effects on technological innovation through improved institutional quality and cooperation.

I would argue, however, that the current state of our knowledge about the production of and returns to non-cognitive skills is rather rudimentary. We lack a conceptual framework that would enable us to consistently define multi-dimensional non-cognitive skills, and our reliance on observed or reported behavior as measures of skill make it impossible to reliably compare skills across groups that face different environments. Finally, there is increasing evidence that the returns to non-cognitive skills may be highly context-dependent, a factor that complicates the extraction of policy recommendations from this literature.

References

Achenbach, Thomas M., and C. Edelbrock. "Child behavior checklist." Burlington, VT (1981).

Aizer, Anna. "Peer effects and human capital accumulation: The externalities of ADD." No. w14354. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2008.

Almlund, Mathilde, Angela Lee Duckworth, James J. Heckman, and Tim D. Kautz. 2011. Personality Psychology and Economics. In *Handbook of the Economics of Education,* Volume 4, ed. Eric A. Hanushek, Stephen Machin and Ludger Woessmann, 1-182. Amsterdam, North Holland: Elsevier Science.

Anger, Silke. "Intergenerational Transmission of Cognitive and Noncognitive Skills." In *From Parents to Children: The Intergenerational Transmission of Advantage*, ed. John Ermisch, Markus Jäntti, and Timothy Smeeding, (2012): 393-421. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Becker, Anke, Thomas Deckers, Thomas Dohmen, Armin Falk, and Fabian Kosse. "The Relationship Between Economic Preferences and Psychological Personality Measures." *Annual Review of Economics* 4 (2012): 453-78.

Borghans, Lex, Huub Meijers, and Bas Ter Weel. "The role of noncognitive skills in explaining cognitive test scores." *Economic Inquiry* 46, no. 1 (2008): 2-12.

Bouchard Jr, Thomas J., and John C. Loehlin. "Genes, evolution, and personality." *Behavior genetics* 31, no. 3 (2001): 243-273.

Bowles, Samuel, and Herbert Gintis. "Schooling in capitalist America: Educational reform and the contradictions of American life." (1976).

Bowles, Samuel, Herbert Gintis, and Melissa Osborne. "The determinants of earnings: A behavioral approach." *Journal of Economic Literature* (2001): 1137-1176.

Cadena, Brian, and Benjamin Keys. "Human capital and the lifetime costs of impatience." (2012) Forthcoming in *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*.

Chetty, Raj, John N. Friedman, Nathaniel Hilger, Emmanuel Saez, Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach, and Danny Yagan. "How Does Your Kindergarten Classroom Affect Your Earnings? Evidence from Project Star." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 126, no. 4 (2011).

Cobb-Clark, Deborah, Marco Caliendo and Arne Uhlendorff (2015). "Locus of Control and Job Search Strategies." Forthcoming in *Review of Economics and Statistics*.

Cobb-Clark, Deborah. "Locus of Control and the Labor Market." IZA Journal of Labor Economics (2015).

Cunha, Flavio, and James J. Heckman. "Formulating, identifying and estimating the technology of cognitive and noncognitive skill formation." *Journal of Human Resources* 43, no. 4 (2008): 738-782.

Currie, Janet. "Inequality at Birth: Some Causes and Consequences." *American Economic Review* 101, no. 3 (2011): 1-22.

Dohmen, Thomas, Armin Falk, David Huffman, and Uwe Sunde. "Are Risk Aversion and Impatience Related to Cognitive Ability?" *American Economic Review*, 100, no. 3 (2010): 1238-60.

Duncan, Greg J. and Katherine Magnuson. "The Nature and Impact of Early Achievement Skills, Attention Skills, and Behavior Problems. In *Whither Opportunity? Rising Inequality, Schools, and Children's Life Chances*, ed. Greg J. Duncan and Richard J. Murnane. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2011.

Duncan, Greg J., and Katherine Magnuson. "Investing in preschool programs." *The Journal of Economic Perspectives* 27, no. 2 (2013): 109-132.

Filer, Randall K. "The role of personality and tastes in determining occupational structure." *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 39, no. 3 (1986): 412-424.

Gertler, Paul, James Heckman, Rodrigo Pinto, Arianna Zanolini, Christel Vermeersch, Susan Walker, Susan M. Chang, and Sally Grantham-McGregor. *Labor market returns to early childhood stimulation: A 20-year followup to an experimental intervention in Jamaica*. No. w19185. National Bureau of Economic Research, 2013.

Goldberg, Lewis R. 1981. Language and Individual Differences: The Search for Universals in Personality Lexicons. In *Review of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 2, ed. Ladd Wheeler, 141-165. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.

Gottfredson, Michael R., and Travis Hirschi. A general theory of crime. Stanford University Press, 1990.

Hanushek, Eric A., and Dennis D. Kimko. "Schooling, labor-force quality, and the growth of nations." *American economic review* (2000): 1184-1208.

Hanushek, Eric A., and Ludger Woessmann. "The role of cognitive skills in economic development." *Journal of economic literature* (2008): 607-668.

Heckman, James J. "Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children." *Science* 312, no. 5782 (2006): 1900-1902.

Heckman, James, Rodrigo Pinto, and Peter Savelyev. "Understanding the Mechanisms Through Which an Influential Early Childhood Program Boosted Adult Outcomes." *American Economic Review* 103, no. 6 (2013): 1-35.

Heckman, James J., Jora Stixrud, and Sergio Urzua. "The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on labor market outcomes and social behavior." *Journal of Labor Economics* 24, no 3 (2006).

Heckman, James J., and Yona Rubinstein. "The importance of noncognitive skills: Lessons from the GED testing program." *American Economic Review* (2001): 145-149.

Heineck, Guido. "Does it pay to be nice? Personality and earnings in the United Kingdom." *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 64, no. 5 (2011): 1020-1038.

Heineck, Guido, and Silke Anger. "The returns to cognitive abilities and personality traits in Germany." *Labour Economics* 17, no. 3 (2010): 535-546.

Kautz, Tim, James J. Heckman, Ron Diris, Bas ter Weel, Lex Borghans. *Fostering and Measuring Skills: Improving Cognitive and Non-Cognitive Skills to Promote Lifetime Success.* OECD (2015).

Kidd, Celeste, Holly Palmeri, and Richard N. Aslin. "Rational snacking: Young children's decision-making on the marshmallow task is moderated by beliefs about environmental reliability." *Cognition* 126, no. 1 (2013): 109-114.

Krueger, Alan B., and David Schkade. "Sorting in the Labor Market Do Gregarious Workers Flock to Interactive Jobs?" *Journal of Human Resources*43, no. 4 (2008): 859-883.

Lindqvist, Erik, and Roine Vestman. "The labor market returns to cognitive and noncognitive ability: Evidence from the Swedish enlistment." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* (2011): 101-128.

Lundberg, Shelly. "The college type: Personality and educational inequality." *Journal of Labor Economics* 31, no. 3 (2013): 421-441.

Mani, Anandi, Sendhil Mullainathan, Eldar Shafir, and Jiaying Zhao. "Poverty impedes cognitive function." *Science* 341, no. 6149 (2013): 976-980.

Mischel, Walter, Ebbesen, Ebbe B.; Raskoff Zeiss, Antonette (1972). "Cognitive and attentional mechanisms in delay of gratification." *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **21** (2): 204–218.

Mueller, Gerrit, and Erik Plug. "Estimating the effect of personality on male and female earnings." *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 60, no. 1 (2006): 3-22.

Muraven, Mark, and Roy F. Baumeister. "Self-regulation and depletion of limited resources: Does self-control resemble a muscle?" *Psychological bulletin* **126**, no. 2 (2000): 247.

Nandi, Alita, and Cheti Nicoletti. 2009. "Explaining Personality Pay Gaps in the UK." Working paper no. 2009-22, Institute for Social and Economic Research.

Nyhus, Ellen K., and Empar Pons. "The effects of personality on earnings." *Journal of Economic Psychology* 26, no. 3 (2005): 363-384.

Papageorge, Nicholas W., Victor Ronda, and Yu Zheng. "The Economic Value of Breaking Bad: Misbehavior, Schooling and the Labor Market." (2015). https://nicholaswpapageorge.files.wordpress.com/2011/06/bb4.pdf.

Rustichini, Aldo, Colin G. DeYoung, Jon Anderson, and Stephen V. Burks. 2012. "Toward the Integration of Personality Theory and Decision Theory in the Explanation of Economic and Health Behavior." Discussion paper no. 6750, Institute for the Study of Labor.

Segal, Carmit. "Working when no one is watching: Motivation, test scores, and economic success." *Management Science* 58, no. 8 (2012): 1438-1457.

Segal, Carmit. "Misbehavior, education, and labor market outcomes." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 11, no. 4 (2013): 743-779.

Shonkoff, Jack P., Andrew S. Garner, Benjamin S. Siegel, Mary I. Dobbins, Marian F. Earls, Laura McGuinn, John Pascoe, and David L. Wood. "The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress." *Pediatrics* 129, no. 1 (2012): e232-e246.

Steele, Claude M., and Joshua Aronson. "Stereotypes and the fragility of academic competence, motivation, and self-concept." *Handbook of competence and motivation* (2005): 436-455.

Weiss, Andrew. "High school graduation, performance, and wages." *The Journal of Political Economy* (1988): 785-820.

World Bank. World Development Report 2015: Mind, Society, and Behavior. Washington, DC: World Bank (2015). doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0342-0.

Wright, Bradley R. Entner, Avshalom Caspi, Terrie E. Moffitt, and Phil A. Silva. "Low self-control, social bonds, and crime: Social causation, social selection, or both?" *Criminology* 37, no. 3 (1999): 479-514.

	(1)	- (0)	(0)	(4)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Educational	Educational	Bachelor's Degree	Bachelor's Degree
	Attainment	Attainment	or Above	or Above
Achenbach Child Behavior	Checklist - Self Rer	oort		
Trouble Paying Attention	-0.236		-0.0985	
	(0.0695)		(0.0228)	
Does Not Get Along Well	-0.0327		0.00333	
With Others	(0.0681)		(0.0224)	
Lies or Cheats	-0.0396		-0.0256	
	(0.0666)		(0.0219)	
Unhappy, Sad or	0.0170		0.00218	
Depressed	(0.0681)		(0.0224)	
Achenbach Child Behavior	Checklist - Parent F	Report		
Trouble Paying Attention		-0.0530		-0.0221
		(0.0709)		(0.0234)
Does Not Get Along Well		-0.0173		-0.00794
With Others		(0.0798)		(0.0263)
Lies or Cheats		-0.155		-0.0305
		(0.0711)		(0.0233)
Unhappy, Sad or		-0.254		-0.0744
Depressed		(0.0776)		(0.0255)
Optimism Index	0.0188	0.0228	0.00619	0.00845
	(0.0197)	(0.0194)	(0.00649)	(0.00640)
ASVAB Age-Normed	0.0164	0.0161	0.00450	$0.00445^{}$
Percentile	(0.00140)	(0.00144)	(0.000441)	(0.000459)
Observations	1178	1178	1178	1178
Adjusted <i>R</i> ^e			0.283	0.280

Table 1: Educational Attainment - Men (National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, 1997)

Standard errors in parentheses

Model also includes controls for mother's education, race, ethnicity, and region. p < 0.05, "p < 0.01, "p < 0.001

	(1)	(2)
	Employment ¹	Log Wage
GED	-0.0154	0.0942
	(0.0593)	(0.148)
HS Diploma	0.133"	0.369"
	(0.0509)	(0.124)
Associate's Degree	0.0964	0.496"
	(0.0678)	(0.153)
Bachelor's Degree	$0.243^{}$	0.505
	(0.0631)	(0.145)
Graduate Degree	0.164	0.690
	(0.0829)	(0.183)
Achenbach Child Behavior Ch	ecklist - Parent Repo	ort
Trouble Paying Attention	-0.0170	-0.0164
	(0.0299)	(0.0645)
Does Not Get Along Well	-0.0720	-0.102
With Others	(0.0332)	(0.0749)
Lies or Cheats	-0.0227	0.0302
	(0.0298)	(0.0645)
Unhappy, Sad or Depressed	-0.00727	-0.130
	(0.0323)	(0.0704)
Optimism Index	-0.00940	0.0136
-	(0.00820)	(0.0183)
ASVAB Age-Normed	-0.000243	0.000953
Percentile	(0.000616)	(0.00132)
Observations	1006	772
Adjusted <i>R</i> ^e	0.053	0.107

Table 2: Employment and Wages (National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, 1997)

Standard errors in parentheses

Model also includes controls for mother's education, race, ethnicity, and region.

p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001

¹ Positive earnings and 25 hours or more of work a week.

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	Educational	Bachelor's Degree or	Log Earnings
	Attainment	Above	
HS Diploma			0.2692**
			(0.0928)
Some College			0.3839***
			(0.1049)
Associates Degree			0.5020***
			(0.1088)
Bachelor's Degree			0.6190***
			(0.1016)
Graduate Degree			0.6392***
			(0.1209)
School Problems Index	-0.2072***	-0.0497***	0.0024
	(0.0214)	(0.0070)	(0.0238)
Depression Index	0.0175	0.0006	-0.0230
-	(0.0219)	(0.0075)	(0.0262)
Self-Esteem Index	0.0331	0.0175*	0.0062
	(0.0214)	(0.0076)	(0.0238)
Impulsivity	-0.1169***	-0.0377***	-0.0168
	(0.0200)	(0.0073)	(0.0212)
Cognitive ability	0.3561***	0.0920***	0.0867**
(AH Picture Vocabulary Test)	(0.0230)	(0.0082)	(0.0329)
Observations	5743	5743	5373
Adjusted R ^e		0.203	0.094

Table 3: Educational Attainment and Earnings - Men (National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health)

Standard errors in parentheses

Model also includes controls for mother's education, race, and ethnicity. p < 0.05, "p < 0.01, "p < 0.001

Impulsivity Measures, Wave I				
Self-reported Impulsivity	0.40			
School Suspension	0.35			
Interviewer report	0.14			
Self-reported crime and arrests, Wave IV				
Arrest	0.41			
Vandalism	0.06			
Violence	0.19			
Severe Violence	0.14			
Crime	0.31			

Table 4: Means of Add Health Impulsivity and Crime Indicators

Table 5: Effects of Wave I Impulsivity on Wave IV Crime and Arrests, Add Health

		Cri	me			Arr	ests	
Self-reported Impulsivity	.047				.107			
+ family background	.044			.035	.097			.070
School Suspension		.097				. 271		
+ family background		.088		.084		.250		.239
Interviewer report			.037**				.074**	
+ family background			.036	.026			.069	.043

	Self-reported Impulsivity	School Suspension	Interviewer report
African-American	.009	.175	.023"
Lived with both parents	039	124	003
Mother high school	.013	065	019
Mother some college	011	073	019
Mother college grad	031	166	032"
Personality			
Openness	047	022***	007
Conscientiousness	008	010	.004
Extraversion	.027	0.31	.009
Agreeableness	018	034	018
Neuroticism	.036	0.53	.002
Observations	6577	6599	6605
Adjusted R ²	0.024	0.091	0.004

 Table 6: Predicting Measures of Impulsivity, Add Health